## HISTORICAL ESSAYS AND STUDIES



MACMILLAN AND CO, LIMITED I ONDON BOMBAY CALCUTTA MADRAS MELBOURNE

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
NEW YORK BOSTON CHICAGO
DALLAS SAN FRANCISCO

THE MACMILLAN CO OF CANADA, LTD TORONTO

# HISTORICAL ESSAYS & STUDIES

MACMILLAN AND CO, LIMITED ST MARTIN'S STREET, LONDON

#### COPYRIGHT

First Edition 1907 Refrinted 1908, 1919, 1926

#### PREFATORY NOTE

WITH the exception of the hitherto unprinted paper entitled "The Causes of the Franco-Prussian War," this volume consists of articles reprinted from the following periodicals. The Quarterly Review, The English Historical Review, The Nineteenth Century. The Rambler, The Home and Foreign Review, The North British Review, The Bridge orth Journal. The Editors have to thank Mr. John Murray, Messrs. Longmans, Kegan Paul, Williams and Norgate, and the proprietors of The Bridgeorth Journal for their kind permission to republish these articles.

Acton and Simpson collaborated in writing the two articles on Buckle's *History of Civilisation* (which it was impracticable to separate), the former of them is very largely Simpson's work

JNF. RVL

August 24, 1907



### CONTENTS

	PAGE
I Wolsey and the Divorce of Henry VIII	I
II THE BORGIAS AND THEIR LATEST HISTORIAN	65
III SECRET HISTORY OF CHARLES II	85
IV THE CIVIL WAR IN AMERICA	123
V THE RISE AND FALL OF THE MEXICAN EMPIRE	143
· VI CAVOUR	174
VII THE CAUSES OF THE FRANCO-PRUSSIAN WAR	204 ~
VIII THE WAR OF 1870	226
IX GEORGE ELIOT'S LIFE -	273
-X. MR BUCKLE'S THESIS AND METHOD	305
XI MR BUCKLE'S PHILOSOPHY OF HISTORY	324
XII GERMAN SCHOOLS OF HISTORY	344
XIII TALLEIRAND'S MEMOIRS	393
XIV THE LIFE OF LORD HOUGHTON	414
XV A HISTORY OF THE PAPACY DURING THE PERIOD	
OF THE REFORMATION	426
XVI A SHORT HISTORY OF NAPOLEON THE FIRST-	
THE FIRST NAPOLEON A SKETCH, POLITICAL	
AND MILITARY	442
XVII MABILLON ET LA SOCIÉTÉ DE L'ABBAYE DE	
Saint-Germain-des-Pres à la Fin du XVII <sup>e</sup> Siècle	450
	459
XVIII A HISTORY OF ENGLAND, 1837-1880	472
XIX A HISTORY OF THE FRENCH REVOLUTION .  XX WILHELM VON GIESEBRECHT	491
AA WILHELM VON GIESEBRECHT APPENDIX—LETTER TO BISHOP CREIGHTON	496
Index	503 509
INDEA	400



#### WOLSEY AND THE DIVORCE OF HENRY VIII<sup>1</sup>

HALF a century ago a writer of great authority delivered the opinion that few things in history were better known than the divorce of Catharine of Aragon Since that time the archives have been explored, and the old story which satisfied Hallam will never be told again Brewer has done more than any other man to dispel the dark tradition, and to pour light upon an epoch which will always interest every description of educated men After all that has been already gathered from Rome and Venice and Simancas, from Brussels and Vienna, his volume on the last and most momentous years of Wolsey's ministry embraces seven thousand letters, of which a large proportion are important and new The most competent of his foreign critics, Dr Pauli, reviewing the earlier part of the Calendar, declared that no other country possesses a work so satisfactory and complete, and this is not exaggerated praise, although even Mr Brewer's analysis cannot be accepted as a substitute for the full text of documents He has not aimed so high, and his readers will not seldom find that there is something still to learn in earlier and humbler publications

If the Calendar does not utterly supersede all previous collections, the introduction in which Mr Brewer has gathered up the innumerable threads, and has woven them into a consistent picture, so far surpasses all former narratives of the same events as to cause regret that he

<sup>1</sup> The Quarterly Review, January 1877

has not chosen rather to write a life of Wolsey, which everybody would have read, than to bury the fruit of so much study in prefaces to bulky and not very accessible volumes. With little additional labour he would have enjoyed greater freedom in the management of materials and in the use of colour, and literature would have been endowed with a popular masterpiece. Mr Brewer has thought it a duty to devote the whole of his accumulated knowledge and power to the public work which has occupied so large a portion of his life. So few men are capable of extracting for themselves and digesting all the information his Calendar contains, that the elaborate introductions by the editor add immeasurably to its permanent utility and value. But it is impossible not to feel and to regret the generosity of so great a sacrifice

Many of the problems that have agitated and perplexed ten generations of men are still unsolved Yet, although we have not reached the fulness of knowledge that sates curiosity, it is not likely that much more will be learnt Some progress may be looked for in biography, for the early lives of Gardiner, Tunstall, and Cromwell have not been studied, nobody has taken the pains to restore the true text of the original Life of Fisher, and not one of More's fifteen biographers has worked from manuscripts The Vatican continues to yield priceless additions to the works of Raynaldus, of Theiner, and of Lammer, part of the correspondence of Charles V. lies unused Brussels; and the papers of Campeggio may yet, perhaps, be found in the place where Sigonius saw them whatever the future may reveal, we now possess, in Mr Brewer's pages, an account of the Divorce, to the fall of Wolsey, which is eminently trustworthy and intelligible

That which distinguishes the whole reign of Henry VIII., both in Wolsey's happier days and during the riotous tyranny of later years, the idea of treating ecclesiastical authority not as an obstruction, but as a convenient auxiliary to the Crown, was anticipated by the example of his father-in-law Ferdinand The Norman conquerors of Sicily established a form of government in which the

spiritual power was more completely subdued by the civil than in any other place beyond the Byzantine bound-In the struggle for the inheritance of the Suabian emperors, the Sicilians resisted for centuries the anathemas and the arms of Rome, and the kings of the House of Aragon maintained themselves in defiance of excommunications which were almost perpetual, and of an interdict which lasted seventy years In a country which had endured ecclesiastical isolation so long, the Papacy could not recover its influence when the dynastic strife The Kings of Sicily acknowledged no was ended superior, but exercised all jurisdiction themselves, allowing no appeals, and holding under strict control the intercourse between Rome and the Church within the island This system of undivided power, consolidated and codified under Ferdinand the Catholic, became known by the significant designation of the Sicilian Monarchy established without a conflict, and without ostensibly derogating from the papal dignity, by the instrumentality of the fiction that the King was, in his own dominions, hereditary Legate of the Pope. The combination of legatine authority with the highest political office in the person of Wolsey was an expedient that bore close practical resemblance to this institution

It was in 1515 that Ferdinand proclaimed himself the virtual head both of Church and State in Sicily—cujus tam in spiritualibus quam in temporalibus curam gerimus. In the following year Henry VIII demanded that Leo X would appoint his favourite minister Legate a latere. For three years he made the demand in vain. It was granted at length, and the appointment was justly described as the keystone of the Cardinal's position. Henry had too much of the instinct and of the passion of power to surrender willingly the advantage which it gave him. That advantage could be preserved only by close union with Rome, or by the exclusion of its authority. The intimate alliance with the Papacy through every vicissitude of political fortune which is characteristic of Wolsey's administration, actually prepared the way for

separation after his disgrace. It was so essential an element in his scheme of government that it was not disturbed when Henry imputed to Leo, and bitterly resented, his failure to obtain the Imperial crown

The elevation of his rival, the King of Spain, suddenly raised England to an important position in the politics of Europe. An auction began, at which Francis I. sought to purchase her friendship with gold, whilst Charles V not only offered the same sums as his competitor, but increase of territory at his competitor's expense. France was still our hereditary enemy England remembered that an English King had been crowned in the French capital, and Calais was an irritating memorial of the lost inheritance, and of conquests that had ended in defeat. The nation adopted with joy the alliance with the House of Burgundy, and Parliament voted supplies for war against France

To make sure of Wolsey, Charles promised that he should be made Pope; and the compact was scarcely concluded when the See of Rome fell vacant. Cardinal summoned the Emperor to employ his army in not shrink from force if it was needed, but the choice of the conclave fell so speedily on Adrian VI that his sincerity was not tested. Wolsey waited, without discouragement, for another chance. In less than two years Adrian died, and Wolsey was again a candidate. His ambition was not unreasonable. He was the foremost of ecclesiastics and of statesmen, and it had been said of him long since that he was seven times greater than the Pope In the conclave of 1522 six cardinals had paid him the compliment of inscribing his name on their votes 1 The traditional aversion of the College for men from the barbarous North had been put aside in favour of one who, in point of public service and political reputation, bore no

<sup>1</sup> They were probably split votes, involving little more than a compliment or a warning, for a voting paper sometimes contained six or eight names. On the 3rd of January 1522 thirty-nine Cardinals gave more than sixty votes. Volterra had twelve, De Monte seven, Ancona seven, Medici, Santa Croce, Della Valle, Aegidius of Viterbo, Wolsey, six each, Adrian of Utrecht eight

comparison with the Cardinal of York, and when it was first reported that a foreigner was elected, people supposed that it must be Wolsey. He now tempted his colleagues with enormous bribes, and he appealed once more to the Emperor. Charles acknowledged his engagements, and even exhibited a copy of the orders sent to his ambassador to procure Wolsey's election. But he caused the original to be detained, and took care that no effort should be spared to ensure the elevation of Medici, or, fuling Medici, of Colonna or Farnese.

This time the disappointment was final, and no hope remained. It could not escape the sagacity of the Cardinal that the new Pontiff, who was younger than himself, had been raised to the throne by him whose support he had so painfully striven to secure, that his own claim had not been seriously put forward, and that he had been fooled with false professions. He at once prepared to withdraw from the warlike alliance against France.

In the year 1523, while Suffolk ingloriously harried Picardy, Wolsey already manifested his disbelief in the project for recovering the lost dominions of the English Crown, and opposed the attempt to push the frontier beyond the Somme. His moderate counsels were encouraged by the new Pope, Clement VII, whose minister, the famous Datario Giberti, revolving vast schemes for the expulsion of foreigners from Italy, solicited in secret the co-operation of England, and began by proposing a suspension of arms Just then the French were expelled from Lombardy, and Bourbon, on the point of invading France, bound himself by the most sacred oaths to depose Francis, and to acknowledge no King but Henry Richard Pace, the successor of Colet at the Deanery of St. Paul's, a respectable scholar, but a negotiator of unsound judgment, who was destined, in the imagination of the Imperialists, to supplant Wolsey, followed the invaders over the Maritime Alps, and witnessed the easy conquest of Provence. He persuaded himself that the whole kingdom would speedily be overrun, and that Bourbon would be faithful to his oath. The Constable was a

traitor and a deserter, yet Pace declared that it would be folly to doubt his word, and that it would be Wolsey's fault if he did not seat his master on the throne of the Valois The prospect that dazzled Pace, and attracted the ambitious King, did not disturb the Cardinal's clearer He supplied the Imperial generals with some money and much advice, reminding them of the first axiom of military science, that the object of war is the destruction of the enemy's forces in the field Pescara turned aside from the campaign to besiege Marseilles, he refused to send a single English soldier into France. That Bourbon and Pescara should employ their victorious troops in making the Emperor master of the coast that connected his Spanish dominions with his Italian conquests, was reasonable. But it was not to be believed that they would risk destruction by plunging into the heart of France, from a chivalrous desire that a foreign potentate, who refused to help them, should be made, in spite of himself, as powerful as their master. Wolsey warned Pace that he had allowed himself to be made a dupe, and Pace protested that the ruin of the expedition was due to the malice of Wolsey.

For many months a discreet agent of the French King had been concealed at Blackfriars, and he was followed, before the end of 1524, by an envoy of great distinction As the tide of fortune turned, and the besiegers of Marseilles were shut up in Lodi and Pavia, Wolsey drew nearer to France, without renouncing his claims on Spain The rivalry that subsisted like a permanent force of nature between the two Powers, gave him hope that he would be able, by his skill in negotiation, to derive profit, and to incur no risk, from the success of either. Whilst the issue was undecided, he would not commit England irrevocably But the spirit of the Burgundian alliance gradually changed to resentment, and in February 1525 the seizure of the Imperial agent's papers disclosed the secret animosity that was parting the allies The French envoys were on the way to their first audience, when they were met by the news

from Italy that their King was taken, and his army destroyed. The calculations founded on the balance of power were overthrown. No advantage could be extracted from the keenness of a competition which had come to an end. The men who in the previous year had denounced the backwardness of Wolsey, were triumphant, and in Spain, in Italy, in the Low Countries, the English agents clamoured for the immediate partition of France.

If the policy of the last four years was worth anything, the time had come to prove it. The allies were victorious, Charles had gained the object for which he had associated himself with England, it was now to be shown what English purpose that association had served Henry sent Tunstall to Madrid to demand the Crown of France. At the same time he attempted to raise money for the French war by a method of coercion which was termed an Amicable Grant

Charles V refused everything He would fulfil no engagement. He would not keep his promise to marry Henry's daughter, unless she was sent to be educated in Spain Instead of paying his debts, he asked for more money At the same time the Amicable Grant was met by a general and indignant resistance. Henry could obtain no help at home or abroad towards the conquests which had formed so long the ruling purpose of his The political system which had been constructed on the friendship and the pledges of Charles V had ended in disastrous and dishonourable failure. England had spent much, and had acquired nothing Emperor, who had undertaken to continue the payments and pensions formerly made by France, had repudiated his obligation, and had solicited the Pope to release him from it When he wanted the help of England, he had obtained it for nothing He contemptuously refused to pay for it now that he required it no more.

Wolsey had long prepared for this Whilst, with seeming confidence, he invited Charles to redeem his bond, he was making his bargain out of the extreme necessity of France The Regent, Louise of Savoy,

could cede no territory, but she was willing to pay a heavy price for the only succour that could avail, and Wolsey exacted a sum of money equal to the ransom for which Charles afterwards released his captive. Gold was in his eyes a surer gain than the expensive chances of conquest; but it was hard for Henry to content himself with a sordid equivalent for glory. The Emperor Maximilian, whose capricious and ingenious fancy was so little satisfied with things as they were that he wanted to be Pope, and talked of making Henry Emperor in his stead, had also suggested that he should be King of France Down to the battle of Pavia Henry pursued this idea. What Henry V had done with the slender resources of his time seemed not impossible now, with the aid of the most powerful of the French vassals, and of those alliances which displayed Wolsey's imperial art. To relinquish so hopeful an enterprise without a shadow of political or military success, whilst the hearts of his people were hardened against him, and his confederate defied him at the division of the spoil, was an impotent and ignominious end of Henry's aspiring schemes The author of all this humiliation was Wolsey. It was his policy that had been brought to ruin by the subtler art of the Imperial Chancellor Gattinara. His enemies at home had their opportunity, and they were the whole nation by the nobles for his influence over Henry, by the clergy for his use of the powers delegated by Rome, and, in spite of his profuse beneficence, by the people of England, as the oppressor of the nobility, he had hardly a friend except the King, whose pride he had brought so low

Yet Wolsey withstood the shock, and his credit remained unshaken. Henry adopted his inglorious policy, bowed his own imperious will before the resistance of London citizens and Kentish monks, and, at the moment when the crown of France seemed near his grasp, abandoned without a struggle the cherished hope of rivalling the Plantagenets. Wolsey was able to bring these things about because of an important change that had come over the domestic life of the King.

Catharine of Aragon was little past forty, but the infirmities of age had befallen her prematurely, and her husband, though he betrayed it by no outward sign, had become estranged from her since the end of the year 1524. As long as she was fair and had hope of children, and as long as the Austrian alliance subsisted, her position was unassailed. But when her eldest children died, people had already begun to predict that her marriage would not hold good, and now that she had lost the expectations and the attractiveness of youth, a crisis came in which England ceased to depend on the friendship of her family, and was protected against their enmity by a close union with France and Rome

The motives that impelled Wolsey to take advantage of the change were plausible For a quarter of a century the strength of the Tudors had been the safety with which the succession was provided for, but when it became certain that Catharine would have no son to inherit the crown, the old insecurity revived, and men called to mind the havoc of the civil war, and the murders in the Royal House, which in the seven preceding reigns had seven times determined the succession To preserve the Tudor dynasty, the first of the English nobles had suffered death, but nothing was yet secure If a Queen could reign in England, Henry VII, who had no hereditary claim except through his mother, who survived him, was not the rightful king. Until the birth of Elizabeth no law enabled a woman to wear the crown, no example justified it, and Catharine's marriage contract, which provided that her sons should succeed, made no such provision for her daughters 
It was uncertain whether Mary would be allowed to reign unchallenged by the Scots or by adherents of the House of York The White Rose had perished, in the main line, amid the rout of

That is the date given by Henry himself to Grynaeus His secretary, 4th December 1527, calls the divorce a thing he "hath long tyme desyred" Wolsey writes, 5th December, "longo jam tempore." Campeggio writes, 17th October 1529, "piu di dui anni" But on the 28th, after hearing the Queen's confession, he says, on her authority, "gia molti anni." There is no reason to doubt the report of Grynaeus

Rawdon Brown, 1st September 1514

Pavia, yet Catharine tortured herself with misgivings as to her daughter's claim. The Earl of Warwick, a helpless and unoffending prisoner, had been put to death, that her wedding might be auspicious. His sister Margaret, the Countess of Salisbury, was living, and directed the Princess's education Catharine vowed that she could not die in peace unless the crimes of her husband's family against the House of York had been atoned by the marriage of Mary with the Countess of Salisbury's son

It was not unreasonable to apprehend that Henry, who had been unfaithful to the Queen in earlier years, would not be true to her now, that he would fall under the dominion of favourites put forward and prompted by the Cardinal's enemies, and that his inheritance would be disputed by bastards. The King's soul, the monarchy, and Wolsey's own position were in jeopardy. It might well be difficult to distinguish the influence of politics, interest, and conscience on his choice of the expedient by which he hoped to avert the peril

To a man who understood policy better than religion, the public reasons for dissolving the King's marriage were better than those which had recommended it to his father; and there was a strong inducement, therefore, to ponder the words of Leviticus, and to regard the almost immediate death of the King's three sons as the penalty of his transgression. In the arbitrary and uncertain condition of the law, it was seldom difficult to find excuses for the dissolution of a Royal marriage. Henry could expect that nothing would be denied to him that favour or influence could procure for others. No man's marriage was exposed to more obvious objection

The battle of Pavia had placed Rome at the mercy of the Emperor. Giberti appealed to Wolsey to unite with France in a league for the protection of Italy and of the Church. A breach between Spain and Rome was essential to the success of that which he meditated, and nothing could be more welcome than the appearance of the Pope striving to combine in one confederacy all the enemies of Spain Having embarked in so perilous a

venture, he could assuredly be made to give a heavy price for English aid. Wolsey received his proposals with the promise of hearty assistance. The Queen, the Court, every influence in the State and in the nation was against him. But he persuaded the King to enter into the scheme of Clement VII, with the assurance that he would be rewarded by spiritual favours more than sufficient to repay all that he gave up to obtain them. From that moment may be discerned the faint but suggestive trace of a secret that required the intervention of the Pope and threatened disturbance at home.

On Easter Sunday, two months after the great turn of fortune at Pavia, Wolsey first caused it to be known that he had renounced the expectation of benefit from the friendship of Charles V<sup>1</sup> Just at this time the Primate Warham reminded him that it was unwise to broach too many causes of displeasure at once, and advised that the Amicable Grant be dropped "till this great matter of the King's grace be ended"2 On the 21st of April Wolsey wrote to Clement a solemn and mysterious letter, entreating him to listen favourably to a certain matter which would be submitted to him by Clerk, the Bishop of Bath, who was the Cardinal's most trusted confidant. But the secret was one which the Bishop thought it an unpropitious moment to reveal He was recalled in the summer, and Casale and Ghinucci, the two men whom Wolsey selected to take charge of the divorce in 1527, were sent in his place to expose business of great moment to the Pope

Clement and his allies did not dare to defy the Emperor while the King of France remained his prisoner, for they justly feared that Francis would seek his own freedom by betraying them He proposed to Charles that they should subjugate Italy together, and should reduce the Pope to the position occupied by the Patriarch of Constantinople at the Court of the Macedonian Emperors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gayangos, Spanish Calendar, 20th April 1525
<sup>2</sup> Brewer, 1v 1263 A misprint makes it uncertain whether Warham wrote on the 12th or 19th of April. Easter fell on the 16th.

But the chief Minister of Charles V., Gattinara, was a Piedmontese, who preserved the love of his country in the service of its oppressor. He distrusted and opposed the plans of Francis. He even imagined a scheme by which his countrymen, having been rescued from the French by the Spaniards, should buy off the Spaniards by a tribute large enough to avert the financial ruin of Spain Before attempting war, the Italians tried what could be done by treachery. They offered the crown of Naples to Pescara, the ablest of the Imperial Commanders, as a bribe to desert the Emperor. Pescara threw his tempter into prison, and a year passed without an effort to mend the fortune of Italy. At length Francis was released, and the Italian patriots took heart to avow their warlike purpose. Clement put himself at the head of a Sacred League, which was joined by France, and protected by England Giberti called upon his countrymen to cast out the invader; and Sadolet, in State papers, which are perhaps the noblest compositions of the Renaissance, proclaimed the liberty and the independence of Italy

The moment for which Henry waited had come. Clement had burnt his ships, had refused fair terms of peace, and could not venture to deny the allies who sheltered him from manifest ruin. The secret matter which had slumbered for a year revived. Giberti assured Wolsey that the Pope would do for him all that was within his power. But Clerk, who was again at Rome, reported that all else would be well but for the inauspicious business of the divorce. Henry paid a large sum into the Papal treasury but his cause made no progress during the autumn of 1526. Six months later the difficulties were overcome, and matters were arranged in a way so satisfactory to Wolsey that he boasted of it as a triumph of skill.<sup>2</sup>

made and used, as the like, I suppose, hath not been seen in any time hertofore" (State Papers, 1 189)

 <sup>1 &</sup>quot;In us secretioribus ac majoris momenti tantum sibi polliceri potest D V R
 de S D N voluntate quantum progredi potest auctoritas S S " (Brewer, is 2579)
 2 "Wherin such good and substancial ordre and processe hathe hitherto been

The Pope toon repented of the temerity with which he had challenged the supremacy of Spam. The stronger confederates held back, while the wealer stood exposed to the calculated vengennee of Charles V. Imperial partisms made their way into the Leonine City and plundered the Vitican. The Emperor appealed before the ascembled Cudmab to a General Conneil against the acts of the Pontiff. This thicat had power over Clement He could not, without dauger, allow his claim to be disputed before a hostile audience. His right to enjoy the higher honours of the Church had been questioned by icison of his birth, and his election to the Papacy had been accomplished under conditions which cave ground for cavil. He was elected in consequence of a private agreement with Cardinal Colonna, who was his enemy through life, who had tried to exclude him from the conclave, who attempted afterwards to expel him from the throne. Men suspected the secret method which had wrought that surprising change. It was reported that the rivals had made a simoniacal compact by which Nedici obtained the tiara, while Colonna received the richest office and the finest palace in the gift of the Pope. But by a recent law of Julius II an election won by bribes or promises was for ever invalid. The Pope's courage give way, even Sadolet declared that resistance was unavailing, and Giberti, boiling with indignation and resentment, and bewailing that it was his fate to serve the subtle and vacillating Plorentine instead of the resolute English Cardinal, confessed that, without encouragement from France or hope from England, it was necessary to submit to terms dictated by Spanish generals In a condition so precarious, the Pope could take no active share in a transaction which was an outrage to the Royal family of Spain. But the Datarro's animosity against the Imperialists was such as to incline him towards measures which would injure them without compromising the Papacy

Giberti had applied for an English pension, and he long continued to be trusted as a supporter of Henry's

After the fall of Rome he withdrew to his diocese of Verona, where the fame which he won as the model of a perfect bishop has obscured the memory of his political career He confided to the English agents the fact that he had left the Court because Clement was ungrateful to those who deserved well of him 1 They understood that Giberti had advised him to concede what Henry asked for in his matrimonial affairs, and they induced him to return to Rome, under a promise that he would use all his influence in the King's behalf. What was the measure of encouragement he gave during the last days of his ministry, in the spring of 1527, cannot be ascertained It probably amounted to no more than this, that the marriage might be tried in England without the interference of the Pope As things then stood, such an understanding would be sufficient to justify the exultation of Wolsev

Up to this time the idea of divorce had occupied the thoughts of Henry in a vague and languid way Neither aversion for the Queen, nor desire of an heir, nor religious scruple caused him to pursue it with a fixed determination. Whilst it was uncertain who was to be his future Queen, the King displayed no eagerness. The only power whose aid was worth seeking, or that could venture to affront Charles by taking advantage of his kinswoman's disgrace, was France. In the House of Valois there were two princesses. Renée, the Queen's sister, was ill-favoured and all but deformed Henry was not likely to incur such risk for such a bride. On his last journey to France Wolsey met an envoy from Hungary, who had been sent to ask the hand of Renée for his master He wrote to the King that the envoy when he saw her had forthwith renounced his purpose He wrote in terms he would not

<sup>&</sup>quot;'He promises, however, to use all efforts in the King's behalf He says the only cause of his leaving the Pope's palace was that the Pope did not attend to good advice, and was not grateful to those that deserved well of him, but Wolsey must take care not to tell this to Campeggio" (Vannes to Wolsey, Brewer, 195344). "Praccepit etiam Dominus Veronensis Vicario suo non modo favere Maj tuae causae, sed etiam in absentia sua convocare et hortari Theologos ut pro Maj tua scribant, sed et se quoque subscripturum pollicitus est" (Croke to Henry, Pocock's Records, 1. 531)

have thought prudent if he had lately designed that she should be Catharine's successor

The King's sister, Margaret Duchess of Alençon, was richly endowed with talent and beauty, and she became a widow in April 1525, at the moment when England forsook her Burgundian ally. At first it was imagined that she would marry the Emperor, and she visited Spain, hoping, perhaps, in that way to effect her brother's deliverance. In the year 1526 Margaret was again in France and a widely spread tradition, doubted but not discussed by Mr Brewer, points to her as the wife intended for the King. The Venetian Falier, the only diplomatist who showed a disposition to accept the Cardinal's account of the divorce, says that he had made proposals for her hand. The testimony of other writers is vitiated by an anachronism, for they assign the divorce to the year 1527, when Margaret was already married to a second husband Guicciardini and Harpsfield speak of Rence, as if either name was a guess suggested by obvious probability. Du Bellay, the shrewdest courtiers, conjectured that Rence had been thought of He cannot have heard that it was Margaret. She herself once reminded Henry, in after-years, that she was to have been his wife. This speech, which would have been ungracious if she had refused him, was an allusion to proposals made by Lewis XII, immediately after Prince Arthur's death, and renewed in vain until 1507 Francis I was willing to encourage a measure which would perpetuate enmity between his powerful neighbours, but he would have lost his advantage by implicating himself irrevocably on one side of the quarrel Intermarriage with the House of Tudor was an object of his policy, but before concluding it he gave his sister in marriage to the King of Navarre, and planned a match between Renée and Hercules, Prince of Este 1 In the spring of 1527 no princess was left who could have taken the place of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Margaret was betrothed to Navarre at Christmas, 1526. The proposed match between Renée and the son of the Duke of Ferrara was known 4th April 1527 (Desjardins, Nigoc avec la Toscane, ii 935)

Catharine. The repudiation of his Spanish wife would not enable Henry to compensate himself by closer ties with France. The divorce, promising no political advantage, could only make way for the elevation of an English bride. But though purposeless now as an affair of State, it became an object of passion

After long preliminaries a treaty of alliance with France was signed in April 1527, and Henry betrothed his daughter Mary to the son of his ally. The event was celebrated on the 4th of May by a ball, at which the French ambassador, Turenne, danced with the Princess King Henry's partner was Anne Boleyn. At that time she had lived at Court four years, and Henry, though not dissolute according to the standard of contemporary monarchs, had long regarded her with feelings which contributed to make him indifferent to a foreign match. She repelled his suit, and for more than a year he could obtain no sign of requited love. At length he made her an offer of marriage, which was accepted. His letter is undated; but it must have been written about the time when Anne Boleyn first became conspicuous not later, because the intrigue which was designed to make her Queen stood revealed before the end of May There is cogent reason to believe that it was not written earlier. Lord Rochford deposed before the Legates at Blackfriars that the conjugal estrangement between the King and Queen had begun in 15271 His evidence is worthless regarding the date of the desertion of Catharine; but it goes far to determine the date of the engagement of Anne, which he must have known For in the interest of the Boleyns it was essential that the scruples of Henry should have preceded the proposals of marriage to their daughter If the offer had been made earlier than 1527, it would have ruined their cause to assign to that year the awakening of the King's conscience.

As soon as the Queen had an appointed rival, and the pleas of policy and religion were absorbed in the

<sup>1</sup> Speaking on the 15th of July 1529, he said, "about two years since" (Horter's Life, 114)

stronger influences of passion, the divorce was pressed forward with desperate and unrelenting energy. The friendship of France was secured, and there was nothing to be feared from Rome. On the 17th of May, the Archbishops, Warham and Wolsey, responsible in their character of Legates for the observance of public morality and ecclesiastical law, called Henry to justify himself before them, forasmuch as he was living, in defiance of the Levitical prohibition, in wedlock with his brother's widow. The proceedings were secret. Proctors appeared to accuse and to defend the marriage. Both accuser and defender were officers in the household of the King.

The effect of this collusive suit was to put Henry in the position of defendant. He took charge of the Queen's interests as well as his own. He was not a persecutor, but a victim, the protector, not the assailant, of her happiness and honour. It was in his power so to conduct the defence as to ensure his condemnation, and so to contrive his appeal as to ensure its rejection. Instead of putting forward his own suspicious scruples, he would appear to yield, with grief and remorse, to the solemn voice of the Church, reproaching him with involuntary sin, and dividing those whom God had not joined. It was intended that Catharine should know nothing until sentence was given

At the end of a fortnight Wolsey adjourned the court. So grave an issue required, he said, that he should consult with the most learned prelates. In truth, the plot was marred by the fall of Rome. The Pope was shut up in the castle of St. Angelo. There was no hope that the Emperor's prisoner would confirm a sentence against the Emperor's aunt. There was danger that he might be induced, by fear or calculation, to revoke the Legate's authority, or to visit the fraudulent intrigue with the censures which were never better employed than in protecting the weak, and upholding the sanctity of marriage. That danger neither Henry nor Wolsey had the hardihood to face. No more was heard of the abortive suit until, in our day, Mr. Brewer dragged it into light.

Wolsey had already sounded the opinion of the divines The first consultation was unfavourable. The Bishop of London, the Dean of St. Paul's, Wakefield, the first Hebrew scholar in the country, six learned men sent up to Lambeth by the University of Cambridge, pronounced that the marriage was valid Pace and Wakefield promptly retracted Cambridge was partially brought round by Cranmer. It was generally believed in England that Catharine, in her brief union with Prince Arthur, had not, in fact, contracted affinity with her husband's kindred It was difficult otherwise to understand how Henry VII could have spoken seriously of making her his Queen Such things might be in Portugal, where the King could scarcely be prevented from marrying his step-mother But in England stricter notions prevailed Tunstall afterwards declared that he had defended the marriage only until he was convinced that the popular belief on this point was wrong

No English divine enjoyed so high a reputation as John Fisher, the Bishop of Rochester Of all the works written against Luther in the beginning of the Reformation, his were the most important; and he was eminent not only in controversy, but as a promoter of that new learning which theologians who were weaker in the faith looked on with detestation and dismay. Fisher's support would have been worth having, for he was neither subservient to Wolsey, like the Bishops of Lincoln and Bath, nor afraid of him, like the Primate, and he would have carried with him the whole weight of the school of Erasmus, which constituted the best portion of the English Church As Wolsey deemed him an enemy, the question was submitted to him in terms so general that Fisher appears to have made answer without suspecting that he was taking the first step on a road ending at the scaffold

Catharine had been apprised, very early, of all that was done. In the month of March she had taken alarm. She was not allowed to see the Spanish ambassador alone, but she warned him that she had

need of his protection. On the 22nd of Jine Henry informed her that he could regard her no longer as his lawful wife. In spite of the vigilance of the Government, Catharine despatched her physician and one of her attendants to Spain, to instruct the Emperor of the outrage inflicted on his blood. The remedy she desired was that he should cause the Pope to revoke the powers which had been delegated to the Cardinal for life. The ambassador, Mendora, reported at the same time that public animosity was rising against him, that his enemies were forcing upon him measures by which he would mevitably work out his own destruction, and that Tunstall would soon be Chancellor in his stead

The French alliance afforded Wolsey the means of recovering his influence, and of becoming once more, for a short space, the principal personage in Europe head of the most splendid embassy that ever crossed the Channel, he went to concert with Francis the measures to be taken in common defence against their triumphant enemy It was necessary to provide, during the abeyance of the Papacy, for the government of the national Churches Wolsey agreed with Francis that they should administer the ecclesiastical interests of both countries without reference to the Pope while his captivity lasted, and should be free to accept his acts or to reject them at pleasure A still larger scheme for the government of the entire Church was proposed by the French suspension of the Papal authority was not so formidable as the uses to which it might be put by the ambition of Charles If he could not compel his prisoner to serve him as the instrument of his vengeance against France and England, it was in his power to put a more pliant and trusty cardinal in his place. This was no visionary apprehension Ferdinand of Austria was entreating his brother not to relax his grasp until the Pope had accomplished all that was wanted for the settlement of Europe, and Mendoza, seeking to tempt Wolsey away from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Esta muy sospechosa que en ninguna cosa se hablen verdad ' (Mendoza to Charles 10th March 1527)

connection with France, whispered to him that the Emperor now united the spiritual and temporal power, and was in a position to fulfil his ancient promise, by deposing Clement. Wolsey was proof against such solicitation. The Divorce parted him irrevocably from Charles, and when the Emperor, seriously alarmed by the report that Wolsey was to be made Patriarch of Gaul, and meant to detach the Gallican and Anglican Churches from the See of Rome, offered him a sum which would be now £160,000, even that stupendous bribe was tendered in vain

Francis I. offered passports to the Italian cardinals, inviting them to assemble at Avignon to consult with Wolsey and with their French colleagues for the welfare of religion. Wolsey urged them to come, in the expectation that he would, at their head, possess a virtual supremacy. The cardinals who were in France joined with him to inform Clement that they held themselves absolved from their obedience, and intended, if he should die in captivity, to elect a Pontiff for themselves Among the signatures to this momentous declaration are the names not only of the French and English Chancellors, but of the Legate Salviati, who was nearly related to the Pope It was not entirely unwelcome to Clement himself,1 as it made it less likely that the Emperor would coerce him But he refused to permit his cardinals to accept the ominous invitation to Avignon, for Gattinara met it by threatening him with a council to be summoned by Colonna To meet the resistance of the Italian cardinals, Wolsey devised the boldest of all his manœuvres. He proposed that Clement should sign a protest nullifying all the acts he might perform under pressure of captivity, and should appoint Wolsey his Vicar-General until the moment of his deliverance. charged Gambara, the Nuncio in England, to obtain these powers by persuading the Pope that Charles would never set him free, and that his Vicar would do his will in all

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Gaudeoque nostra in S D N ecclesiasticaeque authoritatis gratiam suscepta consilia, ex his indiciis ab ejus Sanctitate probari, quae exhibuit per nuncium illum clandestinum quem ad Dom Lautrec ab ea nuper missum V R. D scribit" (Wolsey to Duprat, 5th October 1527)

things. He was carefully to conceal from him the purpose to which the required authority was to be applied. It would have settled the question of Divorce, by enabling Wolsey to appoint the judges and to hear the appeal To strengthen his envoy's hands, he proposed to the French Chancellor, Duprat, that Francis should pledge himself to Wolsey to employ all the resources of France in the Pope's service, and not to sheathe the sword until he was delivered. The engagement was to be seen before starting by Gambara. Then Wolsey undertook, by virtue of his special powers, to release the French King from his bond. After it had been described in fitting terms to Clement, and had exalted his confidence and admiration for the Cardinal, it was to become waste paper.

It was the opinion of Henry's advicers that the question of his marriage might still have been settled, as it was begun, within the realm, and Wolsey's elaborate and demonstrative arrangements for a separation from Rome that might endure indefinitely, confirmed their It was unreasonable that grave ecclesiastical causes should wait the pleasure of the hostile soldiery that guarded the Pontiff, or that an issue of vital consequence to the English crown and nation should be left to the judgment of men who were the helpless prisoners of an interested and adverse party. But on this point Wolsey was resolved to bear down all opposition Rome supplied the qualification that made him indispensable To preserve that supply, to maintain his position as Legate against the influence of Charles V, he upheld with a firm and jealous hand the prerogatives of the Papacy, and he succeeded, with some difficulty, in convincing his master that it would be unsafe to proceed with no better warrant than they possessed already

The Cardinal was absent during the whole summer; the ablest men who were engaged in public affairs, Tunstall, More, and Gardiner, were in his retinue, and those who envied his greatness and denied his capacity possessed the King's ear. They disbelieved that the Pope would be willing now to help them against the

Emperor, or would assent to Wolsey's audacious plans for assuming his place. He might succeed, without any profit to the King He might effect his own exaltation, and might then be intimidated from employing it for the desired end It was plain that he was using the Divorce for his own aggrandisement. His aggrandisement might, after all, do nothing for the Divorce. When his vast designs were unfolded, a sense that they were outwitted fell upon the cabal that were pushing the fortunes of Anne Boleyn. Wolsey had been ready in May to go all lengths, and he now declined to go further without the cognisance of Rome, or to question the plenitude of the dispensing power It seemed that he was betraying the King to the Pope. He defended himself in a remarkable letter, and fancied that he had dispersed the gathering storm When Henry expressed a wish to see Gardiner, he replied that he could not spare him.

Then, for a season, his adversaries prevailed. They persuaded Henry that he could reach his end by a shorter road, and he sent his Secretary Knight to Rome, with instructions which were unknown to Wolsey For the delicate mission of inducing the Pope to abdicate his supreme functions in Wolsey's hands, he had chosen to employ none but Italians. The Nuncio Gambara, supported by letters from Cardinal Salviati, was to open the matter. Gambara was to be followed by Casale and Ghinucci. Stafileo, Bishop of Sebenico and Dean of the Rota, promised his assistance; for Wolsey had found him in France, and had no difficulty in moulding his opinion. Ghinucci and Casale were the most respectable of all the agents engaged in these transactions But Gambara was a man steeped in Italian intrigue, and Stafileo obtained the promise of a French bishopric and a Cardinal's hat, and died in the following summer, claiming his reward with a vigour injurious to the credit of his legal advice. Clement afterwards accused Stafileo of having been the author of the mischief His adhesion was a notable event, for he presided over the supreme tribunal by which, in the last instance, the validity of marriages was

decided, and it was a significant circumstance that the King's cause was at once taken up and pleaded by the official agents of the Papacy.

But the artful machinery which Wolsey had contrived was thrust aside, the management was wrested from his hands, and he was obliged to recall his instructions, while Knight proceeded to execute orders which were studiously concealed from his knowledge. During the interval in which his adversaries pursued the matter in their own way, and laboured to rob him of the merit of success, Clement made terms with his conquerors. The Protest and the Vicariate became words without a meaning, and Wolsey's dream of superseding the Pope was dissolved.

The substance of Knight's mission was to procure a dispensation for bigamy The original intention was only to seek a dispensation for marriage within the forbidden degrees when the first should be dissolved could be requisite only because the King had been the lover of the mother or sister of Anne Boleyn declared that it was not the mother The dispensation demanded would, in some measure, have confirmed the right to try the cause in London But the Nuncio advised that it should be unconditional, and should not be made to depend on the divorce of Catharine petition was not brought before the Pope. Knight was overtaken on the way by Lord Rochford's chaplain, bringing an altered draft. Cranmer was chaplain to Lord Rochford. He was so much averse to the theories that were undermining the marriage-law, that he protested vehemently against the later practice of his Lutheran friends, calling them Mohammedans for their encouragement of polygamy. It would appear that he was the author of the altered counsels.

When Wolsey on his return reported himself to Henry, the answer came to him in the shape of an order from Anne Boleyn He could measure the ground he had lost by his prolonged absence He regained it in the following winter by his inexhaustible energy and resource, and

the importunities of Anne for some token of attention, were it even a basket of shrimps, confirmed him in the assurance of recovered power. Knight's negotiations with Roman and Tuscan masters of refined diplomacy ended in quick discomfiture. Long before his complacent incompetence was exposed, Wolsey had taken back into his own hands the conduct of affairs The sharp lesson just administered had taught him caution His services in promoting the Divorce were certain to increase the exasperation of the people, and could never disarm the hatred or the vengeance of the magnates whom he had humbled. Success was not less dangerous than failure It became the object of his efforts to transfer from himself the formidable burden of responsibility, and to take shelter behind a higher authority. He applied first for powers for himself, or for Stafileo, to try the validity of the marriage, but he required that their commission should be couched in terms which implicitly ruled the decision When he knew that the Pope was about to be released, he tried to give him a larger share of action, by proposing that a Cardinal should be sent over as Legate, in the hope that his Commission would enable him to control the Legate's course, and to dictate the sentence. In a passage which was omitted from the fair copy of this despatch, Wolsey confessed that the dissolution of a marriage which had lasted so long would give too great a shock to public feeling for him to take it upon himself

Before the day came on which the Imperialists had covenanted to release the Pope, he was allowed to escape, and he made his way to Orvieto, where the emissaries of Henry, bringing to his feet the humble but fervent prayer of their King, taught him that he possessed, as Bishop of Rome, resources more than sufficient to restore the lost sovereignty of Central Italy. He was without the semblance of a Court. Few of the prelates, and not the best of them, had joined him in his flight. His chief adviser in this most arduous conjuncture of his stormy Pontificate was Lorenzo Pucci, Cardinal of Santi Quattro, a Floren tine, and an adherent of his house, who, after the death of

Leo, had attempted to raise him, by surprise and acclamation, to the vacant throne. To many sordid vices Pucci added the qualities of energy and intrepidity, which his master wanted At the storming of Rome he was the only Cardinal seen upon the walls He was struck down whilst, with his voice and his example, he strove to rally the defenders, and climbed into the Castle through a window after the gates had been closed. He had been Minister under Julius, and, for his extortions under Leo, men said that no punishment was too bad for him Wolsey had given orders that money must not be spared, but Pucci, who was noted for cupidity, refused a present of two thousand crowns, and could never be made to swerve in his resistance to the English petitions He drew up the Commission which Knight asked for, with alterations that made it of no effect, and he baffled the English envoys with such address that the winter passed away before Henry had obtained any concession that he could use, or that the Pope could reasonably regret.

The dominant purpose was to gain time Emperor, on receiving the messages of Catharine and Mendoza, immediately insisted, through his Viceroy at Naples, that Wolsey should be forbidden to act in the matter, and this demand reached Clement whilst still surrounded by the soldiery that had sacked Rome before his face He had now become free, but it was the freedom of an exile and a fugitive, without a refuge or a protector from an enemy who was supreme in the Peninsula. The instrument which the skill of Pucci had made innocuous and unavailing, appeared to him charged with dreadful consequences He begged that it might be suppressed His dejection made him slow to perceive how much Henry's intense need of his spiritual services improved his political position. He strove to exclude the cause from his own direct jurisdiction Having consulted with Pucci, and with Simonetta, the ablest canonist in Rome, he exhorted Henry to obey the dictates of his own conscience, and to dismiss the Queen and take another wife, if he was convinced that he could lawfully do it, Wolsey's Legatine powers, or the Commission lately issued, were ample for the purpose. Once married to Anne Boleyn, Henry had nothing to fear But if he waited the slow process of law, and gave time for protests and appeals, the Emperor might compel them to give sentence in Rome. Clement deemed that it would be a less exorbitant strain of his prerogative, and less offensive to Charles V, to tolerate the second marriage, than to annul the first.

Henry VIII. consented to be guided by Wolsey against the judgment of his Council, but he had inclined at first to more summary and rapid methods, and the mission of Knight in the autumn of 1527 showed that he was slow to abandon that alternative. That he should, nevertheless, have rejected an expedient which was in the interest of those to whom he habitually listened, which was recommended by his own strong passions, and which the confidential counsel of the Pope invested with exceptional security, is the strangest incident in the history of the Divorce. Wolsey's influence is insufficient to explain it, for Clement repeated his advice after Wolsey's fall, and yet three years passed before Henry's tenacity yielded. In March 1530, the Pope was at Bologna, holding conference with the newly crowned and reconciled Emperor Charles V. required him to threaten Henry with anathema and interdict if he should contract a second marriage Clement could not pending judgment on the first. resist the demand, but he yielded reluctantly forth a Bull in the terms which the Emperor required But in private he expressed a wish that his menace might be vain, and that the King's purpose might be accomplished without involving him in complicity words were spoken in secret, and at Orvieto also Clement had desired that his advice should be attributed to the Henry may well have prelates who were about him feared that, after taking an irrevocable step, he might be compelled to purchase indemnity by some exorbitant sacrifice, or he may have apprehended in 1528 what happened five years later, that the Pope, compelled by

the Emperor, would excommunicate him for disobeying his injunctions. Having taken his stand, and resolved to seek his end on the safer ground of submission and authority, he refused to abandon it

All the auspices at first favoured Henry, and every prejudice told against the Emperor, whose crafty policy, while it enabled Lutheranism to establish itself in Germany, had inflicted irreparable injury on the See of Rome. The sympathies of the Roman Court were as decided on one side as they might be now in a dispute between the head of the House of Bourbon and the head of the House of Savoy Henry VIII had given, during a reign of eighteen years, proofs of such fidelity and attachment as had never been seen on any European throne. No monarch since Saint Lewis had stood so high in the confidence and the gratitude of the Church He had varied his alliances between Austria, France, and Spain, but during four warlike pontificates Rome had always found him at its side. He had stood with Julius against Maximilian and Lewis, with Leo against Francis, with Clement against Charles He had welcomed a Legate in his kingdom, where none had been admitted even by the House of Lancaster He was the only inexorable repressor of heresy among the potentates of Europe, and he permitted the man to whom the Pope had delegated his own authority to govern almost alone the councils of the State.

No testimony of admiration and good will by which Popes acknowledge the services of kings was wanting to his character as the chosen champion of religion. The hat, the sword, and the golden rose had repeatedly been sent to him. Julius, in depriving Lewis XII of his designation of the Most Christian King, had conferred it upon Henry, and he bore, before Luther was heard of, the title of Defender of the Faith His book was not yet written when Leo X convoked the cardinals in

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Regra etiam Majestas aegre fert quod de titulo desensoris sanctae Fidei nihil adhue accepent quasi ejus sanctitas ea re timuerit Gallos offendere" (Wolsey, Desp., 22nd May 1517 Martene, Amplissima Collectio, in. 1274)

order that they might select a title of honour worthy of such services and such fame, and it was suggested in the Consistory that Henry deserved to be called the Angelic King.1 His bitterest enemy, Pole, averred that no man had done more for Rome, or had been so much beloved Such was his reputation in Christendom that when he talked of putting away a wife who was stricken in years to marry a bride in the early bloom of her beauty, the world was prepared to admire his scruples rather than to doubt his sincerity. Clement, though not without suspicions, suffered them to be allayed. He spoke of the case as one which was beyond his skill, but which no divine was more competent to decide than Henry himself, Campeggio declared, even at the Imperial Court, his belief that Henry's doubts were real Cajetan wrote of him in 1534, Cochlaeus in 1535, with the full assurance that he had been deceived by others, and that his own religious knowledge was teaching him to discover and to repair the error of his advisers. After the final condemnation had been pronounced, a prelate engaged in the affair wrote to him in terms implying that in Rome it was understood that he had been led astray, not by passion but by designing men Even Paul III protested that he had made Fisher a Cardinal in the belief that Henry would esteem the elevation of his subject a compliment to himself

The good faith of Henry was attested by an imposing array of supporters. The Nuncio came to Rome to plead his cause. Stafileo and Simonetta, the foremost judges of the Rota, admitted that it was just Two French bishops who had visited England, and who afterwards became cardinals, Du Bellay and Grammont, persistently supported

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Cardinalis de Flisio tune primus in ordine Card, in Consistorio existentium, divit sibi videri quod posset scribi et denominari pius, seu pientissimus Papa dicebat quod forsitan posset denominari Rex Apostolicus Nonnulli ex Cardinalibus dicebant velle scire causam propter quam dicto regi hujusmodi titulus concederetur, ut melius discuti posset qui titulus ei concedendus foret. Alius dicebat denominandum regem Fidelem, alius Angelicum, tanquam ab Anglia, alius Orthodoxum, alius Ecclesiasticum, alius Protectorem" (Acta Consistorialia, 10th June 1521). A slightly different report of this curious debate may be found in Lämmer's Meletematum Mantissa, 199

Cardinal Salviati entreated Clement to satisfy the English demands Wolsey, on whom the Pope had lavished every token of his confidence, Warham, the sullen and jealous opponent of Wolsey, who had been primate for a quarter of a century, and who was now an old man drawing near the grave, Longland, the Bishop of Lincoln,1 the King's confessor, and a bulwark against heresy—all believed that the marriage was void English bishops, with one memorable exception, confirmed the King's doubts The Queen's advisers, Clerk, Standish, Ridley, successively deserted her Lee, the adversary of Erasmus, who followed Wolsey at York, and Tunstall, the Bishop of London, who followed him at Durham, went against her The most serious defection was that of Tunstall, for the school of Erasmus were known to oppose the Divorce, and of the friends of Erasmus among the English clergy, Cuthbert Tunstall was the most eminent He is the only Englishman whose public life extended through all the changes of religion, from the publication of the Theses to the Act of Uniformity The love and admiration of his greatest contemporaries, the persecution which he endured under Edward, his tolerance under Mary, have preserved his name in honour. Yet we may suspect that a want of generous and definite conviction had something to do with the moderation which is the mark of his career He reproved 2 Erasmus for his imprudence in making accessible the writings of the early Fathers, and in the deliberations touching the separation from Rome, in the most important Session of the Parliament of England, when he was, by his position, his character, and his learning, the first man in the House of Lords, he allowed himself to be silenced by an order from the King Tunstall informed Catharine that he had abandoned her cause because he believed that she had sworn a false oath.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chapuys calls him "Principal Promoteur et brisseur de ce Divorce" (Legrand, Lettres à Burret, 141)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cui etiam si germana sit Origenis, et non ab aemulis addita, veteres omnes refragantur Quare optassem magis delituisse non versam" (Tunstall to Erasmus, 24th October 1529 Burscher, Spicilegium, xviii. 13)

Nor did the conduct of the most distinguished English laymen confirm the reported unpopularity of the Divorce. It is certain that Sir Thomas More and Reginald Pole were conscientiously persuaded that the Queen was a lawful wife. Pole had, moreover, an almost personal interest to preserve inviolate Mary's right to the Crown, and he wrote in its defence with such ability and persuasiveness, that Cranmer thought he would carry the whole country with him if his book became known. Yet Pole allowed himself to be employed in obtaining the assent of the University of Paris, and accepted his share of merit and responsibility in a success which cost Henry more than a million of frances.

Sir Thomas More had defended divorce in the most famous work that England had produced since the invention of printing The most daring innovator of the age, he had allowed his sentiments to be moulded by the official theology of the Court. Under that sinister influence, More, the apostle of Toleration, who had rivalled Tertulian and Lactantius in asserting the liberty of conscience, now wrote of the Lutherans such words as these - "For heretykes as they be, the clergy dothe denounce them And as they be well worthy, the temporaltie dothe burne them And after the fyre of Smythfelde, hell dothe receyve them, where the wretches burne for ever" Henry supposed that a man whose dogmatic opinions he had been able to modify would not resist pressure on a subject on which he had already shown a favourable bias. More was steadfast in upholding the marriage, but never permitted his views to be known He represented to Henry that he was open to conviction, that he was incompetent to pronounce and willing to receive instruction. He promised to read nothing that was written in favour of the Queen. reticent and discreet a supporter could not be counted on

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Caterina sentiva rimorso nell' animo, et hebbe a dir che non moriva contenta, se nel sangue della Signora Marganta non ritornava la speranza della successione di quel Regno, significando di volere mantar la figliola con uno delli figlioli di detta Signora, alli quali mostrava grande amore" (Beccadelli, Vita del Polo, 280)

her side, and More consented, as Chancellor, to act ministerially against her. He assured the House of Commons that Henry was not urging the Divorce for his own pleasure, but solely to satisfy his conscience and to preserve the succession, that the opinions of the Universities had been honestly given, and that those of Oxford and Cambridge alone were enough to settle the question. Whilst he remained in power he left the Queen to her fate, and did his best to put off the hour of trial that was to prove the heroic temper of his soul.

The Bishop of Rochester, indeed, was faithful and outspoken to the end, but his judgment was not safe to trust. Death for the sake of conscience has surrounded the memory of Fisher with imperishable praise, but at that time he was the one writer among our countrymen who had crudely avowed the conviction that there is no remedy for religious error but fire and steel, and the sanction of his fame was already given to the Bloody Statute, and to a century of persecution and of suffering more cruel than his own Fisher suspected the attack on the Dispensation of concealing a design against the Church, and he therefore based the Queen's defence on the loftiest assertion of prerogative. His examination of the authorities was able and convincing. He admitted that they were not all on his side, but he held that even if the balance had leaned heavily against him it would not have injured his client. The interpretation of law, the solution of doubts pertained to the Pope, and the Pope had decided this dispute by the undeniable act of dispensation The question might have been difficult on its merits, but there was, in reality, no question at all

The value of the maxim, that the fact proves the right, had just then been seriously impaired. The divine whom Leo X appointed to encounter Luther had invoked that principle. It was absurd, he contended, to try the existing system of indulgences by the rule of tradition, when it was plainly justified by the daily practice of the Church. But the argument of Prierias was discredited by Adrian VI, who readily avowed that there had of

late been grievous abuse of power, and that dispensations only hold good if they are granted for sufficient cause It was a source of weakness in dealing with the first signs of Protestantism in England to adopt a position which had been so recently discarded in the conflict with the Reformation in Germany But Fisher went still farther The strength of the argument for the Queen was that a prohibition could not be absolute from which the contingency of a brother dying childless had been specially excepted. But her advisers would not trust that plea-The law was clearer than the exception. No brother, in the history of Christianity, had felt bound to obey the injunction of Deuteronomy The prohibition of Leviticus had been almost universally observed. This objection was felt so strongly, that Fisher and the advocates of Catharine contended that even if the Divine law forbade the marriage, the Divine law must yield to the law of the Church 1 Clement, however, admitted that the right to dispense against the law of God was not generally assigned to him by divines,2 and, being so little versed in books himself that he took no offence when men spoke of his want of learning, he did not insist on it. The claim was an unsafe ground for sustaining the marriage; for the marriage was the most effective precedent by which papal Canonists sustained the claim 3 The argument was set aside by the more cautious disputants, both in Rome and in England, but it had done the work of a signal of distress, to indicate the insecurity of the cause,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Belgian canonists employed for Catharine said "Concedantur omnia Regi quod nuctoritas priedicta sit juris divini et quod factum de quo est quaestio sit in terminis affinitatis, nullatenus tamen illi concedendum est, quod Pont non liquerit etiam hoc casu dispensare. Cum maximo consensii et canonuni consulta et prudentum responsa pontifici juris divini declarandi interpretandi, linu tandi, et contra illud dispensandi potestatem concedant." Lisher, De Carini Matrimenti, p. 42, writes. "Nullis argumentationibus diffiniri potest, sed solus Pont. interpretatione "

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Pope said to Casale on Christmas Day, 1529, that all the diviner are arguest the power of the Pope to dispense in such a case (Bre. er., 19. 6103). Gardiner wrote on the 21st of April. "The Pope will hear no disjutation as to former wrote on the 21st of April 11 Into 10 pe with near no disjunction as to his poser of dispensing. He seems not to care him elf whether the cause be decided by that article or no, so he did it not (5476)

2. Quod Papa possit, ex gestis Pom. Post patet. Moderna queque Regina Anghae consummatent prius matrimonium cum olim featre i in 1 run Anghae sui manti. (Cajetan, in Summam. See. See indice 154, 9)

and it had deepened the consciousness of division in the English Church

The shifts by which several writers defended the marriage betray much perplexity. One divine attributed the matrimonial troubles of Jupiter and Saturn to the want of a Papal dispensation. Another explained that the prohibition to marry a brother's wife had crept into the Pentateuch by the fault of a transcriber. It was commonly believed, by a mistaken application of a pronoun in the works of St. Antoninus, that Martin V, with a view to avoid scandal, had permitted a man to marry his own sister. And there were some who maintained that a man might marry not only his sister, but his grandmother, and even his own mother or daughter.

The reasons submitted on the part of Henry VIII for suspecting the validity of his marriage were presented with such moderation, and such solicitude to avoid disparaging the Papal power, that they explain, apart from the weighty considerations of interest, the long hesitation of Rome. The maxim that a dispensation, to be good, must be warranted by sufficient reason, was generally admitted by canonists, and Julius, in excusing his delay, had said that a dispensation opposed to law and good morals can be justified only by necessity Assuming, therefore, in principle, his right to perform the act, the question raised was, whether necessity had been shown, and whether the motives alleged by the petitioners were adequate and true The English argued that Henry VII and Ferdinand V had deceived the Pope with false statements Henry had pretended that without the marriage there was danger of war, yet he made it manifest that no such urgent purpose of public welfare existed. The dispensation had no sooner reached his hands than he confessed that it was not wanted, by causing his son to make a solemn protest that he did not mean to use it Henry VII survived four years longer, persisting in his determination to prevent the match. It was said that he was troubled in conscience, and Erasmus affirms that

<sup>1</sup> Lopez to Emanuel, Gairdner, Letters of Henry VII, il 147

extraordinary pressure was afterwards required to induce Henry VIII. to recant his protest and to marry Catharine

Her father, though more deeply interested than Henry VII in securing her marriage, refused for many years to pay the money, without which, according to the agreement, there was to be no wedding. The plea of political necessity for a dispensation, which was repudiated as soon as received, and was not employed during six years from the date of the first demand, was nothing but a transparent pretence

To this was added another argument, calculated immeasurably to facilitate the task of the Pope. assured him that Prince Arthur had been too young for marriage, and that Catharine, during her short union with a failing invalid, had not contracted the supposed affinity.1 The dispensation might therefore be granted easily without the presence of those cogent reasons which, in ordinary circumstances, would be required to make it valid was willing, to satisfy English scruples, that the Bull should provide for the opposite conditions, but he insisted that no such provision was necessary for the security of his daughter's conscience or of her legal position The Bull was drawn to meet the wishes of the English, but in terms which significantly indicated the influence of the Spanish representations.

Julius had promised it at the eve of his election, and he granted it by word of mouth immediately after Nevertheless, the Bull was wrung from him with great difficulty after a year's delay, by accident rather than consent. When Isabella the Catholic was dying, she implored him to comfort her last days with the sight of the dispensation which was to secure her daughter's happiness. It was impossible to refuse her prayer. Against the wish of Julius, a copy was sent from Spain to Henry VII, and the authentic instrument could not be withheld. But for this, the Pope would not have

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Ahunque en el dicho capitulo dize quel matrimonio de la dicha princesa nuestra hija con el principe de Gales Arthur ya deffunto, que gloria haya, fue consumado, pero la verdad es que no fue consumado y esto es muy cierto y muy sabido donde ella sta" (Ferdinand to Rojas, 23rd August 1503)

vielded. To the Cardinal Adrian, who was one of those whom he had appointed to advise him in the matter, he expressed a doubt whether such an act lay within his power. The Cardinal assured him that the thing had been done repeatedly by recent Pontiffs.

The contention was that these statements had misled the Pope into the belief that he was doing no more than the facts amply instified, whilst he was in reality exceeding the limits which all his predecessors had observed, on the strength of facts which were untrue. Unless it was certain that neither the imaginary precedents of Adrian, nor the pretended motives of Henry, nor the improbable allegations of Ferdinand, had influenced the decision of Julius II, there was serious ground to question its validity

It was an issue charged with genuine doubt, and not necessarily invidious in the sight of Rome. Nothing had yet occurred to fix men's minds on the problem, and opinion honestly differed. In the French and English Universities, responses favourable to Henry were obtained with some difficulty, and against strong minorities Although jurists in Italy could not earn his fee without risk of life, famous teachers of Bologna, Padua, and Sienna, whose names were cited with reverence in the Roman Courts, approved of his cause. The judgments of men in this controversy were not swayed by the position they occupied towards the Papacy Luther strenuously upheld the rights of Catharine. Sixtus V declared that Clement had deserved the sorrows that befell his Pontificate by permitting so iniquitous a marriage to endure so long For the action of Julius was challenged as a judge of fact, not as a judge of law The English disputed not the plenitude of his authority, but the information which had determined its use, and it was the opinion of Clement VII that Julius had not taken due pains to ascertain the truth i The gloss of almost ostentatious

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Clement said to Charles V at Bologna. "The Pope's function is to judge whether such a cause has arisen, but no such inquiry was made, or judgment given when the dispensation by Julius was granted" (Brewer, iv 6103)

respect wore off in the friction of conflict. But it was essential at first to the position and the tactics of Wolsey. Henry appeared in the character of an affectionate husband, bewildered in conscience by scruples he was anxious to remove. Nobody could bind him under deeper obligation than by enabling him to live with Catharine undisturbed. As late as the month of May 1529, long after this fiction had become contemptible, Gardiner had the effrontery to say that Henry still lived with the Queen on unaltered terms 1 But Wolsey soon put off this pretence, for if the only difficulty arose from a defect in the dispensation, the Pope could have afforded relief, as the Emperor proposed, by an act in more ample form.

After the failure of Knight, and of his Italian colleagues, Wolsey's tone became peremptory, and he resolved to make his strong hand felt. He despatched the King's almoner, Fox, with his own secretary, Gardiner, a man who had been engaged in the hidden work of the preceding May, and who was fitted to encounter the Roman jurists on their own ground, unswayed by He charged them to make Clement shame or fear understand that Henry's determination to put away Catharine was founded on secret causes lying deeper than love for Anne Boleyn, causes which neither the removal of his scruples nor any other remedy could touch, and that it would be executed, if necessary, independently of Rome That course would imperil the succession, would overthrow Wolsey, and, in the presence of advancing Lutheranism, would ruin the Church in England the Pope's interest, therefore, as much as his own, that the thing which could not be prevented should be done with full religious sanction, that an act of deference on one side should be met on the other by an act of grace. He wrote at the same time to Orvieto that the instruments granted to Knight were little better than a mockery, and that he regarded the hostile influence of the Emperor as the only obstacle he had to overcome

Gardiner was charged to obtain a Bull for Wolsey, in

<sup>1</sup> Brewer, 1V 5529

conjunction with a Roman Cardinal, directing them to try the cause, and if they should be satisfied of certain facts, which he thought it not difficult to establish, to declare the marriage null and void. Next to this joint commission, he preferred one for a Roman Legate alone. In the last extremity he would accept one for the two English Archbishops, but he would not act by himself. The Bull, as Wolsey drafted it, made a defence impossible, made the trial a mere formality, and virtually dissolved the marriage. Both Fox and Gardiner declared that it would be hazardous to rely on powers obtained in so disgraceful a manner. They nevertheless attempted to obtain the Bull, hoping that it might be useful at least for the purposes of intimidation and coercion.

The English envoys found the Pope in the dwelling of Cardinal Ridolfi, Bishop of Orvieto, beneath the shadow of the gorgeous cathedral, but surrounded by solitude and desolation, occupying a bare unfurnished chamber, and eating out of earthenware. At his first step Gardiner fell into an ambush Clement inquired after Wolsey, touching a report that he was against the Divorce. Gardiner eagerly testified to his zeal in its favour The Pope replied that, in that case, he would not be accepted as an impartial judge During two long interviews he met the strenuous exertions of the Englishman with imperturbable temper and dexterity He was ready to appoint Legates, and to confirm their sentence, but it was impossible to induce him to favour one party to the detriment of the other, in the manner of the proposed Bull Gardiner plied his arguments with extreme vigour Addressing the Pope, and the small group gathered round him, he protested that the King of England asked only for light to clear his conscience, and would obey the word of the Church, whatever it might be. He implored them not to repulse the wanderer who came as a suppliant to a guide. If he should appeal in vain to the Holy See, the world would say that they were deprived of wisdom, and that the Canons which were unintelligible to the Pope were only fit for the flames Pucci and the other prelates

he tened without emotion, for they were per uaded that Henry had other without than to clear up doubts. Clement conferred that he was not a scholar, and that, if it was true, as men averred, that all law was locked in the breast of the Pope, it was a lock to which, unfortunately, he had no key. When Gardiner declared that Henry would help himself, if Rome refused to help him, Clement replied that he heartily wished he had done it. Finding that it was usele r to ask for the Bull that Wolsey wanted, Gardiner proposed that an act defining the law as desired should be given privately, for fear of Spain, never to be produced times Clement refused to confirm the sentence. To this the Pope replied that if the thing was just it should be done openly, and if unjust, not at all

At length, when the final conference had listed during many weary hour, Gardiner, believing that he had lost his cause, kindled into anger. Gambara and Stafileo were present, and he exclaimed that they had made themselves tools to deceive and to betray the King Then he turned hercely arainst Clement, and denounced him. It was well, he said, that men should know how Rome treats those who serve her, that she may find no succour in her own extremity, and may fall with the consent and the applause of all the world. At these words the Pope sprang to his feet, and strode about the room, waving his arms, and crying that they might have the Commission as they wished. It was past midnight, on Maundy Thursday morning, when he yielded The clauses agreed upon were not what Gardiner wished for, but he thought them sufficient. They did not satisfy Wolsey He feared that the cause might be taken out of his hands, that the rule of law by which he tried it might be rejected, that his judgment might be reversed, by Clement or by his successor

When the English solicitations reached Clement, in the last days of his captivity and the first of his deliverance, he was weighed down by terror of the Spaniards, and he promised to do more for Henry whenever the approach of his allies made it a safer task. Lord Rochford's priest

was sent to accelerate the movements of Marshal I mitree, who, leaving the Pope to his fate, had wasted precious months in struggling with De Leyva for the possession of Lombardy. At length, by the roads that skirt the Adriatic, Lautrec marched south, and for the last time during many generations the French flag was velcomed in the ancient dominions of the house of Anjou On the 18th of February the Imperialists evacuated Rome They were speedily shut up in Naples and Gaeta, and up to the gates of the fortresses the French were masters of the country. In the bloodiest sea-fight of that age, the younger Doria, arming his galley-slaves, destroyed the Spanish fleet in the waters of Salerno Naples was blockaded stream that turned the mills of the garrison was cut off, and it was expected that the city would be starved out before midsummer. It was in the midst of these changes that Clement held anxious conference with the energetic Englishman whose speech was so significant of diminished reverence, who, as Wolsey's successor at Winchester, was soon to lend his powerful aid to the separation of England, and who lived to undo his own work, and to supply history with the solitary example of a nation once separated returning voluntarily to union with Rome Wolsey had already spoken of going over to Luther when the Papacy obstructed his designs, but Giberti had received the threat with scornful incredulity. Gardiner's warnings were less impressive than the vast change that was just then occurring in the condition of the Peninsula. From April to July French ascendency seemed to be established, and the Spanish commanders informed Charles V that, unless Naples was relieved before the end of August, his dominion over Italy was lost for ever During those four months Wolsey was able to wring from Clement's unsteady hand every concession he required.

A Commission, dated 13th April 1528, gave him power, in conjunction with any English Bishop he might select, to try the cause, to dissolve the marriage if the dispensation was not proved to be valid, and to do all things that could be done by the Pope himself. A second

document of the same tenour was directed to Wolsey alone, but, as it has not been found in this country, was probably never sent. The first was not employed, as both Henry and his Chancellor felt that they would not be safe without the intervention of an Italian cardinal. A third Commission, enabling them to decide jointly or severally, was therefore issued to Wolsey and Campeggio. these immense concessions should be neutralised Spanish influence, they were further secured by a written promise. Clement declared, on the solemn word of a Roman Pontiff, that, considering the justice of the King's cause, whose marriage transgressed divine and human law,1 he would never revoke the powers he had granted, or interfere with their execution, and that if he should do anything inconsistent with that promise, the act should be null and void. He went still further He entrusted to Campeggio a Decretal similar to that which he had formerly refused, declaring the dispensation valid only in the event that the assurance given to Pope Julius by Ferdinand of Aragon was true. This important document was never to leave the Legate's hands, and was to be seen by none but Wolsey and the King At the end of July, when the fortunes of Spain were at the darkest, Campeggio, thus provided, set out for England

Wolsey, relying on their own friendship and on the benefits of Henry, made choice of Campeggio as early as December 1527 Gardiner was persuaded that the cause would be safe in his hands, and Clement encouraged the belief. But Casale, who knew the ground better than Gardiner or Wolsey, remonstrated against the choice. The Spaniards reported that the Pope had given Henry leave to have two wives, and as it was commonly supposed that the Cardinal was sent to enable him to gain his purpose, he was compelled to travel by roads that were safe from the incursions of Imperialists Charles V., convinced that the cause was lost if tried in Eng-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gardiner thought the first words of this document, "justiciam eius cause perpendentes," the most decisive of all the concessions made by Clement (Brewer, iv 5476)

the victor Clement had resolved to submit, at any sacrifice, to the Imperialists.

When the Emperor learnt how vigorously the English envoys were labouring to extort the Pope's assent to the Divorce, he resolved to tempt him by splendid offers. He would restore his dominions; he would release his hostages; and he proposed an alliance by marriage between their houses. Musetola, who brought these proposals early in June, was well received, and it soon appeared that the Pope was willing to abandon the League. It had done nothing for him There was no hope for the Papacy in Italy, no prospect of resisting Lutheranism in Germany, except through Charles V. No reliance could be placed now in the French, or could ever have been placed with reason in the Italian con-The people for whom Clement had raised the cry of national independence, in whose cause, identified with his own, he had exposed the Church and himself to incalculable risk, and had suffered the extremity of humiliation and ruin, were making profit out of his disasters Venice, his intimate ally, had laid its grasp on Cervia and Ravenna. The Duke of Ferrara, a papal vassal, occupied the papal cities of Modena and Reggio Florence, his own inheritance, had cast off the dominion of his family, and restored the Republic. One way of recovering all things remained to him. He must put away the ambition of Giberti and Sadolet, he must accept Charles as the inevitable master of Italy, and stipulate with him for restitution and revenge. Early in September Clement's resolution was taken In October he returned to Rome. At Christmas he bestowed the hat and sword on Philibert, Prince of Orange, the general who took the command of the Imperialists when Bourbon was struck down at the foot of the Janiculum, and on whom rested the responsibility for the unutterable horror of the sack of Rome. When Campeggio arrived in London, things had gone so far that a sentence dissolving the marriage was not to be thought of. The problem that taxed his ingenuity was to avoid the necessity of pronouncing

sentence either way, at least until the Pope should be sufficiently assured of friendship from his detested enemy, to be able to defy the resentment of his ally

Campeggio's instructions were to clude the difficulty by inducing Henry to desist, or by prevailing on Catharine to retire to a convent. If these resources failed, the Pope relied on his experience to find means to protract the business, and put off the evil day. With Henry there could be no hope. During the summer he was separated from Anne by the sweating sickness. She was taken ill. The King, in great alarm, made ready for the prospect of immediate death. He resorted with fervour to works of religion. He confessed frequently, and practised constant penance for his sins. But his treatment of Catharine was not among the sins of which he was taught to repent. He hailed the Legate's arrival as the signal of his approaching deliverance, and made open preparation for an early marriage. At Campeggio's endeavours to change his purpose by urging the danger of offending Cæsar, he became indignant and vociferous, and the Legate could do nothing, for his hands were tied by the secret Bull

do nothing, for his hands were tied by the secret Bull

When the King and Wolsey saw that document, they insisted that it should be shown to the Council. In their hands it would have served to settle the controversy. It decided the point of law in the manner desired by Henry. The Pope having declared the law, they could judge of the fact without him. They had got from Rome all that they absolutely required, and the object of Wolsey's policy was attained. To apply to the case in dispute the principle laid down by the supreme ecclesiastical authority, an inferior authority might suffice. Protected by the Bull, they would incur little danger in following Clement's unwelcome counsel to help themselves. The credit of Julius, the consistency of the See of Rome, were sufficiently guarded, when Clement determined under what conditions his predecessor's act was legal, and Wolsey determined, on evidence unattainable at Rome, whether the conditions of legality were fulfilled.

Wolsey sent to Rome to require that Campeggio

should give up the Decretal. If it had been produced and acted on, the Pope could expect nothing but ruin responsibility of the Divorce and the wrath of the dreaded Spaniard would have fallen not on those who applied the law and were maccessible, but on him who had laid down the law, and who was within his reach. Clement understood his danger. He lost the self-command which had not deserted him in the most distressing emergencies Laying his hand on Casale's arm, he told him to be silent, and then burst forth in reproaches against the perfidy of Wolsey, at whose urgent prayer and for whose sake alone he had granted the secret Bull He detected their object. With the Bull before them, even those who thought the marriage valid would give it up on the Pope's responsibility. Let them dismiss Campeggio, on the plea that he was slow to act, and accomplish their purpose themselves, without involving Rome The Bull ought to have been destroyed, and he would cut off a finger to be able to recall it.

Clement at once despatched an envoy to make sure that the perilous document should remain no longer exposed to accident or treachery For this important mission he selected Francesco Campana, a man who long enjoyed the confidence of his family, who, after the fall of Florence, proclaimed to the people the will of the conqueror, that the Medici should reign over republican city, and who, as Secretary of State, gave efficient aid in building up the intelligent despotism of Cosmo Campana travelled slowly, and when he reached London, with the order to burn the Decretal, Clement was reported to be dying To destroy such a document in obedience to a pontiff who was probably dead, on the eve of a conclave, would have been the height of folly Campeggio resolved to disobey In the spring, when Clement had recovered, Campana brought the news that the Legate had yielded, and the most memorable writing in the history of the Divorce disappeared for ever

<sup>1</sup> Varchi, who had means of informing hims 'f about Campana' forme, east that he brought the Decretal back with him to Pome. But 'fr Sterer in the

But Henry had seen, under the Pope's sign and seal, that he had never been Catharine's lawful husband it was now admitted that, if Julius was deceived, the dispensation was void No attainable evidence could demonstrate that he was not deceived or could resist the strong presumption in favour of the allegation on which Henry's scruple rested The uncertainty lay in the legal element of the case, and that uncertainty was now removed The Pope had been consulted, and the answer he had given was against the Queen Henry might be right in his facts, or honestly mistaken, or altogether insincere, but right or wrong, true or false, he could not, consistently with his previous conduct, hold himself free to live with Catharine. The nullity of his marriage still required to be publicly declared, but in strictness he was unmarried It followed that he must consider himself free to marry Anne. Apart from the public sentence, the religious obstacle to the second marriage was removed when Campeggio exhibited the secret Bull

Mr. Brewer signifies his disbelief in the improbable story which began to be told in Mary's reign, that Rowland Lee solemnised the marriage of Henry with Anne Boleyn at dead of night, in November 1532, in a secret chamber at Whitehall, on being assured that a permission, which could not be fetched at that hour, had arrived from Rome. We trust that, in his next volume, he will determine the true date, and the influence of the Decretal on the event. At Campeggio's coming Anne Boleyn was kept out of the way. She now came to Court, and was treated in public as if she had been Henry's wife. Charles V. afterwards said to Campeggio that even the death of Catharine would be no deliverance, as the harm was done when Henry got possession of his Divorce. Elizabeth assured Parker that her mother's marriage had received the papal approbation

discovered, and Mr Gairdner has deciphered, two very curious letters of Campeggio, in one of which he says "Per questo fu mandato il Campano, il quale, ultra alia, quanto a questo proposito mi disse due cose, I una fu de la decretale, di che è seguito quanto vostra Signoria da lui hara inteso" (Brewer, Introduction, delxxi)

Three Popes offered to acknowledge her title if she would profess Catholicism, at least, in secret. The secret Bull of Clement VII. made it optional to disregard the claims of Mary Stuart.

Failing to make an impression on Henry, Campeggio addressed himself to the Queen. The Roman divines were, he told her, dubious as to the merits of her cause, the future was uncertain; and the Pope consequently desired that she would close her life in a convent. The English bishops recommended the same easy solution. Henry eagerly adopted it, affirming with gross exaggeration, that the Pope had already pronounced against her Then Catharine tasted the bitterness of the trial that was to come. Had she yielded, as the injured Oueen of France had done, she might have averted the schism, until the genuine wave of Protestant thought struck England, when the daughter of her rival had sat for a generation But she had no thought of yielding, and on the throne. displayed, in the evil days that remained to her, the stern and tranquil courage of Isabella. She was alone, for she could not trust her council, and a watch was set on her intercourse with Mendoza. No Spaniard was allowed to approach her. The Belgian lawyers were sent out of the country The messenger who had apprised Charles of her trouble was dismissed Vives was put under arrest Fisher refused to advise her without the King's command Warham and Tunstall called on her to confess whether she had not practised against her husband's life. In all her solitude and misery she never doubted that her cause was just; she neglected no chance, and relied with signal composure on the Emperor alone. Her friends among the common people murmured loudly, and attended her in such crowds that the gates of the palace were closed against them She acknowledged their cheers with a graciousness she had never shown, and asked for their prayers. Her evident popularity led Catharine into her only serious error She believed that the Catholic spirit of the country could be roused in her favour, and she forced the Pope, by her importunity and

her reproaches, to resort to those extreme measures which, in the end, were fatal to her church

To gain Campeggio she took the bold step of asking him to hear her confession, when, relieving him of the obligation of secrecy, she declared that her first marriage had never been consummated. Campeggio could not disbelieve her, and the judgment of history, differing somewhat in the estimate of evidence from the judgment of law, must, we think, accept her word. Wolsey was so apprehensive of the effect of such a declaration made upon oath, that he proposed to assail the dispensation on totally different grounds. But Mendoza deemed it a dangerous plea, and difficult to sustain at law. He recommended a safer defence, and he possessed a weapon keen enough to defeat all the art of Wolsey and his master.

Early in the year he had received from Spain a copy of a dispensation in the form of a brief, which expressly excluded the doubt as to the nature of the first marriage. Soon after Campeggio's arrival Catharine sent this paper to the Legates It contradicted her own statement, and she protested that she had had nothing to do with obtaining it. But it avoided the reproach which had been so damaging to the Bull Wolsey was taken by surprise. The plan on which he had pursued his operations so long was overthrown in an instant. He could not abandon his system and attack the dispensing power itself. He confessed that the objections taken to the former document did not here apply, but he declared that the Brief was spurious, and set about procuring evidence to prove Yet for many months Wolsey remained in doubt whether the paper which frustrated the great undertaking of his life was false or genuine The reasons for suspecting forgery were stronger than he supposed

¹ To the excellent summary of the evidence in Maurenbrecher's Lectures on the English Reformation, and to the ingenious inquiries of Lorentz, must be added the significant fact that Henry did not persistently deny that he had formerly admitted the truth of the Queen's affirmation In the Articult in Causa Matrimonia Regia this point is virtually given up ''Quarto nutuur probare virginitatem ex confessione Henrici Octavi, circa eandem confessionem possint eadem dici quae dicta sunt circa confessionem Catharinae, videlicet quod testes sunt singulares, et quod confessio omnino est extrajudicialis et parte absente."

The Brief was unheard of until the need for it became apparent. It was unknown to Charles V. when, on the 31st of July 1527, he suggested that the Pope should supply the defects of the Bull I It was uncertain whether Clement would consent, when, towards the end of the year, the Brief made his consent unnecessary Its existence was unexplained It was said to have been obtained about the time of the marriage, in 1509,2 but it was dated 1503. It was obtained by Ferdinand; yet Ferdinand did not possess a copy It was sent to England; but it was admitted that it had left England before the marriage for which it was required Ferdinand did not want it, for, on his theory, it was quite unnecessary If he had asked for it, the Brief would have been addressed to him, and a copy would have been treasured up in Spain. It was addressed to Henry VII But Henry did not want it, for he was more than content with the original Bull, which he never intended to use, and could never wish to amplify. The Brief was discovered among the papers of the Ambassador De Puebla, who had left England before the marriage, and who was now dead A list of all his papers relating to the marriage is still extant, and the Brief is not among them 8 Two men were living who could have given valuable testimony De Puebla's heir, Fernandez, had possession of his papere He was reputed an honest man, and it was desirable to have him examined. It appeared, however, that he had just been sent to one of the few places in Europe which were beyond the reach of Henry and the jurisdiction of Charles—to the dominions of the Earl of Desmond Accolti, the Cardinal who in the name of Julius had drawn up the dispensation a quarter of a century earlier, was now the most zealous opponent of the Divorce in the Court of Rome. He could have settled the doubt whether a second dispensation had, in fact, been given Accolti

remained impenetrably silent. Though addressed to Henry VII, the Brief was unknown in England It formed the strongest security for the honour and the legal position of a Spanish Princess yet it did not exist in the archives of Spain. It constituted the most extreme exertion of the Pope's prerogative known till then yet Rome preserved no record of its existence 1529, Charles was in doubt as to the value of the Brief1 He was willing to submit it to the Pope. His mind would not, he said, be at rest until he knew whether it had been found in the Roman Registers His doubts were soon satisfied The Registers were subjected to the scrutiny of Spanish and English agents They found no trace of the Brief? Errors were detected in the text. A vital flaw was detected in the date. Charles never sent it to Rome for judgment, it was no longer necessary The Brief had served to delay action in the Legate's Court until the Pope was reconciled with Spain

Wolsey knew that delay was ruin To strengthen himself at Rome he despatched four new ambassadors He offered to surround the Pope with a guard of two thousand-or even of twelve thousand-men, and he resorted to expedients which showed that he was desperate. He would resign his Commission and leave judgment to the Pope, with a pledge that judgment would be favourable. He inquired whether, if Henry should take monastic vows to induce the Queen to enter a nunnery, he could be dispensed from them and allowed to marry Lastly, he desired to know whether the King might have two wives. These proposals were soon dropped, and exerted no influence on the event, but they show the condition of Henry's mind, and the extremity to which, at the end of 1528, Wolsey was reduced By the first he surrendered his original

1520 Brewer, 5423)

"Has done all he could to discover in the register books a copy of the Brief, but in vain Has found instead two other briefs allinding to the affair."

(Mai to Charles, 23rd March 1529 Gayangos, 659)

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;He said also that his mind was not quiet until he knew whether the Brief was found in the Registry at Rome (Ghinucci and Lee to Wolsey, 5th April

Henry might not have been prompted by an idea of embarrassing the Catholics. Twelve months earlier Clement had informed the English agents that one of the cardinals, doubtless Cajetan, had told him that it was in his power to grant a dispensation such as Melanchthon recommended. But he was afterwards advised that it could not be done. Wolsey's proposal was in reality borrowed from the theories put forward in the Queen's behalf, asserting an unlimited power of dispensing.

These extraordinary measures for resisting the Spanish Brief were interrupted, in January 1529, by the dangerous illness of Clement. Once more the early ambition of Wolsey revived, and he caused the Cardinals to be overwhelmed with offers of troops, of money, of political and spiritual benefits The hand of the spoiler and the oppressor had not departed from the territory of the The Spaniards still detained three Cardinals as hostages, still occupied the papal fortresses, and by their control of the sea, commanded the sources from which Rome drew its supplies The situation was one to which the French and English protest against an election held under Spanish influence continued applicable Wolsey urged his friends to leave Rome, to hold the conclave in some city of refuge, and there to make him Pope. half of the college shrank from the prospect of a Spanish Conclave, and made ready to depart as soon as the Pope should be dead The imperial agents met the threatening schism with excellent judgment. They released the hostages, they gave up the fortresses, which, indeed, they could have retaken in a week, and they sent to the Tiber vessels laden with grain They soon received their reward Clement, in making his farewell to the Cardinals, exhorted them, if he died, to recall Campeggio He declared that should he recover, he would visit the Emperor beyond the Mediterranean He assured the French agent that the fee simple of France would not bribe him now to desert the Spaniards When at the end of two months he resumed the management of affairs, the reconciliation was accomplished Charles was supreme in the court of Rome, by the vivid memory of his irresistible power, and by the immediate sense of the priceless value of his friendship. The Cardinals had not forgotten the awful time of the siege and the sack of the city. In February they were still hostile to the Emperor. In March the Austrian agents at Rome write that they have 448,000 ducats to dispose of, and the resistance of the hostile Cardinals melted away rapidly.

Clement now regarded Wolsey as a sort of antipope, and as a personal enemy who was seeking to bring instant ruin upon him by employing a writing wrung from his good nature by false promises The situation of the year before was reversed He had relied on England to rescue him from the clutches of the Imperialists. The Emperor was now his protector against the machinations of Wolsey. Gardiner, when he saw him in March, became aware that all his pleas were in vain. The English had lost as much ground in point of reason and justice, as of influence Contrasted with their extravagant demands, the petitions of the Emperor were moderate and just. Wolsey now required that the Brief should be delivered up to him, that sentence should be given, if the original was not sent to England, that the Pope, of his absolute authority, and without inquiry, should declare it a forgery. He ordered Gardiner to pretend that the paper containing the promises of the Pope had suffered damage, and to procure his signature to a new copy, to be drawn up in stronger terms, by representing that it was unchanged

The Emperor Charles V, and Catharine herself, in letters conveyed secretly to the hands of the Pope, insisted with unquestionable truth, that a tribunal on which this man sat as judge could not be deemed impartial. They demanded that the cause should be decided at Rome, where Wolsey himself had so lately proposed to carry it. Clement doubted no longer what he ought to do One course was both safe and just. He did not indeed believe in the Spanish dispensation but he refused to condemn it on an ex parte argument, if every Spaniard had vanished out of Italy He would

rather abdicate, he would rather die, than do what Wolsey asked of him. He made no further attempt to resist the appeals of the Spaniards. But he was oppressed, at intervals, with a definite expectation of losing the allegiance of England. His only expedient was delay Clement was unconvinced by Campeggio's testimony to the innocence of Anne Boleyn. The King, whose passion had endured for three years, might become inconstant, or Catharine might be persuaded, as the King had ceased to live with her, to consent that the favourite should occupy her place. Her health was breaking, and he would have given the riches of Christendom that she should be in her grave.

In April the envoys of the two branches of the House of Austria formally called on him to revoke the powers of the Legates, and to bring the cause before the judgment seat of Rome. Gardiner thought that it would have been madness to resist. Clement consented. On the 9th of May he despatched a nuncio to Barcelona, with full and final powers to conclude a treaty with the Emperor Until it should be ratified, and the imperial alliance firmly secured, he wished to postpone the inevitable shock which Henry's disappointment would inflict on their long friendship. An agreement was made between Clement and Casale, that the Commission should not be cancelled, but that the Legates should not proceed to execute it

When it became certain, in the beginning of May, that there was no more hope from Rome, Wolsey's fall could not be distant. His obstinate determination, in spite of the general feeling both in Rome and in England, that there should be no divorce without papal sanction, had ended by making the divorce impossible, had brought upon the country the affront of seeing the King's cause removed to a hostile tribunal, and had afforded the Emperor a conspicuous triumph over the influence of England in a matter chiefly of English concern. At the moment when he was defeated by Spain, he was deserted by France. The dissolution of the League, and the ruin of his armies compelled Francis to give up the struggle

for supremacy with Charles, and to submit to a dishonourable peace. Wolsey had traded on their rivaln. It was the obvious and superficial secret of his policy to sell the help of England to each, as necessity induced one to outbid the other Neither of the Powers had an interest to maintain the statesman who had alternately betrayed them, and they made peace at his expense Francis accused him of having intrigued on his own account with Rome His treacherous reports, sent home by Suffolk, and aided by the certainty that Wolsey had misled the King, strengthened the constant asseveration of his enemies that he did not sincerely promote the Divorce. In truth he had striven for it with incessant But Du Bellay, Mendoza, and Campeggio had long perceived that his zeal was stimulated only by the desire to save himself, and he had implored Henry on his knees to give up his will. When it was announced that the Commission would be revoked, and that France was suing for a separate peace, his power was gone. He besought the King to allow him to attend the Congress at Cambray. The two men who were thought worthy to succeed him, More and Tunstall, were sent in his stead; and an indictment was prepared against him

It was impossible to doubt that the revocation would be fatal to Henry's wishes. That which Clement dared not allow his Legates to do in England, he would not do himself at Rome, when the Emperor had disarmed all his enemies, and was coming in triumph to visit his Italian conquests and to assume the imperial crown. At first Henry talked of appealing from Clement to the true Vicar of Christ, to be raised up in his place. But he was soon made to understand that the potentate who was feared, having power to coerce and to degrade, was the Emperor. He resolved to dissemble his anger. Intercepted letters exposed the Pope's intentions, and taught that nothing would be gained by waiting until Clement felt himself stronger. Something might, however, he gained by prompt and strenuous action. Henry resolved to take advantage of the delay in revoking the Comment

sion to force on an immediate decision, and summoned Gardiner in all haste to conduct the case.

The Imperialists had consented that the revocation should be postponed in consequence of the pledge obtained by Clement that nothing should meanwhile be done in When it was found that the pledge was broken, and that Henry employed the respite to urge on the trial, every voice in Rome called on the Pope to satisfy the just claims of Spain The English agents confessed that no choice was left him, and bore witness to his good will Clement protested to them in pathetic terms that the Emperor had him utterly in his power He made one effort more to get the Imperialists to assent to further delay, but they repulsed him with indignation They believed that he was seeking an opportunity to deceive them Even in the following year Charles half expected that Clement would pass over to the English side.

Campeggio had been instructed to create delay by telling Henry that, if he must give judgment, he must give it against him. He replied by asking what he should do in the not improbable event of the judgment being in Henry's favour. Clement's final orders were to proceed with the trial to the last stage preceding sentence, and then to adjourn for the purpose of consulting Rome Campeggio combined both methods. On the 22nd of July Clement's irrevocable determination was known in London. The pleadings were completed. The parties awaited judgment. Campeggio suddenly adjourned the Court for the vacation, announcing that he must consult the Pope. He strove to comfort Henry by assuring him that the interruption was to his advantage, as the sentence would have been for the Queen

When the vessel in which the Legate sailed from Dover was boarded by the custom-house officers, he believed that his last hour had come, and called for his confessor. The officers treated him with respect, but they examined his luggage, in the hope either of recovering the secret Bull, or of finding evidence that he had

been paid by Catharine. Campeggio returned to Rome with the renown of a successful mission. Men were not blind to the effects which were to follow. But they followed too remotely to disturb the present joy at an immense deliverance. It was observed for the first time after years of anxiety and depression, that Clement VII. held up his head and walked erect.

We have not allowed ourselves space to follow Mr. Brewer's vivid and powerful narrative over another year to the death of Wolsey, with which the volume ends Before we conclude it is necessary that we should advert to one topic on which we have been unable to accept him for our guide. Touching the great question of the origin of the Divorce, Mr. Brewer wavers between three explanations: King Henry's scruples grew up in the recesses of his own conscience. They were awakened by his inclination for Anne Boleyn They were suggested by her friends Mr Brewer, who adopts the first of these solutions at page 222, prefers the second at page 258, and, forty pages farther, is ready to accept the third.

The idea that the Divorce was instigated by divines of Anne Boleyn's faction was put forward by Pole, apparently with a view to connect Cranmer and the Lutheran influence with the beginning of the troubles. It is supported by no evidence; and it is in the highest degree improbable that the Boleyns conceived a design which could not have been accomplished without violently subverting the whole system of European politics. The theory which represents the scruple arising involuntarily, almost unconsciously, in the King's mind, is confirmed, no doubt, by his own public declarations, but it is difficult to reconcile with the coarse and candid admission which he made privately of the causes which estranged him from the Queen Before the Court, at Blackfriars, he spoke only of scruples; in secret he urged motives of a less spiritual kind It is quite natural that personal repulsion may have paved the way for scruples. It is much less likely that the idea of separation can have come first, and the unconquerable aversion followed

the hypothesis that the whole business took its rise in the King's passion for Anne Boleyn, there is not the same inherent improbability It leaves much unexplained, and suggests many difficulties, but it depends mainly on a question of chronology If it should ever be possible to trace the idea of marrying Anne Boleyn farther back than we can trace the idea of repudiating Catharine of Aragon, the case would be proved But with the materials now available the priority is decidedly with the Divorce The latest date to which we can possibly assign the first steps towards the dissolution of the marriage is the summer of 1526 We have shown that we are unable to put the proposal to Anne earlier than 1527 is an interval therefore during which the scheme of divorce is pursued, and is fully accounted for, whilst no trace of a rival can be detected. We are unable to accept either of Mr Brewer's alternative solutions

There is a fourth explanation to which he shows no mercy. He absolutely rejects the idea that Wolsey was the author of the Divorce. Such a report was, he says, put about by Tyndall and Roper, but it was contradicted by all those who knew best, by Henry, by Bishop Longland, and by the Cardinal himself—while Cavendish says that when the King first disclosed his intentions to Wolsey, the latter fell upon his knees and endeavoured to dissuade him. We regret that Mr Brewer has not entered more fully into the evidence which has determined his judgment on this fundamental point. We will indicate as briefly as we can the reasons which induce us to attribute the Divorce of Queen Catharine, with all its momentous consequences, to the cause he has so pointedly rejected

Longland never denied that Wolsey was the author of the King's doubts. It is true that Longland, a persecutor of Lutherans, and an eager and overbearing promoter of the Divorce, when he saw England drifting towards Lutheranism, in consequence, indirectly, of what he had helped to do, regretted his share in the transaction, and denied that he was primarily responsible. His Chancellor, Draycott, conveyed his denial to the historian

Harpsfield, who records it in his Life of Sir Thomas More. But Harpsfield himself was not convinced In the following year he wrote that Wolsey, "first by himselfe, or by John Langlond, bishopp of Lincolne, and the King's confessor, putt this scruple and doubte into his head." Even if Longland's denial exonerates himself it does not exonerate Wolsey, whom he indicates when he speaks of "others, that weare the cheife setters forth of the divorce beetweene the Kinge and the Queene Catharine."

No serious import belongs to the testimony of Henry and Wolsey, given in open court, to silence just objections to Wolsey's presence there. It was necessary that he should be represented as impartial to justify his appearance on the judgment seat. It would certainly seem that Cavendish meant to say what Mr Brewer imputes to him, that Wolsey dissuaded Henry from the beginning But in reality he says no more than he would be justified in saying by the fact that Wolsey did, at various times, dissuade him, which is all that Wolsey himself has said Nobody, however, knows better than Mr. Brewer that Cavendish is the author of much of the confusion that has, until the appearance of his work, obscured the history of the Divorce We cannot allow decisive authority to one ambiguous sentence in an author who, though doubtless sincere, is both partial and inaccurate.

The weight of contemporary testimony is overwhelming against Wolsey. We will say nothing of Polydore Vergil, who was an enemy, or of the Belgian Macquerian, and the Paris diarist, because they wrote only from rumour. But Jovius was a prelate of the Court of Clement. Guicciardini was connected with Casale, and was the only contemporary writer who knew the secret of Campana's mission Both Guicciardini and Jovius lay the responsibility on Wolsey. Valdes, who was better informed than either of the Italians, does the same. For in Spain no doubt could subsist. Catharine had written to Charles that Wolsey was the author of her sorrows, and the Emperor never ceased to proclaim the fact.

The tradition of the English Catholics inclined strongly to assign to Wolsey the origin of their misfortunes. If they had any bias it would naturally have been to represent the Reformation in England as springing from an unclean passion. Pole, who was a great authority amongst them, had given the example of this controversial use of Anne Boleyn. But they departed from the example he had set, and preferred an explanation which could serve no polemical purpose. Pole himself once indicated the belief that Wolsey was the author of the King's design. It is firmly maintained by his archdeacon, Nicholas Harpsfield, who was a friend of the Warhams, who had lived with Roper, Rastall, Buonvisi, and the family of More, and in whom were concentrated the best Catholic traditions of that age.

Sir Richard Shelley wrote a history of the Divorce, which is still exant. He was the son of the well-known judge, and was employed both by Mary and Elizabeth in important embassies He was the English Prior of St. John, and after 1559, swam in the full tide of the Catholic reaction When the news of the Northern Rising reached Rome, Shelley was one of those whom the Pope consulted before issuing his Bull against the Queen He attributes all the blame to Wolsey If any man was more deeply involved than Shelley in the struggle against Elizabeth, it was Nicholas Sanders Writing history for political effect, he had no scruple about inventing a scene or a fact that served his purpose, and he had read the works of Rastall and Hillard, which we possess only in fragments The evidence which was before him must have implicated Wolsey with a force that was irresistible. Richard Hall, a man who seems to have given proof of sincerity, as he was a Protestant under Mary, and a Catholic under Elizabeth, wrote a life of Fisher, about the year 1580 He had his information from Phillips, the last Prior of the Benedictines at Rochester, who had sat in the Convocation of 1529, and from Thomas Harding, who had been chaplain to Stokesley Hall is, like the rest, among the Cardinal's accusers William Forrest, who was a contemporary, and became chaplain to Queen Mary, agrees with Harpsfield and Shelley, Sanders and Hall.

Indeed, without resorting to contemporary foreigners, or to English writers of a later generation, the evidence that Wolsey first moved the idea of divorce appears to The Cardinal himself admitted it to Du us conclusive Bellay, not speaking under pressing need of deception and excuse, but privately, to one who was his friend, who powerfully supported his policy, who needed no convincing, and had evidently not heard the contrary on any authority worthy of belief A statement made in these circumstances is not necessarily credible, but it far outweighs a public declaration demanded by the stress of popular suspicion. Wolsey's communication to Du Bellay, confirming what he wrote to Casale,1 connects the Divorce with the great change in the system of alliances which was made in the spring of 1525, and perfectly explains the tenacious grasp with which he then retained his power in spite of all the sacrifices which the failures of his policy imposed on the King. We cannot reject it without stronger reason than has been yet produced

After his disgrace, Wolsey constantly declared himself innocent of crime, yet worthy of the royal displeasure. The Divorce, he said, was the cause of his fall, yet he denied that, in that, he had offended. This would be consistent and intelligible language if he was the author of counsels that had proved so permicious. On his deathbed he delivered to Kingston the lesson of his experience of Henry. He warned him to be cautious, what matter he put into his head, as he would never put it out again. He was alluding to what had passed in the affair of Queen Catharine, and his words had a pregnant as well as a literal significance if he was thinking of a matter which he had himself incautiously put into the King's head.

We are at a loss to find a valid reason for doubting, except the authority of Mr Brewer. We acknowledge the force of that objection. It is impossible to differ,

without uncasiness and regict, from a historian who has supplied so large and so rich a part of the knowledge attainable on this subject, and who is unsurpassed for accuracy and penetration But Mr. Brewer's words, in speaking of Wolsey, must be taken with a slight allow-It is not only because of the dignified liberality, the ceremonious self-restraint, which is due from a divine of the English Church towards a Roman Cardinal, and from an illustrious scholar who is willing to think nobly and generously of the Church of Rome, towards a prelate by whose fault that Church was dishonoured and east down For as many years as Wolsey's administration lasted, Mr. Brewer has been employed in investigating his actions lie has hewn him out of the block. He has found much that is new and different from the character which Protestant and Catholic have had so much reason to blacken, and he has felt the influence not only of disgust for ignorant detractors, but of admiration for the strong man who, when the population of all England did not exceed that of a modern city, when the annual revenue was no more than that which is now received in a single day, when Scotland and Ireland were drains upon her power, when she was without dependencies and without a fleet, raised the kingdom by the force of his solitary genius, to a position among the European nations not inferior to that which it now enjoys

For Wolsey as a Minister of tyranny, as a pensioner of foreign potentates, as a priest of immoral life, he has an extreme indulgence. The Cardinal attempted to obtain from Parliament a declaration that all things in the land belonged to the Crown—a doctrine which, from the day on which Frederic Barbarossa consulted the jurists of Bologna, until Lewis XIV caused it to be sanctioned by the divines of the Sorbonne, has been the symbol of despotic power. At the moment when he broke off the alliance with the House of Burgundy and sought the friendship of France, he had for four years been denied his pensions by the Power that he abandoned, whilst he required from the Power that he joined a sum

equal in our money to £285,000 When he exchanged Durham for Winchester, he asked that the see which he vacated should be transferred to his son, a youth then studying at Paris. Mr Brewer will not admit a doubt as to Wolsey's integrity If we remember rightly, he nowhere mentions the proposed transfer of the great see of Durham He is almost unwilling to believe that Wolsey had a son. That he had a daughter Mr Brewer does not dispute. But he thinks that such transgressions did not necessarily involve any greater impropriety than the marriage of an English clergyman at the present day 1 This view of the age of the Reformation leaves a great feature in its history unexplained No influence then at work contributed more than the private lives of ecclesiastics such as Wolsey to undermine Catholicism, and to incline men towards a Church which renounced the hazards of an enforced celibacy We would undertake, if necessary, to justify our words by proof which Mr. Brewer will accept, by the writings of the most eminent and the most impartial men of the sixteenth century, by the decrees of twenty synods, by the constitutions of York itself

Mr. Brewer's abounding charity defends the Cardinal as a persecutor Wolsey had caused Protestants to be burnt in the day of his power, and in the last hour of his life, when his speech faltered and his eyes grew dim, he uttered an exhortation that Henry would not spare the Lutherans, because they would prove a danger to the State Yet even that appalling vision of the dying Prelate, who, having clothed himself in sackcloth, and made his peace with God, gathered his last breath to fan the flames of Smithfield, has no terrors for Mr Brewer No man, he says, was less disposed to persecute, and he excuses him by the examples of his age, and by the greater cruelty of More.

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Here, as in other Catholic countries at the present day, or at least until recently, the marriage of the parochial clergy had to be tolerated more generally than is supposed. In many instances such offences involved no greater transgression of the moral law than such marriages, for instance, as are now contracted by the English prelates and clergy" (pp. 639, 640)

The argument which excuses Wolsey by the times he lived in, is a serious fallacy. Christians must be judged by a moral code which is not an invention of the eighteenth century, but is as old as the Apostles We are no wiser than the contemporaries of Wolsey regarding the rights of conscience Persecution has indeed become more difficult to carry out, and the conditions of modern society make toleration easy. But there are, in our day, many educated men who think it right to persecute, and there were, in the days of Wolsey, many who were as enlightened on that point as Burke or Jefferson. There was a humane and liberal current, both in government and in literature, which the religious conflict that followed checked for generations Whilst Lollards and Lutherans were burning, in the Chancellorship of Wolsey, the Greeks lived unmolested in Venice, and the Waldenses enjoyed a respite in Savoy, the Inquisition was forbidden to interfere with the Moriscoes of Granada, and in Portugal the later laws of Emanuel the Great protected the Judaising heretics from popular fanaticism No country had suffered so much from religious strife as Bohemia, but in 1512 Catholics and Utraquists made an agreement in perpetuity that rich and poor of both churches should enjoy freedom unrestrained In Denmark equal rights were assigned to Catholics and Protestants at the Diet of Before the close of the fifteenth century the French Inquisition had been shorn of its might, the bishops refused to prosecute those who were accused of heresy, the Parliament rescued them, and Lutheranism was allowed to spread with the connivance of the court, until the long absence and captivity of the King Many years even then elapsed before the Protestants ceased to regard Francis as their defender Beneath the sceptre of the Hapsburgs persecution reigned, yet in 1526 Ferdinand conceded territorial toleration, and Charles himself, in 1532, proclaimed the rights of conscience in language worthy of a better time.

There was a strong body of opinion on the other side, but authorities equally strong may be quoted in favour of

murder, not merely among men entangled in the habits of a darker age, but among those who had struggled to emancipate their minds from tradition, and who made it the pride and the business of their lives to resist the vices of the vulgar It was no reason for an assassin to escape the gallows that Melanchthon had prayed for a brave man to despatch Henry VIII, that the brave man who despatched the Duke of Guise was praised by Beza to the skies, that Knox wished the doom of Rizzio to be inflicted on every Catholic; that the Swedish bishops recommended that a dose of poison should be mixed with the King's food Nor can we admit that the intolerance of Wolsey is excused by comparison with the greater intolerance of More. The Cardinal, in his last hours, asked for measures of repression, the nature of which his own example and the statute of Henry IV left in no kind of doubt. Sir Thomas More protested before his death, in terms which have satisfied the impartial judgment of one of his latest successors on the woolsack, that no Protestant had perished by his act.

## THE BORGIAS AND THEIR LATEST HISTORIAN<sup>1</sup>

THE Renaissance is the only epoch of history that has equal charms for idle and for thoughtful men, and stands in visibly intimate connection with the civilisation of the present time, yet beyond the range of its controversies The interest it awakens is undisturbed by the contests that immediately followed it. Neither religious nor political differences affect the feelings with which men regard the age to which they owe the knowledge of Pagan, of Jewish, and of Christian antiquity, the formation of modern literature, and the perfection of art degradation which Italy suffered under native tyrants cannot prevent the pride with which she remembers the days of her national independence and her intellectual supremacy Stores of new materials continue to be produced in uninterrupted profusion by patriotic scholars; and the way in which they modify the aspects of the fifteenth century is shown in several recent works Italie et Renaissance and Reumont's Geschichte der Stadt Rom mark the progress which has been made beyond the range of Roscoe and Sismondi Both are well-written books, and the authors are perfectly familiar with the spirit of those brilliant times Burckhardt's Cultur der Renaissance in Italian is the most penetrating and subtle treatise on the history of civilisation that exists in literature, but its merit lies in the originality with which the author uses common books, rather than in actually

<sup>1</sup> The North British Review, January 1871

new investigations The last traveller over the ground is Gregorovius

The seventh volume of his History of Medicial Revirtually completes his task, for it reaches the beginning of the sixteenth century. Another volume will include the age of Leo X and terminate with the siege and devastation of the city in 1527. The work gains in breadth and variety as it proceeds, and at times it is little less than a history of the Popes The treatment is unequal Pius II, the ablest and most interesting pontifi of the fifteenth century, receives but little attention, probably because a voluminous life of him appeared only a few years ago But the pontificate of Alexander VI is described with elaborate care, and occupies great part of the volume. These chapters are amongst the best and most solid that Gregorovius has written. Continuous reports by the envoys of Florence, Venice, and Ferrara at the court of Rome enable him to emancipate himself from the trivial diarists on whom every writer since Raynaldus has been obliged to depend for the secret history of the Vatican He is so well supplied with unpublished docu ments, and he employs them with so little regard for purposes of vulgar controversy, that his estimate of Alexander, which contradicts the unanimous judgment of all the contemporaries of the Pope, cannot be put aside at once, and without examination, amongst historical paradoxes Alexander VI is described by his litter historian as a man whose everyday mediocrity reflects the sinfulness of a godless age, whose motives were the love of pleasure and the advancement of his family, who had neither political capacity nor serious design, and whose nature was too frivolous and too passive even for ambition 1

This excessive depreciation of a man whose talants and success were the admiration of Europe in his time is

not due to an irrelevant indignation at his depravity, but to the historian's habit of avoiding the ecclesiastical part of his subject. Looking at secular and profane things only, he does not see that Alexander fills a great space in history, because he so blended his spiritual and temporal authority as to apply the resources of the one to the purposes of the other The strain which his policy as an Italian sovereign laid on his power in the Church was fruitful of consequences in the next generation, and for all later times His energy in making the prerogative of the Holy See profitable and exchangeable in the political market was an almost immediate cause of the revolt of Northern Europe. The system which Luther assailed was the system which Alexander VI had completed and bequeathed to his successors It was his work and example that Adrian meant to repudiate when he attributed the corruption of the Church to the recent usurpation and immorality of the papacy 1 And Julius II attempted to liberate the Church from the responsibility of his acts by declaring that a Pope elected by simony could never become legitimate.2

The leading fact that governs his whole pontificate is the notorious invalidity of his election. There had been no hypocrisy in the transaction, and all Europe was able to learn the exact sums that he had paid or promised to his supporters, and even to their attendants. His seat never became secure. His right was permanently threatened. The shadow of an impending Council darkened his life, and ruined his authority. He was obliged to create for himself the power which belonged in theory to his See. He could not have held his position without perpetual activity and effort

He was hailed at first with flattery so general and

<sup>2</sup> Contra dictum sic electum vel assumptum de simoniaca labé a quocumque Cardinali, qui eidem electioni interfuerit, opponi et excipi possit, sicut de vera et

indubitata haeresi (Raynaldus, 1506, p 1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Scimus in hac sancta sede aliquot jam annis multa abominanda fuisse, abusus in spiritualibus, excessus in mandatis et omnia denique in perversum mutata (Indicat hic optimus Pontifex ea, quae nos in Alexandro VI deploravimus), nec mirum si aegritudo a capite in membra, a summis Pontificibus in alios inferiores praelatos descenderit (Raynaldus, *Annales Ecclesiastics*, 1522, p. 70)

possessed an infallible means of subjugating Alexander by calling a Council 1 Charles VIII claimed the crown of Naples, and threatened, if investiture should be refused, to depose the Pope, not by force, but by canonical proof that he was a heretic and an intruder 2 When Alexander took the side of the house of Aragon, and the French invaded Italy, his prospects seemed hopeless expected to be deposed 8 The Cardinal of Siena, whom he sent to mollify the King of France, could not obtain an audience, and wrote to warn his master of the approaching danger4 The French intended to summon a Council at Ferrara to sit in judgment on the Pope,5 and they believed that the consciousness of his guilt would make him phable.6 They occupied Rome without resistance. Alexander shut himself up in St. Angelo, with a small group of faithful prelates, but the majority of the Cardinals were urging the king to depose him? The instrument pronouncing his deposition was drawn

<sup>1</sup> Venetian despatches of the same month of August in Romanin, v 33

Hist de Charles VIII, in 6x)

4 Aunt etiam multo vulgo inter illos factari regem Romam venturum et statum Romanae Ecclesiae reformaturum (Piccolomini to Alexander, Lucca,

Nov 4 1494)

<sup>5</sup> Le quali cose sono di qualità, secondo che me concluse dicto orntore (the French envoy at Florence), che daranno materia al prefato Rè Christ, de fare praticha con qualche Cardinale, come già se sece, de chiamare Sua Santità a Concilio, dicendomi che el credeva che non passanano molti giorni che 1 se ordinaria dicto Concilio, et di farlo a Ferrara, dove pare che se debba fare per omni rispecto. Et a questo gli è molto inclinata prefata Regia Ma (Manfredi to Duke of Ferrara, Feb. 16, 1495, Atti e Mercone, w 341

6 Crediamo che la Santità di nostro Signore, il quale di sun natura è vile e è

conscius criminis sui, ancora de facili si potrebbe ridurre nile cose oneste per dubio delle cose di qua (Florentine Desp., Lyons, June 6, 1494, Canestrini, 1 399) Eulx deux (Borgia and Ssorza) estoient n l'envy qui seroit Pape. Toutessois je cro, qu'ilz eussent consenty tous deux d'en fure ung nouveru nu plaisir du Roy, et encores d'en faire ung françois (Comines Memoires, il 386)

Nostre Saint Père est plus tenu au ros qu'on ne pense car si ledit seigneur eust voulu obtemperer à la plupart de Messeigneurs les Cardinaulx, ilz eussent fait ung autre pappa en intention de refformer léglise ainsi qu'ilz discuent (Briconnet to Queen of France, Rome, Jan 13, 1495, De la Pilorgerie Campigne

d Italie, 135)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Soggiungeva che nfiutando le cose che ricercava considerasse bene essere n Carlo cosa libera, poiché adjutato dall'imperatore de Romani il quale da pochi giorni s era seco lui confederato, era per privarlo dalla dignità apostolica non solo colle armi colle quali superiva tutti gli altri, ma per diritto, ridunindo un concilio de prelati, i quali potevano giustamente pronunziare ni ere egli comperato la pontificia dignità, di maniera che non si poteva chiamare vero pastore di Santa Chiesa (Cono, Storia de Villaro III. 525)

3 Dubitava che il rè lo dimitesse del Papato (Narin Sanuto, in Cherrier

up.1 French cannon were pointed against the fort; and part of the walls suddenly gave way When it seemed that nothing could save Alexander, Charles relented and made terms with him The reforming cardinals quitted Rome, indignant at the failure of their design. As the Pope instantly broke the treaty that had been forced upon him, Briconnet himself thought that the king would proceed to extremities against him on his return from Naples.2 Alexander escaped by flight. He afterwards said that Charles had been restrained from acts of violence by the piety of his courtiers. 8 but the language of Briconnet and Comines proves that the opinion of the French camp was in favour of a bolder policy, and the king had not courage to attempt it. When he was gone and the danger was over Alexander excommunicated him Shortly before he died the Sorbonne exhorted him to convoke a Council, and accomplish the reforms which the Pope persisted in refusing.

Under his successor, Lewis XII, the plan was revived The Cardinal d'Amboise opened negotiations with Ferdinand and Maximilian with a view to a new election 4 In the summer of the year 1501, Piccolomini,

Le Gendre, Vie du Cardinal d'Amboise, 1 245

¹ This was stated by Paul IV "Sua Santità entro a deplorar le misene d' Italia et narrò l' historia dal principio che fu chiamato Rè Carlo in Italia da Ludovico Moro et Alfonso d' Aragona, con li particolari del parentado fra questi due, la causa dell' inimicitia, il passar Rè Carlo per Roma, la paura di Papa Alessandro di esser deposto, come publicamente dicevano li Cardinali che vennero co 'l Rè tra quali erano S. Pietro in Vincola, che fu poi Giulio Secondo che furno fatti li capitoli della privatione da un Vicentino Vescovo di (illegible), all' hora auditor della Camera" (Desp. of B. Navagero, Rome, May 21, 1577, MS. Foscarim, 6255)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Divinendo in ragionamento col Card de S Malo (Briconnet) del facto del Papa, sua Rev<sup>ma</sup> Sigria me disse che il Re ch<sup>mo</sup> non ne remaneva cum quella bona satisfactione che 'l sperava, havendose portato non troppo bene in queste pratiche de Spagne, etc., concludendo dicto Cardo che 'l dubitava assai, che, finita che fosse questa impresa del Reame de Napoli, la M<sup>12</sup> del Re non se desponesse a pigliare qualche expediente per reformare la chiesa, parendogli che 'l sia molto necessario, vedendosi come sono gubernate le cose della chiesa et sede apostolica (Manfredi to Duke of Ferrara, Feb 25, 1495, Atti e Memorie, iv 342)

<sup>(</sup>Manfredi to Duke of Ferrara, Feb 25, 1495, Atti e Memorie, iv 342)

3 Adducendo su questo proposito quello che accadette al Christianissimo Rè
Carlo quando andava in lo reame che avendo pur contra sua santità malo
animo, non solo fu consentito per li Sigri francesi che ageret contra eam, ma fu
necessitato ad inclinarseli et basarli lo pede, et tenerli la staffa in mezo la fango
(Desp of Saracini to Duke of Ferrara, Rome, Oct 27, 1501)

Cardinal of Siena, who became Alexander's successor, proposed to him to call together a Council and undertake reforms himself, lest the thing should be done in spite of him, to the detriment of the papacy, by the cardinals who were living abroad Alexander entertained the idea for a moment, and then gave it up when he was reminded that Piccolomini was a nephew of Pius II, "un concilionista," whose advice in these matters was open to suspicion In the following year it was reported in Rome that the French were resolved to depose him There is a celebrated medal bearing the effigy of Lewis XII, with the lilies, and the words "Perdam Babylonis nomen," which is ascribed to the time of the deadly quarrel between Lewis and Julius II It belongs to the times of Alexander VI Constabili speaks of it, and describes the sensation which it made at Rome, in a letter to the Duke of Ferrara, on the 11th of August 1502.

The aspiration of the Councils of Constance and Basel, the hope of honest reforms, had remained unsatisfied, and was kept up by the condition of the Roman Court during several pontificates It was scarcely worse under Alexander than under his predecessors, and the zeal of the French Government was not attributable exclusively to disinterested motives of conscience. The flaw in his election was too tempting an instrument to be neglected There was more to gain by practising on his fears than by deposing him Neither Germany nor Spain was willing to accept a Pope created by the King of France<sup>2</sup>

King Ferdinand continually impressed on Alexander that he heartily despised him Gonzalvo of Cordova came to Rome and spoke out the indignation and horror of Europe.8 A joint embassy was despatched by the Kings of Spain and Portugal to protest against

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Constabili to Duke of Ferrara, Rome, Feb 23, 1502
<sup>2</sup> Cardinal Perrauld said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Venetian Ambassador at the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perraul Said to the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perrauld Said to the Court of Maximus Cardinal Perraul Said to the Court of Maximus Cardinal milian "Non se parla de deporre el Pontifice, ma se vol provvedere che el stato della chiesa non sia tirannizzato, ovviar alla simonia, coreger la vita dei prelati et levare le estorsioni che se fano nela cancelaria" (De Leva, Storia di Carlo V, 1 73)

2 Zurita, Historia del Rey Don Hernando, 1 117

the scandals of the papacy¹ Alexander received the envoys in the presence of five cardinals. They represented the immediate necessity of a thorough reformation, they demanded that a Council should be assembled at the Lateran, they informed the Pope that all Italy could bear witness that his election was void² He replied that their king was excommunicated, and that it was well for them that Cæsar Borgia did not hear them. Later on he made one concession. He promised that the Duchy of Benevento should not be alienated from the See of Rome. He had conferred it on his son, the Duke of Gandia, who was almost immediately murdered; and the Spanish Ambassador had resisted, and declared that it should not be done.

Grief for the loss of his son roused the conscience of the Pope, and he spoke of abdicating the throne and changing his life. He would send Cæsar to reside in his diocese of Valencia. He would resign the Government into the hands of the cardinals. A commission of six was appointed on the 17th of June 1497, and drew up in the following month a scheme of reform which has not been noticed by Gregorovius. Their proposals were quickly forgotten, but two months later they were still acting as advisers of the Pope in the affair of Savonarola.

During the short interregnum over which the promise of improvement lasted, Cardinal Borgia was sent with the powers of a papal legate into Umbria. His letters to Alexander VI, written in the summer of 1497, are the most eloquent testimony we possess touching the state of

society which the Borgias set themselves to abolish in the dominions of the Church, and the influences which determined their unrelenting policy 1 It was a pacific mission. The legate went unarmed to try the force of persuasion, and to test the moral authority of the papacy in a district where the idea of the State was quenched in feudal strife, and each man's safety consisted in the terror he was able to inspire. In his first letter, on the day of his arrival at Narm, he announced that he could accomplish nothing without troops, as the demons he had to deal with were not to be frightened with holy water? The presence of a legate was so little heeded that Alviano, the same who afterwards commanded the Venetians when their power was broken at Agnadello, seized a town belonging to the Pope and sacked it almost before his face. Borgia sent for him, and summoned him to keep the peace replied that he would gladly help the Pope to subdue his neighbours, but that he would destroy the town rather than give it up3 It was soon discovered that the legate was not followed by an army, and things grew worse.4 The country was without police or law. The inhabitants of Todi, finding that there was no government to protect them, deserted the town in despair 5 Brigands held unmolested sway, and were only checked by rival bands At Perugia the legate caused a murderer to be put to death 6 It was an immense achievement Murder was

<sup>1</sup> The originals are among the manuscripts in St. Mark's Library (Lat. Cl. 176)

E molto necessaria la provvisione de le genti d'arme contro questi demoni che non fugono per acqua saneta (July 16, 1497)

Intendendo che quando i antique sue risoni non li siano sopri de quella da la Su vostra instaurate, spianarla per modo che dire sepossa, qui fu Luginino (July 17)

Solo in la mia prima ionta in provintia cessarono un poco per timore dele gente d'arme, fo dicto me seguitavano, ma hormai reassicurati comensano nel primo modo offenderse et non dare loco ad mei commandamenti (July 27)

Excevo ad ogni hora da quelli proveri loro eastelli querele miserabili che le prede et occisioni se le fanno tutta via maiuri. Per la qual cosa la Sa Va po ben comprendere che tueto lo remedio de questi mali consiste in la venuta de la gente d'arme, le quali tardando più forniscese el prese de Tode da desolare, essendo da la partita mia in qua la cita totalmente derelicta et lassata vacua (July 30)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In questa cita hieri si fecero li banimmenti et con maraviglioso consenso sonno da tucti posti in observantia, et procedono le cose qui con tanta obedien tia et quiete ehe meglio non si potriano desiderare (July 30) Dopo li Bandi

profited by this sweeping grant, but attached no religious value to it, for they soon after agreed with Portugal to shift the line of partition which the Pope had drawn across the earth

Alexander VI. employed the terrors of excommunication with a sparing hand The risk was great and the weapon blunted His censures against the King of France were effectually suppressed by Cardinal Julian The Sorbonne declared that his threats might be disregarded with a safe conscience. They were of no avail when unsupported by material force. But in Italy, where they were backed by carnal weapons, men thought of them with awe, and the Venetians dreaded them even when unjust.1 Accordingly, the Pope used excommunication as a way of declaring war on those whom he was about to attack. The rebellious vassals were assailed with spiritual arms on account of their impiety as a prelude to the arrival of Cæsar's army.2

It was by squandering ecclesiastical privileges, by the profusion of graces and dispensations, that he disarmed enemies, made friends, and got money. The Venetians accused him of abetting the Turks against them,3 and they dreaded extremely the progress of Cæsar Borgia in Romagna. Yet they feared to oppose him, for they required the Pope's aid in taxing the clergy, and in raising money from the people. They gained 120,000 ducats by the Jubilee in 1501.

Marriage dispensations became, by careful manage-

in omni terrarum orbe, pertinetque etiam ad imperia civilia et omne genus, si hoc religionis moderandae vel propagandae ratio postulare videatur parandi classisque mitendae gravissimus auctor fuit Alexander VI Pontifex Max. cujus Pontificis auctoritas ea est ut ejus legibus atque decretis publice factis obsistere vel contradicere nefas sit, et sacrorum interdicto haereticorumque poenis sancitum (Sepulveda, Opera, 1V 334, 335, 340, m. 12, 15)

1 Perché giusta vale, ingiusta timenda est. Con v Con veritade il favor d'un

Papa è più grande di quello che cadauno può considerare. Perchè l'auttorità sua vale assat, edico grandemente apud Deum et homines (Priuli, May 25, June 10, Aug 23, 1501)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Alexander to the Magistrates of Bologna, Jan 28, 1501, in Gozzidini,

Memorie di Bentivoglio, Doc. 75 Se la stessa Santità Vostra persuade altrui ci si lasci punire e battere dagli infedell, convien pur dire si voglis e si desideri che prima noi, e poco dopo l' universa religione cristiana vada in ruma (Council of Ten to the Pope, June 30, 1500, De Leva, i 69)

ment, productive sources of revenue and of political influence. Charles VIII. wished to marry the betrothed bride of the King of the Romans, and the Pope was solicited on either side to permit or to prevent the match. He informed Valori that he meant to decide in favour of France, as the stronger and more useful power 1 But he said the thing was too scandalous to be done publicly, and afterwards spoke of the marriage as invalid 2 Divorce served him better even than dispensations Lewis XII wished to marry the widow of his predecessor, whose dower was the duchy of Brittany. He was already married, but Cæsar was despatched to France with the permission for the king to put away his wife. He was rewarded by a French principality, a French wife, and a French army wherewith to conquer Romagna Ladislaus of Hungary desired to put away his wife, the widow of Mathias Corvinus The Pope gave him leave, and carned 25,000 ducats by the transaction He twice dissolved the marriage of Lucretia. The King of Poland had married a princess of the Greek Church, and had bound himself by oath not to compel her to change her religion The Pope informed him that the oath was illegal, and not only absolved him from it, but required that compulsion should be used, if necessary, in order to convert her But if neither ecclesiastical nor secular weapons should avail to subdue her obstinacy, then he commanded that she should be punished by having her goods confiscated, and by being turned out of her husband's house 8

1493, Canestrini, i 486)

Publicava que la dispensacion que el Rey Carlos tenia, con la qual casò con la duquesa de Bretaña, era de ningun efecto y dezia, que en publico no

queria concenderla, por el escandalo (Zurita, 27)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lo necreammo, qual era în secreto la intenzione sua. Rispose che in ultimo satisfarebbe al Re di Francia, e terrebbe più conto di lui che del Re de Romani, non solo perchè la Francia è più potente, ma anco perchè quella casa è stata sempre amica e difensora di Santa Chiesa (Desp. Rome, March 31, 1493, Canestrini, i 486)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pollicitus es, quod eciam iurumento forte dictorum oratorum sub nomine tuo confirmatum extitit, nunquam eandem compulsurum ad ritum Romane ecclesies suscipiendum—sed si sponte sua ad eandem Romanam ecclesiam venire vellet, libertati sue in hoc eam dimitteres, que tua Nobilitas, quamvis perniciosa satis et iuri contraria fuerint, per quinquennium observare curavit. Volumus, teque oneramus, ut non obstantibus promissionibus et iuramentis predictis, quibus te

In order to make money by Indulgences, Alexander claimed jurisdiction over the other world. When the Jubilee of 1500 was celebrated, he was advised that it would produce far more if it were made applicable to the dead Divines reported that this power was included in the Pope's prerogative.1 Sixtus IV. had attempted to restrain this superstition, but Alexander allowed it to prevail, and the idea that the release of a soul could be insured by a mass at a particular altar became in his time the recognised belief in Rome.2 It was supposed that the two last kings of Portugal had died under sentence of excommunication. The Pope gave them posthumous absolution, on condition that their successor discharged their debts to the Church.8 It was he who simplified and cheapened the deliverance of souls in purgatory, and instituted the practices which Arcimboldus and Prierias, in an evil hour, set themselves to defend. The mass was not held necessary; to visit the churches did as well.4 Neither confession nor contrition was required, but only money.5 It came to be the official doctrine that a soul

nullatenus teneri tenore presentium declaramus, denuo ten'es, ac ea omnia agas, que ub necessaria videbun'ur quo eadem uxor tua, relicia pessima Ruthenorum secta, tandem res piscat (to Alexander of Lithuania, June 8, 1501). Per censuras ecclesias icas et alia iuris remedia, eliam cum invocacione, si opus fuent, brachii secularis cogas et compellas. Concedens licentiam eldem Alexandro ipsim Helenam auctoritate nostra abosfolica ex lecto, domo et omni manitali consorcio penitus excludendi, illamque pro mentus errorum suorum, etiam do em el omnia alia bona eliscem confiscata declarando, punias. Non obstantibus quibus vis promiss onibus eciam iuramento firmatis (to Bishop of Wilna, Theiner Morarenta Pubrace, il 268-co)

1 Duke of Ferrara to Cardinal of Modena Jan. 1, 1501

It was officially affirmed by the legate Raymundus at the Jubilee of

Tibi per presentes committimus et mandamus ut Alfonsum et Joannem si in éorum ob tu manifesta penitentie signa apparuerunt, ab excommunicationis sentencia necion aliis censuris et penis écclesiasticis si quas propierea incurrerunt absolvas (to Bishop of Oporto, July 3, 1502; Corpo Diplometros Perfegues, 1, 39).

4 Quam Ecclesiam (S., Laurentu) si que visitavent in omnibus diebus Mercurii per totum annum habet a Deo et Sancus Laurentio et Stephano istam gratiam extrahendi unam animam de purgatorio (Raymundus, in Amort, De Origina

Irialgentiarum IL 283)

5 Va.de iniquim est quod pauper defunctus gravissim.s peccatorum pen.s tamdiu affiigatur qui liberari posset pro modica substantie parte, quam post se reliquit. Neque in hoc casu ent opus contribuentibus esse corde contrios et ore confessos, cum talis gratia charitati, in qua defunctus decessent, e' contributioni viventis duntaxat innitatur (Instructiones Arcimboldi, 1514, Kapp, Urkurder, iii. 190, 191)

flew up to heaven as fast as the money chinked in the box 1 Whoso questioned the rightfulness of the system was delared a heretic<sup>2</sup>

By these measures in the spiritual order Alexander exercised vast influence over the future of the Catholic Church, whilst by his nepotism he caused the Papacy to become a political power in Italy His nepotism is commonly explained by his desire to enrich his kindred But there was more than this There was the desire to put in the place of almost independent feudatories a prince who represented the person, and could be trusted to do the will, of the Pope, and to strengthen and sustain the Papacy by the introduction of an hereditary element. It is a wise saying of Gincciardini, that the Popes were badly served because their reigns were short, but that the Borgias proved what could be accomplished by a well-served Pope 8 It was a substitute for the security derived from dynastic interests and influence There was a vulgar nepotism in the solicitude of Alexander to heap wealth and titles on his obscurer sons and kinsmen But Cæsar's career of conquest, the great reproach of the Borgias, was not a mere pursuit of mean and sordid objects, it belonged to a system of policy founded on reason and design, and pregnant with consequences not yet extinct.

The secret of Cæsar's power over his father was not Machiavelli saw that he really controlled love but fear the action of the pontiff, and advised the Florentines that they would obtain more by keeping an agent at Cesena than by their embassy at Rome, but he did not discover

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pruedicator, animam quae in Purgatorio detinetur, adstruens evolare in eo instanti, in quo plene factum est illud gratia cujus plena venia datur, puta dejectus est aureus in pelvim, non hominem, sed meram et catholicam veritatem praedicat (Prierias, "Dialogus," in Luther, Ofera Latira, 1 357)

2 Qui circa indulgentias dicit ecclesiam Romanam non posse facere id quod

de facto facit, haereticus est (Prierias, Ibid.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Essendo communemente di brieve vita, non hanno molto tempo a fare uomini nuovi, non concorrono le ragioni medesime di potersi fidare de quelli che sono stati appresso allo antecessore un modo che è periculo non sano più infedeli e munco affezionati al servizio del padrone, che quelli che servono uno principe seculare. Dimostro quanto fussi grande la potenza di un pontefice, quando ha uno valente capitano e di chi si possa fidare (Guicciardini, Opere Iredite, 1 87, m 304)

<sup>4</sup> Se ne ha contentare costui, e non il Papa e per questo le cose che si concludessino dal Papa possono bene essere ritrattate da costui, ma quelle che si

the nature of the relations that existed between the father and the son. There was complicity, mutual dependence, even confidence, but not affection. The immense value which Alexander set on the advancement of his son, the perils and sacrifices he incurred to promote it, were not caused by family feelings He justified his resignation of the Cardinal's hat, and his marriage, by saying that his presence among the clergy was enough to prevent their reformation 1 He spoke of Cæsar with the bitterness of aversion When the Spanish and Portuguese ambassadors boldly reproached him with his nepotism, he answered helplessly that Cæsar was terrible, and that he would give a quarter of his dominions to keep him from Rome<sup>2</sup> At other times he complained that he could not be made to reside there,8 and that, when he did, he allowed ambassadors to wait an audience for months, and turned night into day, so that it was doubtful whether after his own death his son would be found capable of keeping what he had got.4 The year before his death he said to an envoy who was trusted with his secret plans, that he hoped Cæsar's character would change, and that he would learn to tolerate advice.<sup>5</sup> Twelve months later, when he was at the height of his fortunes, Alexander was still lamenting that he would listen to nobody, that he made enemies everywhere, and all Italy cried out against him as a bastard and a traitor 6 At last, when nothing else would restrain him from attacking Siena, the Pope threatened him with excommunication?

When Alexander was dead, Cæsar Borgia attempted

concludessino da costui non saranno gia ritrattate dal Papa (Desp Cesena,

Dec. 14, 1502, Opere, v 354)

<sup>2</sup> Que bien conocia que era muy terrible y que èl daria la quarta parte del

Pontificado, porque no bolviesse a Roma (Ibid 160) 3 Saraceni to Duke of Ferrara, Sept 22, 1501

4 The same, Oct. 6

<sup>1</sup> Una de las mas principales causas que dava, para que el Cardenal de Valencia devasse el capelo era, porque siendo aquel Cardenal, mientras en la Iglesia estuviesse, era bastante para impedir que no se hiziesse la reformacion (Žurita, 126)

Dicendomi Sua Santità che epso Ilmo Sigr Duca era uno bello Signore, et che sperava mutarin initura, et se lasaria purlire (the same, April 6, 1502)

6 Constabili to Duke of Ferrara, Jan 23, 1503

The same, March 1, 1503

to excuse himself by attributing his own acts to his father's will. He wrote to Ferdinand that he had sought the French alliance against his own wishes, in obedience to the Pope. He tried to conciliate the Duke of Urbino, the most tame and patient vassal of the Church, whom he had twice driven into exile. Cæsar knelt before him, pleaded his own youth, and cursed his father's soul, whose baseness had led him astray 1

One point of contrast between the two, which the Pope was in the habit of urging, is curious, for it does not turn quite to Cresar's disadvantage. The Pope used to represent him as implacably cruel in punishing his enemies, and loved to dwell on his own generosity towards those who had injured or insulted him. In Rome he said speech was free, and he cared not for the things which were published against himself<sup>2</sup> This praise was not quite That he was not excessively sensitive, that he could bear with adversaries, appears from the fact that he sent Ludovico di Ferrara to offer a cardinal's hat to Savonarola.3 He did not proceed to extremities against him until Savonarola had written to the monarchs of Europe bidding them make a new Pope. Cæsar was capable of equal self-restraint, less from temperament than his father, and more from calculation an act of consummate treachery, he made himself master of Urbino, he published a general amnesty, and observed it even against his worst enemies 4 But he caused all those to be seized and punished who had betrayed their former master to him, showing, says the chronicler, that he hated the traitor though he loved the treason 5

It was said with truth that Alexander VI succeeded

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Incolpando la giovintù sua, li mali consigli soi, le triste pratiche, la pessima natura del Pontifice, et qualche uno altro che 'l haveva spirito a tale impresa, dilatandosi sopra el Pontefice, et maledicendo l'anima sua (Letter from Rome in Ugolini, Duchi d'Urbino, il 524)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Constabili to Duke of Ferrara Feb 1, 1502 <sup>3</sup> Queuf et Echard, Script O P, 1 883

<sup>4</sup> Ugolini, ii 111

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Per dar ad intender a tutti, che 'I Signor over Signori hanno appiacer del tradimento, ma non del traditore (Priuli, July 6, 1502)

surcrainty over the petty tyrants whose dominions were merged in the new duchy of Romagna, and incurred no positive loss by the change. In reality there was closer connection with Casar than with the vassals he had deposed, and more rehance to be placed in him fidelity was secured, for he could not maintain himself in opposition to the Pope - He had no friends in the other Italian States Supported by the mexhaustible wealth of the Church, he could keep up an army which no power in Italy could resist, and the Papacy, assured of his fidelity, obtained for the first time a real material basis of independence. Before the French invasion of 1494, the Italians had so little habit of serious warfare that the various States enjoyed a sort of mert immunity from attack 1 The expedition of Charles VIII showed how little there was of real security in the general proneness By the aid of Casar Borgia the Papacy became a military power. That aid was purchased at a great price, but it was sure to be efficient.

The danger was not that the provinces would be alienated, but that the Papacy would fall under the sway of its formidable vassal. Alexander not only foresaw this result, but anxiously contrived to make it certain It meant that his family should not relax their hold on the Church, to which they owed their elevation He did not wish to weaken the staff on which they were obliged His purpose was not to dismember the State, but to consolidate part of it in such a way that his descendants should be the servants and yet the masters of his successors, and that a dynasty of Borgias should protect and should control the Papacy There was run in the scheme, but not the obvious ruin commonly supposed It was not inspired by religion or restrained by morality, but it was full of intelligent policy of a worldly Cæsar's principality fell to pieces, but the materials enabled Julius II to build up the Roman State, which was destined to last so long. The Borgias had laid so

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chi aveva uno Stato era quasi impossible lo perdessi (Guicciardini, Opere Inedite, i 109)

firmly the foundations of their power, that the death of the Pope would not have shaken its stability if Cæsar had not been disabled for action at the moment when he was left to his own resources.1

Gregorovius, like Ranke, accepts the story that Alexander perished by poison which had been prepared for It was the common rumour. Two other guests at the fatal supper, Cæsar and Cardinal Adrian, were seized with illness at the same time, and the latter assured Giovio that he had been poisoned. This statement, recorded by Giovio, is the only evidence that positively supports the suspicion The report arose before the Pope was dead, as soon as the sudden illness of the others became known<sup>2</sup> But it was founded entirely on conjecture Guicciardini, who did much to spread it, possessed no proof He says that the story is confirmed by the fact that the Pope died within twenty-four hours.\$ In reality he died on the seventh day after his attack. The witness who has been hitherto the principal authority proves, therefore, to have no evidence. There are almost daily accounts of the Pope's state between the 12th and the 18th August from Giustinian to Constabili They suggest nothing more unusual than a violent Roman fever.

letto con la febre (Priuli, Aug. 16, 1503)

S Guicciardini, Istoria d' Italia, in 162 E che questa sia la veritt, ne fi fede che lui mori o la notte medesima o il di seguente (Opere Inchite, in 302)

<sup>1</sup> Se nella morte di Alessandro fusse stato sano, ogni cosa gli era ficile (Machiavelli, "Principe," Opere, 1 39)
2 Per la qual infermità si giudicava fosse stato avoelentio, e questo perchè etiam il giorno sequente il prefato Duca Valentino et il Card<sup>1</sup> s' erano buttati al

## 111

## SECRET HISTORY OF CHARLES HI

IN the register of the House of Novices of the Jesuits at Rome there is the following entry Jacob is de la Clocke ingressus 11 Aprilis 1668 From another list, which is signed by the novice himself, we learn that he came from the island of Jersey, and was a subject of the King of England, that his age was about twenty-four, and that he presented himself for admission in the dress of an ecclesiastic, with scarcely any luggage but the clothes he wore. This youth, whose name occurs no more in the books of the Order, and has never yet been pronounced by history, was the eldest of the sons of Charles the Second, the elder brother of Monmouth, and destined to be for a moment his rival in the fanciful schemes of his father well was the secret of his birth preserved that throughout the long intrigue to save the Protestant succession, and to supplant the Duke of York by the son of Lucy Walters, no man ever discovered that there was another who, by his age and by his mother's rank, had a better claim than the popular favourite, and who had voluntarily renounced the dazzling fortunes which were once within his grasp The obscurity which he preferred has endured for nearly two hundred years, and even now is not entirely dispelled, but the facts which I have to relate add a new and interesting episode to the chequered history of the Stuarts, and clear up whatever remained uncertain as to the attachment of Charles II to the Catholic Church

This attachment, which excited so keenly the curiosity of the world, and influenced so many of the actions of his

<sup>1</sup> The Home and Foreign Review, July 1862

reign, has been admitted with greater unanimity by recent historians than by those who spoke from personal observation, and whom Charles succeeded in partially misleading "It was not," says the ablest of the statesmen who approached him, "the least skilful part of his concealing himself to make the world think he leaned towards an indifference in religion"1 That belief was long since found to be untenable. Mr Fox, and the author of the Annals of England, believe that he had been actually reconciled to the Catholic Church: and Mackintosh fixes the date of that event in the year 1658 Hallam justly rejects this opinion, but is certain that the king had imbibed during the period of his banishment a persuasion that if any scheme of Christianity was true, it could only be found in the bosom of an infallable Church. Dr Vaughan believes that, so far as he could be said to have any religion, he was a Catholic; and Macaulay exactly agrees with Dr Vaughan. Lingard, who declares his early professions of regard for Catholicism a pretence, supplies no psychological explanation of the discrepancy between the scene at his death and his previous insincerity; while Dod more reasonably considers the reconciliation at the last moment a proof that he had inwardly espoused the Catholic doctrines before.

Many things contributed during the life of Charles to spread and to keep alive the report of his conversion. His mother's sincerity and zeal in religion were well known. She had attempted to instil the sentiments of her faith into her eldest daughter Mary, afterwards Princess of Orange, and although this was prevented by the king, she obtained his consent in her exile that their youngest child Henrietta should be educated a Catholic. At Paris Henrietta Maria exerted herself to induce the Duke of Gloucester to change his religion; and when the exhortations of Charles, the influence of Ormond, and the memory of the last solemn parting with his father prevailed against her efforts, she drove him from her presence. Charles I. had feared that the

<sup>1</sup> Halifax, Character of Charles II., p. 11

religion of his queen would injure the cause of his son, and sent earnest warnings to both when the prince joined his mother in France. To the former he wrote from Oxford, 22nd March 1646 "I command you, upon my blessing, to be constant to your religion, neither hearkening to Roman superstitions, nor the seditions schismatical doctrines of the Presbyterians and Independents, for know that a persecuted church is not thereby less pure, though less fortunate. For all other things I command you to be totally directed by your mother"1 Shortly after, he wrote to the queen from Newcastle "In God's name, let him stay with thee till it is seen what ply my business will take, and, for my sake, let the world see that the queen seeks not to alter his conscience"2 Clarendon entertained the same fears, and enueavoured to keep the prince at Jersey, away from his mother's influence. But he bears testimony that, for six years, down to 1652, when the fortunes of the Stuarts seemed desperate, and the motives for prudence had disappeared with the hope of success, Henrietta Maria was sensible of the impolicy of a step which, more than any other act, must have alienated the English people from their king? That she recognised it at first we may conclude from the failure of the match between Charles and Mademoiselle de Montpensier, the cousin of Lewis XIV That princess insisted that the difference of religion was an insurmountable obstacle, and Jermyn, who was conducting the business, and must have spoken the thoughts of the queen-mother, thereupon replied that the king could not change his religion for her sake without forfeiting for ever the crown of his kingdom 4

When, at length, it appeared certain that no chance of recovering the throne remained, except through the support of the Catholic Powers, the exiled courtiers began to debate whether some sacrifice might not be made for the purpose of obtaining their assistance "The Protestant

<sup>1</sup> Clarendon, History of the Rebellion, x. 8 2 Clarendon Papers, 11. 239

History, xm. 131
Memoires de Maderroiselle, 57, ed Michaud

religion was found to be very unagreeable to their fortun; and very many exercised their thoughts most how to get handsomely from it. . . Many made little doubt but that it would shortly be very manifest to the king that his restoration depended wholly upon a conjunction of Catholic princes, who could never be united but on the behalf or Catholic religion." 1 Digby, Clifford, and Bennet became Catholics, and proved their sincerity at their deaths, but they all agreed that it would be dangerous for Charles to imitate them Clarendon, whose purpose it was to divert from his master the suspicion of popery, wished it to be believed that no religious scruples, no doubts in the orthodony of the Anglican Church, had ever invaded the exiled court, and that the Catholic inclinations or professions of some of its members were the effects of political design He had argued with great force that even though Charles should give no cause for suspicion, the fact of his residence in a Catholic country would be a pretext for his enemies to accuse him. It would not be hard, he wrote to Jermyn, to persuade them who believed the king a papist when he was seen every day at Church in England, to believe the prince a papist when he had no church in France to go to 2 But the other advisers, who were let sturdy Protestants than the Chancellor, knew that nothing was to be expected for their cause from a change of In the period of the administration of Mazaria and the peace of Westphalia, no reasonable man could believe that any State would incur the expen c and the risk of war for the establishment of a Catholic dyna ty in England; and even those who believed that Charles lean d from conviction towards Rome, and whose exceptable were on the same side, were careful to conceal the first?

being turned papist, that unless it be suddenly contradicted, and the world disabused by something coming expressly from him, it is likely, in this extraordinary conjuncture, to do him very great injury amongst his friends both in city and country, in both which his constancy all this while hath rendered him many considerable proselytes". This letter justly represents the position of affairs, and the state of public feeling, and Clarendon took his measures to undeceive his party and to silence their enemies

Yet, although political interest forbade a public declaration, there was truth in the reports circulated in England, and so stoutly contradicted by the royalists certain that Charles had, during the last years of his exile, secretly adopted the Catholic faith, although the fear of detection prevented a formal abjuration of Pro-Burnet says he was received before he left testantism Paris, and that Cardinal de Retz and Aubigny had a hand in it. This information he had obtained from two sources, and indirectly, he affirms, from Retz himself When Charles was at Paris, after the flight from Worcester, he received instruction in religion from Olier, the celebrated founder of the seminary of St Sulpice. conferences were no secret, for Olier had informed his friends of his hopes, and entreated their prayers probably gave occasion to the exaggerated report of Burnet. Charles, it is true, wrote from Paris to the Pope to ask for assistance in recovering his dominions would have been satisfied, under the circumstances, with a private abjuration, but this was refused, and the king could not even obtain an answer to his application 2 although he was not received into the Church, he had advanced so far in his opinions that he might, as Thurloe affirmed, in his communications with the Spanish Government have declared himself in private to them to be a Catholic 3 Neither France nor Spain had any inducement

to publish what would diminish the chances of monarchy in England, and strengthen a Government they feared and hated. The story that Ormond discovered Charles on his knees hearing mass in a church at Brussels comes to us through two independent channels, Carte and Echard The latter supposes the ceremony of abjuration to have occurred when the king was at Fuentarabia, at the time of the treaty of the Pyrenees. There is much reason in a remark which is made by Welwood · "The truth is, King Charles was neither bigot enough to any religion, nor loved his ease so little, as to embark in a business that must at least have disturbed his quiet, if not hazarded his crown."

Ludovick Stuart, Lord Aubigny, to whom Burnet attributes the conversion of Charles, appeared at Whitchall immediately after the Restoration. In France, where he was educated and ordained, he had joined the party of Cardinal de Retz and the Jansenists, and had been made a canon of Notre Dame. As a relative of the royal family, and at one time an inmate of St Sulpice, he was probably aware of the conferences which Olier, and perhaps others,2 held with Charles during his residence at Paris. In April 1661, he officiated at the private marriage of Charles with Catherine of Braganza, and became almoner to the queen. His royal descent, and the position he had already attained in the Church, pointed him out as a suitable person to conduct the projected intercourse between the English court and the Holy See. In order to obtain that office, he sought the aid of a more powerful negotiator.

His friend Cardinal de Retz had taken the foremost part in the troubles which distracted both Church and State in France in the days of the Fronde, and after balancing for a season the power of Mazarin, had been deserted by fortune, and suffered in banishment the disgrace both of the French and of the Roman court. Upon the death of Cromwell Ormond had recourse to him in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Memoirs, p. 131
<sup>2</sup> Charles is reported to have said that though many persons had discoursed with him on religion, none had affected him so much as Oher (Vieile M. Oher, ii. 490).

the name of the king, who promised, if the Cardinal would obtain for him some assistance from the Pope, to protect the Catholics after his restoration Retz, hoping that the merit of having secured a promise of indulgence for the Catholic subjects of the King of England would powerfully assist his own cause, undertook the negotiation, and sent one of his adherents, the Abbé Charier, to Rome. The envoy could not, however, obtain an audience of the Pope, and he was assured by one of the Cardinals that the promises of Charles had made no impression, and that the prospect of relief to the oppressed Catholics would never induce Alexander VII to furnish him with money 1 The Restoration soon altered the position of affairs, and improved the prospects of the Cardinal. He came to London in 1660, and received not only promises of support from the king, but large sums of money, on condition that he would promote the objects which Charles was pursuing in the court of Rome. These objects were of such importance that the notion of a marriage with one of the nieces of Mazarin was entertained for a moment by Charles as a means of securing them,2 and was eagerly adopted by Retz for the purpose of recovering his favour at Paris Mazarın despatched a special envoy to England charged with the mission of promoting the match. He found an auxiliary in Aubigny, who represented to Charles the beauty of the Cardinal's nieces, but more particularly their virtue, of which, says the envoy, the king was much pleased to hear Together with this futile intrigue, Retz was pleading at Whitehall for the Catholics, and at Rome for the settlement of that important affair to which the alliance with Mazarin and the elevation of Aubigny were expected to contribute. The first of these subsidiary negotiations was speedily abandoned, the other was pursued with a strange pertinacity for several years

1 Mémoires de Guy Joly, p 140, ed Michaud.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Aujourd hui la reine a reçu une lettre du roy son fils, où il parle positivement, et dit qu'après avoir considéré toutes les raisons de son mariage, il se conformoit à son sentiment pour vostre uièce, en vue du grand dessein à quoi il estoit porté de jour en jour avec plus de faveur" (Lionne to Mazarin, 7th July 1660, in Champollion, Complément des Mémoires de Retz, p 589, ed. Michaud)

At first Charles desired a mitre for his kınsman, but he soon raised his demands, and insisted on having him created a cardinal. Clarendon, who was ignorant of the real design of which this was to be the prelude, entered into the idea, and drew up the instructions with which in October 1662, the queen's secretary, Sir Richard Bellings, was sent to Rome. In the following year the Chancellor's share in these transactions was made a part of the abortive charge preferred against him by Bristol, and it appears from the articles that the great importance which was given to this negotiation, and the correspondence with the Roman cardinals, were generally known at the time. Retz advised Charles to secure the compliance of the Pope by sending a squadron to cruise off Civita Vecchia, and then proceeded to Hamburg to obtain the powerful intervention of the Queen of Sweden. He was charged at the same time with the distribution of a sum of fifteen thousand pounds, which Charles had determined to devote to the interests of Aubigny.2 Letters were written by both the Queens of England to Cardinal Orsini, Protector of Portugal, urging him to press the suit, and assuring him that if the promotion should be refused, lamentable consequences might be apprehended from the disappointment of the king Orsini, after an interview with Bellings, warmly took up the cause, and declared in a letter to the famous Cardinal Pallavicini, that he might, by assisting him, render a great service to religion. They also wrote to the two most influential men in Rome, Cardinals Chigi and Azzolini, the latter of whom was an active promoter of the design. His letter to the king, of 8th April 1663, advising the continuation of his efforts, and that of Cardinal Chigi, written on the following day, are in the State Paper Office.8

The question was maturely debated at Rome, and an opinion was drawn up in favour of Aubigny, founded partly on the statements of Bellings, and partly on the

<sup>1</sup> Dod, Church History of England, in 239

<sup>2</sup> Mémoires de Guy Joly, p 149 3 Italian States, Bundle No 24

elaborate memorials of Retz, in which the services of the king were set forth. This opinion was to the following the Restoration had improved the condition of the Catholics, and whatever relief they enjoyed was due to the influence of Charles himself, and was disliked by the Parliament and the country. The abolition of the penal laws could not be expected, for the royal authority was competent only to suspend them. Indeed, it might be considered almost more advantageous, under the circumstances, that the laws should be suspended than toleration proclaimed For the same disabilities from which the Catholics suffered extended in great part to the Presbyterians, and the other sects who were hostile to the monarchy They could not therefore be abrogated without depriving the king of the weapons the law gave him to defend the crown against the Nonconformists, while a partial abolition would excite fresh envy against the Catholics, and add to the number of their enemies Legislative toleration, masmuch as its benefits would be shared by the Dissenters, was not to be desired, even if it could be obtained. It was necessary to rely solely on the power and the favour of the king For his authority might be trusted not only as a security against the heretics, but also against that portion of the Catholics who were in opposition to the Jesuits To his salutary influence was to be attributed the suppression of the measure for Catholic relief which had been brought forward in July 1662, in answer to the petition presented by that party, who had offered to swear that they did not hold the doctrine of the temporal authority of the Holy See, and that they would "oppose with their lives and fortunes the Pontiff himself, if he should ever attempt to execute that pretended power"1 Again, when the Irish protestation of allegiance, which many leading Catholics had signed, was found in like manner to be very far removed from the obedience due to the Apostolic See, Charles had refused to countenance it, and had exhibited an unvarying respect for the Pope. Queen

Henrietta Maria, who was now supporting the cause of Aubigny, had formerly obtained the same dignity for Conne, and only his death had prevented him from enjoying it. The state of the Catholics was more satisfactory and more hopeful than when the favour now asked for had been granted before, and the new king had in several ways shown that he was favourably disposed Before leaving the Low Countries to ascend his throne, he had sent a rich present to the English nuns at Ghent. He had given audience to several Jesuits, and among others to two successive provincials, to whom he had promised his protection in case of need. He had been seen in a posture of adoration at high mass in the queen's chapel

These were the views at that time entertained at Rome concerning the religious character of Charles II., and the arguments advanced in support of the promotion of Aubigny. Nevertheless the demand was rejected The Pope's answer was conveyed in such terms that Charles was not offended, and accepted the explanation The refusal, indeed, was only temporary The solicitations of the English Court were soon after renewed, and they were at last successful. In November 1665, Aubigny, who was then at Paris, received his nomination, and died almost immediately after 1 His name does not appear in the list of the cardinals created by Alexander VII, but his elevation, and the influence by which it had been obtained, were known, and had excited hopes for the Catholic Church in this country, which caused his death to be regarded as a serious calamity. The general of the Jesuits, on hearing of it, wrote to one of his correspondents. "The clouds which are gathering over Holland, Poland, and Constantinople are so dense, that every prudent man must see reason to apprehend enormous catastrophes, and storms that will not be ended without irreparable disasters But in my mind all these coming evils are overshadowed by the death of the

<sup>1</sup> Moréri, Dictionnaire Historique, ix. 597, his epitaph in Douglas, Peerage of Scotland, ii 101

Abbé Aubigny, which deprives the Church, for a time at least, of the joy of beholding an English cardinal of such illustrious blood, created at the public instances of two queens, and at the secret request of a king. a prodigy which would without doubt have confounded heresy, and inaugurated bright fortunes to the unhappy Catholics"

The affair of the cardinal's hat was not the principal object of the mission of Sir Richard Bellings intended as a preliminary to that more important negotiation which the envoy was instructed to reserve if the first should fail, and inspired Queen Catherine with so much anxiety, and Cardinal Orsini with such sanguine hopes of the advancement of religion The two queens knew that Charles was at heart a Catholic, and they pressed him to declare himself. He was now firmly seated on his throne, the Established Church recovered its supremacy, and was not only profoundly loyal, but still strongly impregnated with those Catholic tendencies which had hastened its fall, the Puritans and Independents were yet prostrate beneath the ruins of their political system, and the great body that reverenced Baxter as their chief was comparatively tolerant Charles, believing that the step which would have prevented his return might now be taken without involving the risk of a new revolution, resolved to feel his way towards a reconciliation with the Holy See. In addition to the instructions drawn up by Clarendon, Sir Richard Bellings carried to Rome proposals for the submission of the three kingdoms to the Church, and presented to Alexander VII the king's profession of faith 1 Charles declared that he was willing to accept the creed of Pius IV, the decrees of the Council of Trent and of all general Councils on faith and morals, and the decisions of the two last Pontiffs in the affair of the Jansenists, saving the particular rights and customs of the nation, as is the practice in France

¹ Oblatio ex parte Caroli II Magnae Brittanniae Regis pro optatissima trium suorum Regnorum Angliae, Scotiae et Hiberniae cum Sede Apostolica Romana reunione

and in other countries, and provided always no new laws should be imposed upon his realm, and he should be free to complete in his own way the work of reconciliation. He declared that he renounced and detested all the heresies which had involved his country in ecclesiastical and civil troubles, and made England the most distracted State in the world. He undertook to restore the hierarchy as it was under Henry VIII; and added that the Protestants should have toleration as long as they did not disturb the peace

In this very remarkable document, Charles, who believed that many of his subjects would follow his example, gave one of the earliest instances of what has since been constantly witnessed,-that princes who, as head of the Protestant Church in their dominions, enjoy an almost unlimited authority, cannot view without jealousy the ecclesiastical liberty which is claimed by Catholicism. He carefully restricted the papal jurisdiction both of doctrine and discipline, and reserved to himself the rights which the Gallican system attributed to the secular power He even proposed that the Church should abandon her essential function of judging and defining matters of faith as occasion should arise. Although this is a condition contrary to the nature of the Catholic Church, the document proposing it, which is followed by twenty-four articles on particular points, exhibits so much familiarity with ecclesiastical forms that it must have been drawn up by a Catholic hand It is not probable that many persons were admitted on this occasion into the confidence of Charles. The whole scheme was not discussed beyond the door of the royal closet. It betrays the hand of a layman, for no priest could have expected the Church to discontinue her dogmatic progress, and Aubigny, the only priest likely to be consulted, was not likely to introduce the clause against Jansenism. Now we know that the secret was imparted to one lay Catholic, the agent who was charged with the negotiation. No man was more likely to be chosen for that important mission than he to whom the affair had been confided from the first,

or who could discus the proposals better than he who had helped to devise them. Bellings was a man of note and distinction among the Catholics in both islands, and was often employed by the court in confidential missions. His father had been one of the leaders in the opposition to the nuncio. Riauceini, and was the author of that protestation of allegrance which had been adopted by a large party in Ireland, and which was so badly received at Rome. The son was, therefore, not unlikely to suggest those limitations of ecclesiastical authority which he undertook to defend, and which corresponded with the views of his father and of those who, in the language of Bristol, were Catholics of the Church of Rome, not of the court of Rome.

The answer of Alexander was probably not very encouraging, for the negotiation was broken off. A suspicion was awakened that the king was in correspondence with the Pope, and Charles, in his alarm, took measures to prove his aversion of Catholicism He opened Parliament on the 18th of February 1663 with a demand for new laws to restrain the progress of popery, and gave his assent to a proclamation ordering all priests to quit the kingdom under pain of death. He explained, five years later, in a letter to which I shall presently return, the failure of his negotiation, and the inconsistency of his subsequent conduct "Quoy qu'elle nous fust présentée avec touttes les circonstances necessaires, et par personne catholique, touttefois ce ne peut estre avec tant de prudence que nous ne fussions soupçonnés d'intelligence avec le pape par les plus clairvoyants de nostre cour, mais ayart trouvé le moyen d'étousser le soubçon que l'on començoit d'avoir que nous fussions eatholique, nous fusmes obligé, crainte de ne le faire renaistre dans les esprits, de consentir aux occasions a plusicurs choses tournant au desavantage de plusieurs catholiques de nostre royaume d'Hybernic, ce qui est cause encore que bien que nous eussions escry assez secrettement à sa saincteté pour nostre rangement à l'eglise eatholique, au mesme temps que nous prions sa saincteté de faire cardinal nostre très eher cousin le

Milord d'Aubigny, dont nous fumes refusés pour bonnes raisons, nous n'avons peu poursuyvre nostre pointe." The scheme was not resumed for several years Times were not propitious. The Dutch war, the Plague, the Fire, the Triple Alliance, intervened Public animosity was inflamed against the Catholics; and Charles had no confidential agent whom he could employ without danger to propose, if not the reconciliation of the country, for which he was not disposed to make great efforts or great sacrifices, at least his own submission to the Catholic Church During this interval, Jacques de la Cloche made his appearance for the first time in England.

In the spring 1646, during his first residence in Jersey, Charles fell in love with a young lady of high rank, who became the mother of a child, who enjoyed the prerogative, denied to all the other natural children of the king, of bearing his father's name. He was called James Stuart, and was brought up in the Protestant religion on the Continent. "Il nous est né lorsque nous n'avions guères plus de seize on 17 ans, d'une jeune dame des plus qualifiées de nos royaumes, plustost par fragilité de nostre première jeunesse que par malice" The last words appear to indicate Charles's respect for the mother and the care with which he protected her fame. Unlike the Clevelands and Portsmouths who afterwards disgraced his court, the lady who was the object of his earliest attachment obtained of her royal lover the concealment of her fault, and her name has never been divulged. She is nowhere mentioned in the correspondence relating to her son, and if she died before his arrival in England, the reputation of her family may have induced the king to conceal his birth After the Restoration he allowed him to remain abroad unnoticed, and under the disguise of an assumed name, until the year 1665 In that year he sent for him to England, supplied him with money, and gave him a certificate in which he recognised him as his son, but which he commanded him to show to nobody whilst his father lived This document, written and signed by Charles's own hand, and sealed with his private seal, is

dated Whitehall, 27th September 1665,—a time at which the plague was at its height, and the court was not in For greater security he obliged his son once more to change his name. That which he had borne till then is not known. He was now called James de la Cloche du Bourg - It is not easy to say whether the last of these names may afford some clue to the discovery of his mother's family among the three thousand royalists who took refuge in Jersey at the same time as the Prince of Walcs1. The former name had been made popular in that island when Charles arrived there by the spirit with which Mr de la Cloche, a clergyman, had resisted the authority of Government? After lying nearly a year in prison, he was released upon the arrival of the Prince, and then left the island. Had his release anything to do with Charles's private affairs? Was the box christened by him, or afterwards committed to his charge?

James was unwilling to remain in England. It was not his country, he did not speak the language, he had no career and no recognised station, and his position was not to his taste. He had made great proficiency in his studies abroad, and he desired to continue them in the Dutch universities. His father did not know what to do with him in England, and allowed him to go Eighteen months later, on the 7th of February 1667, he sent him another document, recognising his birth, and directing his successor to give him £500 a year. condition was attached to the grant of this pension, that it could be enjoyed only while the claimant resided in London, and remained faithful to the religion of his fathers and to the Anglican liturgy Six months after receiving this letter, on the 29th of July 1667, James Stuart became a Catholic at Hamburg

The Queen of Sweden, who filled Europe with the fame of her abdication, her abjuration, her talents, and her eccentricities, was for the second time residing at Hamburg, and appears again on the scene of the secret history

R Augier to the Speaker, in Cary, Memorials of the Civil War, 1 7
Le Quesne Constitutional History of Jersey, p 325

of Charles She signed a paper for his son, certifying that he had been received into the Church at that particular place and time, in order that he might be able, in case of need, to satisfy his confessor of the identity of the convert of Hamburg with the Protestant whom the King of Great Britain had privately recognised as his son This was now necessary, because he had determined, immediately after his conversion, to enter the novitiate of the Jesuits Christine knew who he was, probably because he had been compelled to apply to her chaplains, or at least for her protection, in order to be received. The Senate of Hamburg exercised with extreme severity the right which the Treaties of Westphalia gave to each Government of exacting religious conformity; and the neighbouring town of Altona, peopled by the Catholics, Anabaptists, and Jews whom the Lutherans had expelled, grew up a monument of the intolerance of the Free City queen had attempted, some years before, to obtain freedom of conscience for her own religion through the intervention of the Catholic Powers; but the Emperor, whose rights were derived from the same treaty by which the senate justified its rigour, and who was not disposed to surrender them, refused to disturb the settlement of Munster At the very time when James was converted, the town had been thrown into confusion by the uproar caused by a fête which Christine gave, in the midst of a Protestant population, to celebrate the election of Clement IX Charles was much annoyed to learn that "She is prudent and she was in his son's confidence wise," he said; "but she is a woman, and that is enough to make us doubt whether she is able to keep a secret."

James de la Cloche was hardly settled at Rome when his father determined to have him about his court. That vast intrigue had just commenced which was to raise France to the pinnacle of power, and which, by a timely subservience, promised to emancipate the princes of the House of Stuart from the control of Parliament, and from the terrors which had postponed the king's design of reconciliation with Rome. In that conspiracy the motives

of religious belief and political ambition were strangely blended Turenne, who was destined to be the foremost actor in the execution of the design, was a sincere Calvinist. He had shortly before refused the great dignity of Constable of France, when it was tendered as the reward of his conversion On the 23rd of October 1668 Turenne became a Catholic. He was shortly after followed by his old lieutenant, a confederate in the new scheme, the Duke of York. James had applied to the Provincial of the Jesuits, and then to the Pope, for permission to conceal his religion, and had been told that it was impossible. With this answer he caught the conscience of the king. On the feast of the conversion of St. Paul, 1669, Charles summoned his Catholic counsellors, declared with tears how uneasy he was not to profess the faith which he believed, and consulted them as to the best mode of carrying out his resolutions They concluded that the only way was to do it in conjunction with France.1 A few months before this resolution was finally taken, in August 1668, Charles had written to the General of the Jesuits to send him his son, whose presence he needed for the good of his soul.

He had long sought in vain, the king said to Oliva, for a person with whom he could confer on spiritual matters without creating suspicion. The priests who lived in London were so well known that no disguise could conceal them, 2 but the conversion of his son, and his entrance into Orders, at length gave him an opportunity of receiving the sacraments without alarming the Protestant zeal of his subjects His son might remain unknown, as the queens alone were aware of his existence, but before long he should be publicly acknowledged "Plusieurs raisons considérables, et concernantes la paix de nos royaumes, nous ont empesché jusques à présent de le reconnestre publiquement pour notre fils, mais ce sera pour peu de temps, parceque nous sommes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Clarke, Life of James II, i. 441 <sup>2</sup> We know from the account of his death that none of the Portuguese chaplains of the queen could speak either English or French.

maintenant en dessein de faire en sorte de le reconnestre publiquement devant peu d'années" In case he was not a priest, and could not be ordained before starting, Charles directed that he should go to Paris, and address himself either to the king or to the Duchess of Orleans, who knew of his own design, and would have James ordained without betraying his rank, or, if he preferred it, the two queens would find an opportunity for his ordination in England As soon as he had received his father into the Church, he would be free either to return to Rome or to live in England, so as to be within call, but not in London, lest people should suspect that the king's son was a Jesuit. This was written on the 3rd of August. On the 29th, Charles, having heard that the Queen of Sweden was on her way to Rome, wrote again to hasten the departure of his son, for he feared that Christine, if she saw him, would discover the purpose of his intended journey If that should become known in England, he said, it would infallibly cost him his life. He therefore desired that his son, instead of stopping at Paris, should come with all speed to London, and there make himself known to the queen-mother by delivering to her a sealed letter in the form of a petition This letter was scarcely sealed, when he wrote a third time to the General It had occurred to his mother and his wife that a novice is not allowed among the Jesuits to travel alone Charles hoped that this regulation would be dispensed with, and that his son would be permitted to set out by himself in the dress of a layman Secre' warning had already been given at the southern ports that a foreign prince, whose appearance was described as near that of James as possible, was about to seek refuge in England, and would arrive without any companion The presence of a Jesuit father would have spoilt thi plan. The better to meet the arrangements which Ind been made, the novice was to call himself Henry de Rohan, a name well known as that of one of the greet Huguenot families of France. Charles declared on h royal word, en for de roy, that the sole object of li

letters was the salvation of his soul, and the good of his son and of the Order, and that he would either induce the Pope to make him a cardinal, or allow him, if he should prefer it, to remain a simple religious

In the middle of October 1668 the young ecclesiastic started for England, disguised as a French cavalier Together with his letters to Oliva, Charles had written to him in terms of the warmest affection The temper of Parliament, he said, had hitherto made it necessary to defer the public acknowledgment of his birth, but the time was approaching when it would be possible for him to assume the rank which belonged to him It behoved him, therefore, to reflect maturely on his altered prospects before entering irrevocably into sacred orders. His title was better than that of the Duke of Monmouth, and he had a right of precedence over him, "par touttes raisons, et à cause de la qualité de votre mère" The queen was childless, and the children of the Duke of York were delicate, and if the Catholic religion should be restored in England he would have a claim to the crown pouvons vous asseurer que si Dieu permet que nous et notre très honoré frère le duc d'Yorck mourons sans enfans, les royaumes vous apartient, et le parlement ne peut pas legitimement s'y opposer, si ce n'est qu'en matière d'estre catholique vous en soyez exclus . . Croyez que nous vous avons toujours eu une affection particulière non seulement à cause que vous nous este né dans nostre plus tendre seunesse, lorsque nous n'avions guères plus de 16 ou 17 ans, que particulièrement à cause de l'excelent naturel que nous avons toujours remarqué en vous"

Prince James Stuart, as the king now calls him, remained scarcely a fortnight in England. On the 18th of November he was sent back to Rome on a secret mission to the General of the Jesuits, with directions to return as soon as he had obtained what the king desired. It does not appear what that was. It is probable that Charles wished, like his brother, to be allowed to keep his change of religion a secret, and the application which James says

that he made to the Pope at this time may have been conveyed, on the part of both brothers, by the youth whom Charles had already selected to be the medium of communication with the Holy See The Duke of York's letter to the Pope required secrecy, and we know that no messenger was trusted by Charles but the young Stuart himself This was not, however, the only condition lie desired to exact in making his submission to the Holy See We have seen the tenor of his demands in 1662. In his letters to his sister, published by Dalrymple, he mentions other points, which on the former occasion were probably included in the clause allowing him to carry out the details of the restoration of Catholicism in his own way "He talks," says Hallam, who has investigated the history of this period more carefully than any other writer, "of a negotiation with the court of Rome to obtain the permission of having mass in the vulgar tongue, and communion in both kinds, as terms that would render his conversion agreeable to his subjects" Before departing for Rome, James must have assured his father that his resolution was fixed, and that he would live and die a Jesuit. Charles, who had promised not to interfere with his vocation, gave him a large subsidy for the new novitiate at St. Andrea on the Quirinal, which Oliva was then erecting, in addition to the old building of St. Francis Borgia. He also desired that on this second journey his son should be accompanied by a Jesuit, for, as he was not a priest, he was unable to receive his father into the Church, or to administer the sacraments to him. With these instructions James left England From that day he disappears from history; and after his arrival in Rome, in November or December 1668, the name of De la Cloche, by which he was known in the novitiate, figures no more in the books of the society

Towards the close of the year a young gentleman, who passed for an Englishman, and travelled with a servant and a well-stored purse, took up his abode at a very humble inn at Naples The host had a daughter, Teresa

Corona, whose extraordinary beauty won the heart of the After he had satisfied the ecclesiastical authorities that he was a Catholic, they were married on the 19th of Tebruary 1669 It was not long before the attention of the neighbours was roused by their manner of life Gold was observed to be suspiciously plentiful in the household of the poor innkeeper, and it began to be whispered that his English son-in-law was related to the King of Great Britain Rumours came to the ear of the Spanish viceroy, who, in his solicitude for the honour of royalty, caused the stranger to be arrested Letters were found in his possession bearing the title of Highness, together with many jewels and lieaps of pistoles. He declared that he was Prince James Stuart, a son of the King of England, born in Jersey, and he sent for the English consul in order to obtain his release But he could neither speak English nor give any satisfactory evidence in support of his statement. The viceroy wrote to England to ascertain the truth of the story, and in the meantime treated his captive as a prisoner of State, and sent him to the fortress of Gaeta, whilst he shut up his wife in a convent. Nobody knew what to believe. writes the English agent, Kent to Williamson, on the 30th of March, "whether will end in prince or cheat I shall endeavour to inform you hereafter." The bewildered governor allowed his prisoner fifty crowns a month for his maintenance, and permitted his wife's family to visit him Early in June came the answer of King Charles to the viceroy, who thereupon proclaimed the mysterious personage an impostor, removed him from his honourable confinement at Gaeta to the dungeons for common malefactors at Naples, and condemned him to be whipped through the city Teresa Corona was taken from her convent on the discovery of her husband's real character, and the story, which was believed at the time, goes on to say that instead of being punished he was released at her intercession, and allowed to go to France, on a visit, as he affirmed, to his mother Two months later he was again at Naples, asserting that his mother was dead

called her the Lady Mary Stuart, of the house of the Barons of St. Mars, as it is in the contemporary English translation, or of San Marzo, as it stands in the Italian copy of his will, and said that it was in consequence of her relationship with the royal family that the king was unwilling to acknowledge him The will is dated 24th August 1669, and two days later the testator died, reiterating his statements in the same breath in which he recommended his soul to the mercy of God and the intercession of Our Lady, in terms of the deepest piety and resignation. He appointed his cousin, Lewis XIV., his executor; demanded of Charles, for his unborn child, either the principality of Wales or Monmouth, or a royal dukedom, with an income of a hundred thousand crowns, besides his mother's fortune, amounting to £16,000 a year, and left enormous legacies to his wife's relations and to the Church "And this," says Kent, "is the end of that princely cheat, or whatever he was" The cautious agent did not venture to determine the adventurer's quality, and in the manuscript letter of news sent weekly to the English Government, called the Gazzetta di Roma, from which most of his information was derived, the Englishman is constantly called the English prince.

Yet none of these contemporaries knew that there was actually at that time a son of King Charles born at Jersey of a lady of high rank, privately addressed as Highness, provided with money, and speaking French as his native tongue. Had they known it, and could they have discovered that the illegitimate prince was really called James Stuart, that though a novice he was not ordained; and that all authentic traces of him were at an end from the moment of his arrival in Italy, at the very time when the English traveller put up at the inn of Corona,-if, in short, their knowledge had extended generally as far as ours, and had stopped where ours stops, it is probable that they would not have hesitated to believe in the claims of the prisoner at Gaeta. The king's denial, and what followed, would not have shaken their conviction. Charles was always careful to conceal the

existence of his son, and he was particularly tender of the mother's name. When informed that the young Jesuit who had refused his favour, and had gone forth to prepare the way for his father's conversion, was the husband of a publican's daughter at Naples, and had been thrown into prison after apprising the people of his rank and wealth, he would certainly not have responded to the appeal of the viceroy by a public acknowledgment. It was necessary, in order to shield the father, that the son should be proclaimed an impostor, and sentenced to condign punishment. But it was not necessary that he should be actually punished Charles's interests were satisfied by his removal to the felons' prison, his sentence, and his pardon could not have followed instantly on the discovery, the culprit, after leaving the scene of his disgrace, would not voluntarily have returned so soon, and he would not have mingled with his dying prayers the solemn repetition of a lie, which could serve no further purpose but to bring down disappointment and notoricty on his widow claims which he prefers for his child, though inconsistent with his own disinterested conduct, might have proceeded from a natural anxiety to provide for his posterity

This is the case for the prisoner — It falls to the ground in cross-examination — The tenor of the will itself is fatal to it. The real James Stuart, who was sure of being able to obtain every just demand, would not have compromised the reasonable prospects of his family by the falsehoods and the extravagance of this document. He had, moreover, in his possession papers which proved his claim, and would have delivered him from the rigours of the Spanish governor — There was no reason for his sudden appearance at Naples at the very moment when he was charged with a negotiation of the greatest moment to his father, his Church, and himself — Nor would he have called his mother by a name and title which are unquestionably fictitious. And yet in that imaginary name and title there may perhaps be found a key to the mystery of the birth of the young James Stuart. For though the

Neapolitan adventurer was an impostor, he enjoyed good sources of information, and possessed, though imperfectly, the secrets of King Charles's son. He knew that he was born at Jersey, and that his birth had been recognised by his father, and he had secured some of his papers and some of his property. All the wealth he showed at Naples did not come from that source, for the young novice was not so rich, and the impostor must have robbed other people. But he had certainly either accompanied, as his servant, the man he represented, or stolen his letters. Whatever be the secret of this strange adventure, it is so certain that it was not the real James Stuart who died at Naples in August 1669, that it is worth while to institute a further inquiry as to the probable events of his subsequent career.<sup>1</sup>

He must have returned almost immediately to his father's court, but here too he was compelled to lay aside the name which he had borne on his former journey. The same Henry de Rohan could not twice in two months seek an asylum in England without awakening the suspicions of that suspicious age. The name which he finally assumed is unknown, and we are unable with certainty to trace him further. But it can hardly be doubted that among the French Jesuits of that period the eldest son of Charles II. may yet be identified He was by speech and education a Frenchman, and it is likely that he again took a French name, and completed his novitiate in France or in Flanders Had he quitted the Order, he would have taken with him the grant of his pension, which lies at Rome. Had he returned to Rome, he would have resumed his former name. remained in England, it is hard to believe that he could have escaped discovery at the time of the Popish Plot, or among the clergy who frequented the palace He did not succeed in effecting the actual reconciliation of his

<sup>1</sup> The papers from which this account is given are in the State Paper Office, "Italian States," Bundle 32. Letters of Kent, March 30, 1669, June 16, August 31, and September 7. News Letters, or Gassette di Roma, of March 23, April 6, April 13, April 20, June 11, September 7 The will is in the Domestic Pap 15, Bundle for August 1669

father with the Church, for it is certain that that event did not occur before the eve of Charles's death When Charles feared that his brother would expose himself to danger by bringing a priest, and when James declared he would do it at the risk of his life, they could only allude to the law which made it penal to receive a convert. The mere administration of the Sacrament to one already Catholic could get no one into trouble Huddlestone says that the king declared "that he was most heartily sorry for all the sins of his past life, and particularly for that he had defferred his reconciliation so long" This is implicitly confirmed by what he told Aprice, another priest, who wrote ten days later "As Mr Huddlestone himself has told me, by a particular instance of God's grace, the king was as ready and apt in making his confession, and all other things, as if he had been brought up a Catholic all his lifetime." If we had not these proofs that Charles had not been received into the Church before his last illness, still there could be no doubt upon the subject, as the application of James for leave to conceal his religion was rejected, and the publication would also, in the case of the king, have been the necessary condition of his admission into the Church

James Stuart's ministrations to his father must therefore have been confined to the discussion of the Catholic doctrines. It is possible that a memorial of these discussions and exhortations may still be extant. Manuscript copies of the two papers on religion, in the handwriting of Charles, which were found in his cabinet and published by his brother, were sent to Rome by Father Giudici, the confessor of Mary Beatrice. These copies, attested by King James's own signature, are in French That which was printed in England was a translation. It would have been useless to publish a French text in England, where an immediate and general effect was required. There could be no object in sending a copy of the translation to Rome, where the original could be understood and interpreted. The title of the copies in

<sup>1</sup> Harris, Life of Charles II , ii 391

Rome proves that the publication had already taken place. If the originals were printed, it would have been enough to send a printed copy, which would have possessed greater authenticity than a manuscript translation. It is impossible to compare the French and the English versions without perceiving that the latter is a translation of the former—inelegant, somewhat abridged, and not entirely faithful. The word apogrifes, which occurs in the French for apocryphes, shows that the papers were in the writing of a person who did not know theology. Father Giudici would not have allowed it to stand in the copy if it were not in the original manuscript of the king, but in the English edition the word was altogether omitted, probably because it would not be understood by Protestants in the sense in which the writer used it.

These papers, though in the handwriting of Charles II., were not composed by him They are in the form of an argument, addressed by one person to another For this he had no occasion, and he had no reason to write them in French On the same ground, they cannot have been written by Bristol or Aubigny, to whom Burnet is inclined to attribute them Bristol did not converse with the king in French. Aubigny, it is true, had spent most of his life in France, but he had no forgotten his native language. Little is known concerning him, but it is on record that his knowledge of English once saved his life He was attacked at night by two English bloodhounds, who were kept in the garden of the Jacobins, and he pacified them by speaking to them in English 1 Tallemant, who tells the tale, adds, that a thief who, being a Frenchman, had no means of making himself intelligible to the foreign dogs, was seized by them in getting over the wall, and soon despatched

An ecclesiastic who conferred with Charles concerning his conversion after he had ascended the throne, and who knew French better than English, must have been the author of these compositions. This would bring the evidence to bear on the French priests about the queen-

<sup>1</sup> Historiettes de Tallemant des Reaux, vii. 293

mother or the Duke of York, such as Mansuète or La Colombière. But the tone of these writings is not that which would be adopted by a foreign priest addressing the king. They are written with confidence, frankness, and even familiarity, and they must have been written by one who, though he could not write in English, might consider himself an Englishman. England is more than once spoken of as "nostre Angleterre". There is reason, therefore, to suspect that we have in these letters a record of the religious earnestness and filial piety of the Stuart who preferred a cloister to the steps of his father's throne

Two years after the day when we lose sight of James Stuart, the question of the reconciliation of Charles II with the Catholic Church had become a part of European politics, and an element in confederations and treaties. Lewis XIV proposed that D'Estrées, then Bishop of Laon, and afterwards cardinal, the most successful negotiator in his kingdom, should be employed to bring the matter before the Holy Sec. Charles received the proposal coldly. He told the French ambassador that he had already made choice of an English priest to treat with the Pope for his conversion, and that instructions were being prepared for him 1 Arlington undertook to hasten his departure, but he was then at St. Omers, and the illness of Clement IX made the king anxious to wait, as he did not wish, he said, to confide his secret to a dying man It is most probable that the English priest at St. Omers, whom Charles had already arranged to send to Rome, was the same through whom he had previously opened the business On his return from Rome at the end of the year 1668, Prince James Stuart found that the king had resolved to discuss his design with the ministers, and that the great interests involved, and the choice of the mode, and the time of declaring himself, would necessarily postpone the event. The negotiation with France for the dissolution of the Triple Alliance, on which it depended, required time, both on account of the secrecy which had to be preserved, and of

<sup>1</sup> Mignet, Négociations relatives à la Succession d'Espagne, iii 232

the vast preparations which were made for the war, which was to be the signal for the change. James must have perceived that his time had not arrived, and he was doubtless anxious to finish his novitiate and to receive ordination. It is natural to conclude that he would retire to some house of the Society where he could satisfy this desire, and still be at hand whenever his father's plans were ripe, and he should be summoned to be the instrument for their accomplishment. The college of St. Omers, or the neighbouring English novitiate at Watten, would be the fittest and likeliest place for him to inhabit.

We have no other probable record of his life. Once more, in the midst of the excitement of the Popish Plot, the mysterious figure of a foreign priest crosses the life of Charles A gentleman told Welwood that he was employed to bring over privately a Romish priest, then beyond sea, by whose means the king had some secret matters to manage The king and the priest were a considerable time together alone in the closet. At last the priest came out, with all the marks of fright and astonishment in his face Charles had been seized with a fit, and the priest would have called for help, but the king, who feared that their interview should become known, had strength and resolution to hold him till he had recovered his speech 1 Was this priest, with whom Charles was in correspondence, whom he caused to be fetched secretly from foreign parts, and the discovery of whose presence he so passionately dreaded, his own son?

Among the letters of Oliva there is one that bears no date, addressed to a king who is not named, respecting a certain Jesuit, whose name is also concealed. This father, it appears, had received from the king an important office, which he used for the purpose of interfering in affairs of State, and had not only made enemies by his imprudence, but had injured the interests of the king, and had alienated, by the acrimony and disrespect of his language, persons who belonged to the royal party. He was accused

of bearing himself more like a prince than a religious, and his superiors feared that when the king, who was the protector of the Society, should be no more, they would incur great dangers through the animosity he had pro-The General, therefore, asked leave to summon the father to Rome, promising that he should be treated with kindness. Of the seven kings then living in Europe, two, those of Sweden and Denmark, could not have been in friendly communication with the Jesuits, and neither of them in any way deserved to be called their protector In France, in Spain, and in Portugal, it is difficult to understand what could be meant by the royal party, or by the fear of great calamities on the death of the king Poland and England alone remain. Now there are in the collection other letters of Oliva to the King of Poland, and no secret is made about his name. The position of this father must have been quite peculiar. It is clear that he was not the king's confessor, and that he was not, like Father Petre, officially employed in political affairs, yet he had received from the king such a position that he could not be recalled like an ordinary Jesuit, and that the General was obliged to use elaborate precautions in order to obtain the king's consent, and to make the measure appear in his eyes as gentle as possible. This suggests a suspicion of some mystery The general of the Jesuits writes to a sovereign, whose name he does not venture to publish, for permission to summon to Rome a father of the Society, who, though neither the confessor of the king nor a member of the Council, possesses considerable influence, and enjoys so much of the royal favour that, although his imprudence has injured the court, a pledge must be given in removing him that he will be treated If we imagine the Jesuit James Stuart established in England exercising some influence over his father and the men of his confidence, and led astray, partly by zeal, partly by the presumption engendered by his royal descent, to commit some acts of imprudence, such as those which were so soon after so greatly exaggerated by popular rumour, and so cruelly punished by the popular fanaticism,

It would exactly answer all the conditions of the case. These letters of Oliva were prepared for publication by himself Everything that is omitted is therefore designedly omitted, and the same caution which obliged him to conceal the name of the sovereign whom he addressed would have prohibited any more distinct allusion by which the position of the offending Jesuit might be betrayed

These grounds, however, are far from sufficient to justify us in believing that James Stuart, who began life with so much discretion and reserve, afterwards became an ambitious and intriguing politician, and put in jeopardy his father's crown and the fortunes of his Order Order occupied in Poland a position in which great influence at court was combined with great unpopularity with his party among the nobles At the election of 1668, a cry was raised that the new king should be forbidden to have a Jesuit for his confessor, and, at the same time, the grand Hetman, Sobieski, was taking a Jesuit confessor with him to bless his arms in the Turkish war in the year 1673, Oliva sent his congratulations on his election. He tells him that the Jesuits whom he may place over his conscience or his chapel must be faithful to their rule, and abstain from politics, and in speaking of the new king's affection for the society he uses a word, svisceratamente, that occurs in the same connection in the letter which is not directed. It may therefore refer to a father to whom Sobieski had committed some important functions in his court, and the name of the patron may be omitted lest the name of the offender should be surmised. Long after the probable date of this letter, John sent a bitter complaint to Oliva of the faults of the brethren in Poland "I feel bound," he said, "both by interest and affection, to advise you to seek a remedy for the growing evils, and to remove from the Jesuits in Poland the too visible contagion of ambition and cupidity"1 Between his predecessor and Oliva there had also been a friendly correspondence Michael Korybuth was afflicted with a fabulous voracity The stories told of the classical

<sup>1</sup> Salvandy, Histoire de Jean Sobieski, n 97

gluttons of antiquity are eclipsed by his horrible achievements Once, it is related, the burghers of Dantzig presented him with a thousand China apples, and before night he had devoured them all Oliva, like a prudent general, attacked this monarch at his weak point. quantity of the finest chocolate has been sent to him from Mexico, and he straightway despatches one of his fathers to lay it at the feet of the King of Poland, "impelled," he says, "by a reverent solicitude to minister as well as I can to the weakness of your stomach, which has already been fortified by drugs of this kind" On the whole, then, it is most probable that James Stuart is not the subject of the General's letter to the nameless correspondent, and comparing his letters written to the two kings it is more likely to have been sent to John Sobieski than to his respected but inglorious predecessor

The manuscripts I have quoted, most of which I owe to the industry and kindness of Father Boero, librarian of the Gesù,1 by whose care they have been brought to light

<sup>1</sup> I subjoin a list of the documents for which I am indebted to Father Boero They are manifestly too long to be published in extenso in a Review

x Lettre de la Reine Mère (Henrietta) au Card Orsini October 30, 1662

- 2 Lettre de la Reine Catherine au même De Londres October 25, 1662
- 3 Voto in favore della promozione al Cardinalato del Signor d'Aubigny 4 Favori e benefizi fatti ai cattolici d Inghilterra dal Re presente (in sixteen articles)
- 5 Bellings to Fither Thomas Courterny October 22 1662 6 Lettern dal Card Orsini al Card Sforza Pallavicino 24 gennaio
- Oblatio ex parte Caroli II Magnae Britanniae Regis pro optatissima trium suorum regnorum Angline, Scotine et Hiberniae cum Sede Apostolica Romana reunione.
  - 8 Certificate of Charles II in favour of Sieur James Stuart, his natural son
  - 9 Another certificate of the king to the same.
- 10 Certificate of Christine Queen of Sweden concerning the same, on his conversion at Hamburg
- 11 Letter of Charles II to the General of the Jesuits, Oliva, at Rome. Whitehall, August 3, 1668
- 12 Letter of Charles II to his son James Stuart at Rome. Whitchall, August 4, 1668
- 13 Letter of Charles II to Oliva, General of the Jesuits, at Rome. White hall, August 29 1668
  - 14 Letter of the same to the same, without date.
  - 15 Reply of Oliva to the Lings three letters Livorno, October 14, 1668
- 16 Certificate of Charles that he will pay the expenses of his son's voyage. November 18, 1668
  - 17 Letter of Charles to Ohva. Whitehall, November 18, 1668
  - 18 and 19 Two Memoirs written by Charles II on the Catholic religion

and transcribed, reveal the influence actually exerted by religious sentiment in those transactions between Charles and Lewis XIV, which, as the occasion of the Popish Plot, and the commencement of that policy which terminated in the Revolution of 1688, occupy so important a place in our history. The intention of declaring himself a Catholic manifested by the king in the early part of his reign, and checked by the attitude of Parliament, was revived, as we have seen, in the summer of 1668 In the month of April Charles first expressed to the ambassador of Lewis the wish to form an alliance with his master 1. As he had lately joined a league of Protestant Powers, whose purpose it was to arrest the ambition of that monarch, he desired that the understanding between them might be private He said that he wished to treat as between gentlemen, and that he preferred the word of Lewis to all the parchments in the world At first Lewis received these advances with reserve, and Charles and his brother were unwilling to trust to the ambassador the secret object of But early in 1669 Lord Arundel was their overtures sent to Paris, accompanied by Sir Richard Bellings,2 who was instructed to draw up the articles of the treaty by which England was to join France against the Dutch, while Lewis undertook to support Charles with money, that he might be able to declare himself a Catholic without having a parliament to fear. Of the two leading ministers of the Cabal, the Catholic Arlington was friendly to the Dutch alliance, whilst Buckingham, a Protestant, was a partisan of France Though the latter encouraged the notion of a French alliance, he linew nothing of his master's design relative to the Catholic It was confided to Arlington, and at length overcame his political scruples, but he was never reconciled to the war with Holland, and he endeavoured to postpone hostilities until the change of religion had been declared The French envoy suspected that he wished to delude

<sup>1</sup> See the Despatches of the French ambisendors Cultert and I was a Mignet, in 10 sq., and is 42 sq. Clarke 1 442

Lewis into supplying the means by which the king's conversion could be published without danger, and when that was done, to avoid quarrelling with the Dutch. The confidential envoys of Charles at Paris evidently entertained the same idea, and the scheme was near succeeding

Charles opened his mind to the French ambassador, the brother of the great Colbert, on the 12th of November 1660 It was, he said, the most important secret of his life, and he would probably be considered mad, and all those with him who were undertaking to restore Catholicism in England Nevertheless he hoped, with the help of Lewis, to succeed in that great work The sects hated the Established Church more than the Catholic religion, and would make no resistance if they obtained the freedom they desired. The great fortresses were in the hands of trusty men, and the Irish army might be relied upon, for Lord Orrery, who was at heart a Catholic, would take the lead if Ormond should refuse On this point Charles was mistaken, for Orrery was sent for, and had an interview with the king, in which he was informed of the design, and refused to take part in it2 "He ended by saying that he was urged by his conscience, and by the confusion he saw increasing daily in his kingdom, to the diminution of his authority, to declare himself a Catholic, and that, besides the spiritual advantage he would derive from it, he considered also that it was the only way of restoring the monarchy" Lewis applauded the intention, but advised that it should be postponed until after the war, for he feared that he might be deprived of the assistance of England by the internal dissensions which that measure would be sure to provoke These two influences contended for a while in the mind of Charles, but he had not strength of purpose to resist the pressure that came from France

2 Mornice Life of Oirers, p 86

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Il m a paru que l'affure de religion étant ce qui tient le premier lieu dans l'esprit de M le Comte d'Arondel il n') a que le retardement de la déclaration qui le touche, et comme il croit que la guerre contre les Hollandais produiroit cet effet-là, c'est la seule ruson pour laquelle il s'y oppose (Turenne to Ruvigny Mémoires de Turenne 1, 669)

Arlington said of him, that he saw at once what was to be done in every affair that was submitted to him, and supported his opinion with good reasons, but that he did not take the trouble to go into the objections that were made, and, if he was spoken to again, often allowed himself to be carried away by the opinions of others1 This description was now verified. Charles shrank from the incongruity of the life he was then leading with a conversion which would be an arduous political undertaking "The danger," says Colbert, "greatly alarms all who are in the secret, yet it has no effect on the mind of the king But his mode of life-un peu de libertinage, si j'ose parler ainsi-makes him put it off as long as he can" The famous journey of Henrietta, Duchess of Orleans, to Dover, in May 1670, settled the question in favour of France. The treaty which was then signed by the four Catholic counsellors of Charles was first published from the English copy by Lingard. Mignet gives it from the French archives, and the texts do not entirely correspond.

Henrietta was in the secret of the whole scheme from the beginning, and we learn through her that Charles was at that time in direct communication with the Holy Sec. There was a French prelate whom she patronised, Daniel de Cosnac, Bishop of Valence and afterwards Archbishop of Aix, a clever, witty, and extravagant man, highly ambitious of a cardinal's hat. A year before the treaty was signed she wrote to him that, among a variety of affairs which were being treated between France and England, this country would soon have one with Rome of such consequence, and on account of which the Pope would be so happy to oblige the king her brother, that she was persuaded he would refuse him nothing She had already taken her measures with him to make him ask for a cardinal's hat, without saying for whom; Charles had promised, and it was to be for Cosnac<sup>2</sup> After her return from Dover, but a few days before that

tracic death (cene which Bo suct ha made memorable by the most studing of his orations, she informed the Bishop that the had succeeded in her mission, and that her brother had given her his word once more. Cosnac was not satisfied with these assurances. The influence of a Prote that king appeared to him a poor recurity for his elevation. But the Duche's told him that she not only had her brother's promise, but that the Pope had already granted his request, and she informed him, he says, of all that had passed between Pope Clement IX and the Kin, of France and England 1. This statement is not however, upported by any of her letters that have been preserved, and we mult bear in mind the judgment of his brographer, the Abbi de Chory, on the character of Counce. "He is a man of surprising vivacity, and of such eloquence that it is impossible to doubt his words, although their number is so great that they cannot all be true." The agent on the occasion appears to have been the Lady Diana Digby, daughter of the Earl of Bristol, who had been so eager, six years before, to bring home to Clarendon a charge of corresponding with the Pope and cardinals. In June 1669, the arrived at Rome, in the coach of Cardinal Rospigliosi, the Pope's nephew, and lived for a time in one of his palaces so privately that her own cousin, James Russell, was not allowed to see her But she was in correspondence with the English priests, and it was believed in Rome that the nomination of Archbishop Plunket to the See of Armagh, which was much opposed by Spain, had been obtained by her influence 2

Before anything could be done, the design was again betrayed, and once more, and for the last time, Parliament intervened. It was generally believed that the object of the war against Holland was the establishment of the

August 10, 1669

<sup>1</sup> Rtd u 81 "Reihrdabant eum voluptates blandissunae dominae, et quaedam mers et pene commeulosa natura quam tamen plura animi ingeniique bona comitabattur Huic quidem stimulos admovisse suspicor Clementem per occultos homines '(1 ibroni 1 itae Italiereri ii 107)

2 State Paper Office, Italian States, 'Letters of Kent, June 29, July 6,

Catholic faith It is said that Arlington divulged the secret, partly in order to ruin Clifford, and partly to dissolve the French alliance. Even Protestant statesmen, talking in private with the king, spoke of it as a thing about which there was neither doubt nor concealment Temple, before returning to the Hague in 1674, had an interview with Charles. He went, as he expresses it, to the bottom of the matter, showing how difficult, if not impossible, it was to set up here the same religion and government that was in France, and assuring him that even those who were indifferent to religion would not consent to have it changed by force of an army 1 Charles relinquished his design, and recalled the warning which his father on the scaffold had intended to impress on his son, as well as on Juxon, by the famous word "Remember," -that if ever he came to the crown, he should so govern his subjects as not to force them to extremities. He declared that he was too old to go abroad again, and that he left that to his brother, if he had a mind to try it. For the ten remaining years of that reign, James took the lead in all the schemes for the restoration of the Church. It was of him that Coleman wrote in his fatal letter to La Chaise: "If he could gain any considerable new addition of power, all would come over to him as the only centre of our government, and nobody could contend with him further Then would Catholicks be at ease, and His Most Christian Majesty's interest secured with us in England, beyond all apprehensions whatsoever" But the most Christian king, as he had prevented the declaration of religion before the Dutch war, endeavoured afterwards to have the design abandoned He found that the English Parliament was not averse to the French alliance provided it was not used for the promotion of Popery and arbitrary power in England, and Lewis was quite willing that religion should be sacrificed in order to save his popularity with the English Protestants. Finding that the supposed connection of the king's conversion with the French alliance had brought suspicion on his ambassador

<sup>1</sup> Courtenay, Memoirs of Sir W Temple, 1 425

Le replaced him by Ruviene, tho was a Calvinit. The new lay waich were in use a run to the Catholics, for the purpose of civerting surpcion, received his approbation, and he acted mon the hint given him by Bri tol, that the House of Common would be favourable to the French all ance if the belief in the existence of the secret treats for the restoration of Catholics in could be removed. That unhappe scheme defiled all that it touched, and neither those who hared in it nor those who condemned it came out of the transction with honour

If in the reventeenth century, which achieved to much for civil liberty, freedom of con cience was not established in England, the fault lay with the oppier ed communities as much as with the crown or the dominant church Catholics and the Prote tant sect, were able intolerant He latter deserved what they received, and justified by their theories and their acts the penal law- by which they suffered. They were ready to do to others what was done to them. No religious party in the country admitted the right of minorities to the protection of the law Religious liberty grew up in England as the fruit of civil liberty, of which it is a part, and in conjunction with which it has yet much way to make. But if the Protestants were not sincere in arguing for toleration, the Catholics were not honest in the means by which they endeavoured to obtain it. They sought as a concession that which was a right, they wished for privilege instead of liberty, and they defended an exception and not a principle. The Catholics of that age had degenerated from the old mediaval spirit, which stood by the right and respected the law, but did not stoop to power. In the great constitutional struggle they disregarded the impending absolutism and the outraged laws, and gave to the royal cause, when it was most in fault, a support which, by prolonging the contest, drove the parliamentary opposition into lawless extremes, and postponed for half a century the establishment of freedom. After the Restoration they again trusted their interests to the favour of the court, and were willing to purchase advantages for their religion

## 122 ESSAYS ON MODERN HISTORY

by political guilt, and to gain private ends at the price of a common servitude. That criminal and short-sighted policy brought quick retribution upon them, and explains how the party which saved the constitution in 1688 imposed disabilities on those who, by similar inconsistency, had been the declared adversaries of that freedom which their church had helped to institute.

## IV

## THE CIVIL WAR IN AMERICA

## ITS PLACE IN HISTORY 1

FOR many years before the outbreak of the Civil War the United States had become an object of anxiety or of envy to many, of wonder and curiosity to all mankind Their prosperity, attached by a thousand beneficent links to the prosperity of England, seemed even more splendid and more secure. The rapid growth of their population united the marvels of Lancashire with the marvels of Australia, it created vast cities, and peopled an enormous territory with their overflow The accumulation of riches was as great as in Europe, whilst they were diffused so much more generally that poverty as well as idleness was all but unknown All the sources of agricultural and of mineral wealth enjoyed by the old world were tenfold multiplied in the new, and were exempt from the drain of those political causes which restrain commercial enterprise, and expend on objects that yield no adequate return the resources of the people. The money thus rescued from unproductive waste was reserved to extend and equalise education

In a society organised like our own it is desirable that education should be fitted, in nature and degree, to the special character and occupation of the several ranks in life to which each man belongs, but in a country where there is no distinction of class, a child is not born to the

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  A lecture delivered at the Literary and Scientific Institution, Bridgmorth, on 18th January 1866

124

station of its parents, but with an indefinite claim to all the prizes that can be won by thought and labour It is in conformity with the theory of equality to check the causes which disturb it, and to give as near as possible to every youth an equal start in life. Every American is a self-made man, and they are unwilling that any should be deprived in childhood of the means of competition Therefore in several States a system of instruction was introduced which enabled a pupil to advance from the first rudiments of knowledge to the end of a university course, and to prepare himself for the learned professions, without payment of a single shilling Taxation was scarcely felt, there was no standing army, a navy that weighed lightly in the Budget, an inconsiderable public debt. No neighbouring Power threatened the safety of the country No internal disaffection disturbed the peaceful reign of law. And this material progress, though checked by serious drawbacks, was not obtained at the expense of the higher elements of civilisation

In literature at least I entirely dissent from the opinion which denies to Americans an honourable place beside European nations. It may be said that they have had no first-rate poet or painter, and that they have done little for scholarship and antiquities But it appears to me impossible with justice to deny that they are our equals in political eloquence and philosophy, or that they surpass us as writers on the history of the continent and on the art of government. In practical politics they had solved with astonishing and unexampled success two problems which had hitherto baffled the capacity of the most enlightened nations, they had contrived a system of federal government which prodigiously increased the national power and yet respected local liberties and authorities, and they had founded it on the principle of equality, without surrendering the securities for property and freedom I call their success unexampled, not becau e it is a forcible term, but because it exactly indicate the peculiar character of the history of the American Constitu tion, and its special significance for ourselves.

And this reminds me of the wise and salutary regulation which obliges me here to abstain from topies which may supply the occasion of discord. In order to estimate in its nature and its causes the subject which is before us, we must be guided by the light of that political science which resides in screne regions, remote from the conflicts of party opinion, a science whose principles are clear, definite, and certain, and not more difficult to apply than the principles of the moral code. It is in this spirit I wish to speak of the exemplary value of events in America. Example is of the first importance in politics, because political calculations are so complex that we cannot trust theory, if we cannot support it by experience

Now the experience of the Americans is necessarily an impressive lesson to England Our institutions as well as our national character spring from the same roots, and the fortunes they encounter must serve as a beacon to guide us, or as a warning to repel. Now the world had never vet beheld a Democracy combining a very advanced civilisation with a very extensive territory Democracies have coexisted with the highest social and intellectual refinement, but then they had not to overcome the diffieulty of space. Those which extended their dominion perished between the cognate perils of anarchy and despotism Above all, a Democracy has never even attempted to adopt the system of representative government which is the supreme and characteristic invention of the British monarchy Therefore it had become almost an axiom in political science that that which ancient Rome and modern France attempted and failed to accomplish is really impossible, that Democracy, to be consistent with liberty, must subsist in solution and combination with other qualifying principles, and that complete equality is the rum of liberty, and very prejudicial to the most valued interests of society, civilisation, and religion until a generation ago, the verdict of history, whose decision the Americans have undertaken to reverse. succeeded in their momentous pleading—if they proved by

experiment that a vast community, rich, intellectual, and civilised as those of Europe, guided by the accumulated experience of the older hemisphere and without its special difficulties, prejudices, and dangers, could be governed by the principles of pure Democracy, without any sacrifice of those more exalted objects which political forms exist to serve, they would inevitably exercise an overwhelming pressure on the ancient society of Europe. If they could demonstrate that to be possible which was deemed a chimera, because it is contradicted by the experience of ages,-if they showed us that the objects aimed at by our political and social system may be enjoyed still more amply without the penalty which Europe has always paid, in the shape of so much iniquity and so much suffering, by irresponsible authorities, sanguinary wars, and wanton injury, in the oppression of class by class, of race by race, and of religion by religion, -in the elaborate, deliberate, intentional degradation of the weaker party, for reasons of state, or religious zeal, or by the pride of blood, or by the blind and resistless action of superior wealth and forceif they could exhibit to the world the spectacle of a country as extensive as Russia, as secure from aggression as France, as intellectual as Germany, as free and as obedient to law as Great Britain, cursed with no restrictions on personal freedom, without fleets or armies, without pauperism or national debt,-if, in short, America could give the light without the shade of political life, then I believe that the venerable institutions of European polity would go down before that invincible argument.

Those institutions have grown old, and their old age is vigorous, because we are confident that they will stand the tests of expediency and right, because they are either necessary or conducive to the general advantage. But if America should destroy the validity of that defence, then the only inducement by which the masses of mankind will be made to tolerate the evils and injustice incident to our system of society, will be the short-lived argument of force. There were many who believed that the mighty problem was solved, and that America had accomplished the work,

The time has come for all men to perceive that these independ were premature. The years have wrought so vast a change, that the picture which I have faithfully given of the United States as I found them under President Pierce could not be realised in the awful realities of the present ouv Their debt now imposes a heavier charge than that which England contracted in the great war, and it has been incurred, not to repel invasion or defeat a national enemy, but to slaughter fellow-citizens, and carry fire and sword over the cornfields and the homesteads of a country which is their own. The armies they have raised and lost were larger in proportion to the population than those of the Emperor Napoleon or the Emperor Alexander Their prisons have been peopled with dis-Part of their territory has become affected citizens desolate, because those who should have tilled the soil were taken by the war, part because the armies laid it waste The Union which was founded and sustained by the attachment of the people has been restored by force, and the Constitution which was the idol of Americans is obeyed by millions of liumbled and indignant men, whose families it has decimated, whose property it has ravaged, and whose prospects it has ruined for ever

128

Doubtless, in this crisis of its political existence the nation has displayed many noble qualities. patriotism, fortitude in adversity, respect for authority, and in some measure the difficult arts of subordination and discipline. The civil power has never been threatened or weakened by the resistance of a popular commander, differences of social station have not interfered with the organisation of the army, military rank has not disturbed the level surface of ordinary life, the officer and the soldier have been merged in the peaceful citizen. In the number of the leaders there have arisen men of high ability, and at least one who has built himself a name among names that will never die. Nevertheless the judgment which overtook the American Union was not undeserved. Convulsions such as this spring from causes of commensurate importance, and cannot be the work of a short time or of a few men. Americans themselves would acknowledge this, but their explanations contradict each other. Some would say that the fault was with slavery, others would accuse the tyranny of the North On the solution of the question depends the place which is to be assigned to the American Civil War in the history of the world

It is remarkable that the Constitution was little trusted or admired by the wisest and most illustrious of its founders, and that its severest and most desponding critics were those whom Americans revere as the fathers of their country Washington explained, in a conversation which Jefferson has recorded, his fears for the permanence of the new form of government. He stated that at one period of the deliberations the Constitution promised to satisfy his ideas, but that the great principles for which he contended had been changed in the last days of the convention He meant the law which required a majority of two-thirds in all those measures which affected differently the interests of the several States This provision, which would have given protection to minorities, was repealed in consequence of a coalition between the Southern and Eastern States, for the benefit of the slave-owners in the South, and of

the commercial and manufacturing interests in the East. He said "that he did not like throwing too much into democratic hands, that if they would not do what the Constitution called on them to do, the government would be at an end, and must then assume another form" stopped here, says Jefferson, "and I kept silence to see if he would say anything more in the same line, or add any qualifying expression to soften what he had said, but he did neither" There was one superior to Washington among the statesmen who surrounded him-Alexander Hamilton, and his prognostications were still more gloomy He said "It is my own opinion that the present government is not that which will answer the ends of society, by giving stability and protection to its rights, and it will probably be found expedient to go into the British form" "A dissolution of the Union after all seems to be the most likely result." Later in his life he called the Constitution a frail and worthless fabric, and a temporary bond. The first President after Washington, John Adams, said "he saw no possibility of continuing the Union of the States, that their dissolution must necessarily take place." On another occasion he pointed out the quarter from which he anticipated danger "No Republic," he said, "could ever last that had not a Senate deeply and strongly rooted, strong enough to bear up against all popular storms and passions. That as to trusting to a popular assembly for the preservation of our liberties, it was the merest chimera imaginable, they never had any rule of decision but their own will"

If I were to continue my extracts I could still more clearly show that the authors of the most celebrated Democracy in history esteemed that the most formidable dangers which menaced the stability of their work were the very principles of Democracy itself. With them the establishment of a Republican government was not the result of theory, but of necessity. They possessed no aristocracy, and no king, but otherwise they inherited our English laws, and strove to adapt them as faithfully as possible to a society constituted so differently from that

in which they had their origin. The earliest interpreters of the Constitution and the laws strove to be guided by English precedents, and to approach as nearly as they could to the English model. Hamilton is the chief expounder of these ideas "It has been observed that a pure Democracy, if it were practicable, would be the most perfect government. Experience has proved that no position in politics is more false than this. The ancient Democracies, in which the people themselves deliberated, never possessed one feature of good government. Their very character was tyranny, their figure deformity If we incline too much to Democracy, we shall soon shoot into a monarchy. Those who mean to form a solid Republican government ought to proceed to the confines of another government. There are certain conjunctures when it may be necessary and proper to disregard the opinions which the majority of the people have formed There ought to be a principle in government capable of resisting the popular current. The principle chiefly intended to be established is this, that there must be a permanent will."

These are not individual opinions. They were shared by a powerful party, that watched the cradle and guided the first steps of the American Republic, and they display the moderate, wise, and English spirit which presided over its early councils. In this combination there was an inconsistency, which time necessarily developed The laws of England do not flow from a single principle, they are the result of many influences, they acknowledge authority and tradition, balance one set of interests by another, and aim at serving very various rights, and are determined by many considerations of expediency Of all conceivable things that which is most alien to their spirit is to sacrifice any distinct interest or particular right to the requirements of some vague abstraction But it was difficult for Norman kings and feudal parliaments to legislate in a manner that would satisfy the wants of American society. Modifications were needed, and they were naturally directed by that new element which called for them, a purely Democratic principle.

The most eminent advocate of this principle, whom Tocqueville has called the most powerful apostle that Democracy ever had, was Jefferson One or two sentences taken from his writings will furnish the most forcible illustration of the contrasts which then existed together, and whose struggles for supremacy were to occupy the history and decide the fate of the American Constitution Jefferson says that "his object was to restrain the administration to Republican forms and principles, and not permit the Constitution to be construed into a monarchy, and to be warped, in practice, into all the principles and pollutions of their favourite English model Every people may establish what form of government they please, the will of the nation being the only thing essential I subscribe to the principle that the will of the majority, honestly expressed, should give law. suppose it to be self-evident that the earth belongs to the living, that the dead have neither powers nor rights in it No society can make a perpetual Constitution or even a perpetual law. The earth belongs always to the living generation Every Constitution then, and every law, naturally expires at the end of thirty-four years" this revolutionary doctrine and the ideas derived from England, there was an irreconcilable antagonism. It was intolerable to Jefferson that the engagements of one generation should bind another, that any rights should be deemed too sacred to be confiscated by the vote of a majority. He desired law to be in a constant state of fluctuation, and every change to realise more and more the momentary wishes of the people. No man, therefore, and no interest would enjoy any security against popular feeling, and men would be compelled to struggle permanently not only for influence, but for safety

Yet Jefferson himself was one of those who despaired of the Union When the great controversy of the extension of slavery first arose, he wrote to a private friend "I consider it at once the knell of the Union It is hushed indeed for the moment, but this is a reprieve only, not a final sentence. A geographical line coinciding

with a marked principle, moral and political, and conceived and held up by the angry passions of men, will never be obliterated, and every new irritation will make it deeper and deeper"

But it seems clear to me that if slavery had never existed, a community divided by principles so opposite as those of Jefferson and Hamilton will be distracted by their antagonism until one of them shall prevail; and that a theory that identifies liberty with a single right, the right of doing all that you have the actual power to do, and a theory which secures liberty by certain unalterable rights, and founds it on truths which men did not invent and may not abjure, cannot both be formative principles in the same Constitution Absolute power and restrictions on its exercise cannot exist together. It is but a new form of the old contest between the spirit of true freedom and despotism in its most dexterous disguise. One scene I often look back upon, for it appears to me to contain the key of that which followed I was sometimes present at the debates of a Convention which met at Boston after an interval of thirty years to revise the Constitution of the most enlightened State of the Union There were treated some of the first principles of politics, and one of the questions was as to the appointment of the judiciary. It is quite an elementary truth that a judge should be independent, and saved from the danger of being influenced by the favour of either the court or the people. But an eminent and highly cultivated orator, now one of the first of American statesmen, now perhaps quite the first in European same, spoke in favour of short, I believe annual, terms of office, and for the election of the judges by the people not dispute that the laws would be more honourably and faithfully administered by independent judges maintained that consistency is better than justice, that the people, as the source of all authority, ought to control those to whom they delegate it, and that no argument from expediency ought to be allowed to disturb the application of the Democratic principle. I could not help remembering that there is also a principle of ab obvimonarchy in the world, which makes the Crown the only source of authority, and makes the judiciary agents of the It is the boast of modern civilisation to have undone this system and to have substituted for it that which experience proves to be most favourable to justice. the absolutists of Democracy and monarchy rank their principles of government at a higher value than the purposes of society and civilisation, and create an idol to which they are ready to sacrifice the safeguards of property, the protection of virtue, and the sanctity of private life All governments in which one principle dominates, degenerate by its exaggeration The unity of monarchy gravitates towards the despotism of a single will Aristocracy which is governed by a minority, inclines to restrict that minority into an oligarchy In pure Democracies the same course is followed, and the dominion of majority asserts itself more and more extensively and irresistibly. We understand liberty to consist in exemption from control America it has come to mean the right to exercise control

In order to describe the encroachment of this illiberal and tyrannical principle, it would be necessary to pass in review the entire history of the last seventy years I can only illustrate my meaning by the language which eminent Americans themselves have used. The President Madison wrote: "When a majority is included in a faction, the form of popular government enables it to sacrifice to its ruling passion or interest both the public good and the rights of other citizens. If a majority be united by common interests, the rights of the minority will be insecure." Justice Story says that the people must be reminded of the fundamental truth in a republican government, "that the minority have indisputable and malienable rights, that the majority are not everything and the minority nothing, that the people may not do what they please." Channing says. "The doctrine that the majority ought to govern passes with the multitude as an intuition, and they have never thought how far it is to be modified in practice, and how far the application of it ought to be controlled by other principles" Finally, let me quote the words of a very recent publication, which is from the pen of the chief of Sherman's staff, of a man therefore who cannot be supposed insane "How can there be justification for revolution under a government where there is universal suffrage? For my part, I would rather say, how is it possible that thoughtful men should so long have tolerated a system which is at the same time so oppressive and so extremely stupid?"

We must bear in mind the one decisive contrast between Europe and America, that there society is cut adrift from the traditions and influence of an ancient The nations of Western Europe are so civilisation. bound to each other by their origin, by their close intercourse, and the similarity of social interests and character, that a comprehensive public opinion extends over their boundaries, and sustains in each the habits, ideas, and constitutions which are common to all The protest of European opinion would react powerfully in favour of those habits and ideas against any European State that should reject them But Americans enjoy no such protecting influences, and nothing is safe that is not supported by popular favour The ideas of past generations and of civilised contemporaries are not permitted to share or to limit the absolute authority of the present moment. The revolutionary principle which Jefferson introduced cuts them off from one as completely as the Atlantic separates them from the other The voice of European civilisation, and the voice of the past alike, History is filled with come to them from another world records of resistance provoked by the abuse of power But whereas in the old world the people produce the remedy, in America they produce the cause of the disease. There is no appeal from the people to itself having been taught for years that its will ought to be law, it cannot learn the lesson of self-denial and renounce the exercise of the power it has enjoyed Therefore it has been laid down by political writers as a universal rule that a degenerate republicanism terminates in the

total loss of freedom. Many have prophesied that this would be the end of the American Republic

But a confederacy possesses one resource against such a catastrophe which is denied to a single State isation finds a natural barrier in the several State govern-"This balance," says Hamilton, "between the national and State governments is of the utmost importance, it forms a double security to the people. one encroaches on their rights they will find a powerful protection in the other." That is indeed the peculiar merit of American institutions, it alters but does not settle the question It gives to liberty in its struggle against centralisation a valuable auxiliary in the feudal system, but it does not decide the issue. That aggressive, absolute spirit which is the bane of pure Democracies prevailed much sooner and more completely in some States than in others, and the States which it animated strove to give it the supreme direction of the central government of the Union They did not choose that other portions of the nation should be exempt from a kind of power to which they themselves submitted as soon as the different States made themselves the champions of opposite principles of government, the Union was in jeopardy

Now there was one broad line of demarcation between the States, which divided them both in political principles and financial interests, and coincided moreover with the difference of climate and of modes of cultivation, as well as with certain early diversities of race. I mean, of course, that which was the immediate cause of the late revolution, that which, you will say, I have kept out of sight too long, the division between the slave States and the North

If my present theme were the institution of slavery in general, I should endeavour to show that it has been a mighty instrument not for evil only, but for good in the providential order of the world Almighty God, in His mysterious ways, has poured down blessings even through servitude itself, by awakening the spirit of sacrifice on

the one hand, and the spirit of charity on the other But negro slavery in America had features of its own too strongly marked to admit of general observations Arguments have been advanced in mitigation, stories have been published to prove the greatness of the actual suffering The judgment which I shall ask you to accept, for our present purpose, shall be founded neither on the existence of great abuses nor of kind and Christian masters, but on the provisions of the servile law most suggestive enactment I could adduce to illustrate the idea of personality in the negro, is, that if the life of a slave was taken by the law, his owner received his value in money from the State treasury No slave could make a valid contract, therefore he could not contract a legal marriage, even with the consent of the master All the safeguards of virtue, all penalties on the breach of the marriage law, or of those laws which are anterior to all human legislation, were held inapplicable to the negro family I am sure that the voice of nature and of humanity constantly mitigated the law of the land, but it is certain that the Southern jurisprudence denied that the negro is bound by the same moral code as ourselves, and that this belief was shared by the leaders of secession

In a great speech at the beginning of the movement, Mr. Stephens, the Vice-President of the Confederacy, spoke these words "The corner stone of our new government rests upon the great truth that the negro is not equal to the white man, that slavery, subordination to the superior race, is his natural and normal condition Our new government is the first in the history of the world based upon this great physical, philosophical, and moral truth" Here, then, was a society adopting inequality, not as the natural product of property, descent and merit, but as its very foundation,—a society, therefore, more aristocratically constituted than those of feudal times. The Southern slave-owner was in contradiction to the two principles which animated the Democracy of the Northern States. He denied the absolute essential

equality of all men in civil rights, and he denied the justice of the doctrine that the minority possesses nothing which is exempt from the control of the majority, because he knew that it was incompatible with the domestic institution which was as sacred to him as the rights of property. Therefore the very defect of their social system preserved them from those political errors which were transforming the original characters of the Northern Republics. The decomposition of Democracy was arrested in the South by the indirect influence of slavery.

Thus it came to pass that the South, to protect themselves, sought to restrain the central power, while the North wished to make it superior to all restraint. one party it was a sword, to the other a shield it happened that the long reign of Southern politics at Washington, down to the year 1860, provoked no rupture, because they desired self-government, and not empire, whereas the victory of the North in the election of Mr Lincoln gave at once the signal for dissolving the Union The Constitution failed to provide against the consequences which were to be expected whenever considerable diversities of character, of material interests, and of political spirit should estrange the several States. For this reason certain States accepted it with reluctance, and joined the Union with conditions which betrayed the apprehension that perhaps the bargain might turn out ill Virginia, in the act of ratification, declared "that Powers granted under the Constitution, being derived from the people of the United States, may be resumed by them whensoever the same shall be perverted to their injury or oppression" New York and Rhode Island said the same From time to time these fears revived, and single States meditated revoking the Act of Union At length certain measures for the protection of manufactures in the East aroused a united opposition in the agricultural States, who were to pay for the benefit of the others That was the first threatening of the storm that did not burst for thirty years

Two great men stood forth as the champions of two

great causes, and the contest derived from the eminent ability of the combatants all the interest of a personal struggle. The philosopher of the South, Mr Calhoun, of whom it was said, to describe his influence, that as often as he took a pinch of snuff all South Carolina sneezed, put forward what was called the theory of nullification He maintained that if an interested majority passed a law injurious to the settled interests of any State, that State had a right to interpose a veto He was answered by Daniel Webster, the most eloquent of Americans, who asserted the absolute right of a legislature where all were fairly represented, to make laws for all. Then Calhoun insisted that if a State could not prevent the execution of a law which it deemed unconstitutional and injurious, it had the right to withdraw from the Union which it had conditionally joined.

The North shrunk from provoking this extremity, and made concessions which pacified the people of the South But at the same time Webster laid down, in immortal speeches, that the Union is not a compact between the States, but a fundamental law no longer subject to their choice, and that each State is bound up with the rest by cords that cannot be legally severed Thenceforward the opinion of Webster prevailed among American jurists. The right of redress was taken away from the South, and the Northern Republicans, taking advantage of this constitutional victory, entered upon those violent courses which ended in making the Union intolerable to those who were opposed to them At that time the abolitionists commenced their crusade, which was directed as much against the Union, which they denounced as an "agree ment with hell and a covenant with death," as against slavery itself. It became a settled doctrine among them that the North and the South could not continue together, and they made the public familiar with the idea of dis-"The Union," said Mr Horace Greeley, the editor of *The Tribune*, "is not worth supporting in connection with the South" But the stronger part of the Republicans resolved to make themselves masters of the

central government, for the purpose of coercing the South to submit to their political opinions. The Lieutenant-Governor of Massachusetts confessed that "the object to be accomplished was this, for the free States to take possession of the government"

The spirit in which they meant to exercise it is expressed with the characteristic force and candour of American language by the representative of the same State in Congress "When we shall have elected a President, as we will, who will not be the President of a party, nor of a section, but the tribune of the people, and after we have exterminated a few more dough faces from the North, then if the slave Senate will not give way, we will grind it between the upper and nether millstones of our power" A pamphlet, which was widely circulated and was read in Congress, contains the following sentence "Teach the slaves to burn their masters' buildings, to kill their cattle and hogs, to conceal and destroy farming utensils, to abandon labour in seed time and harvest, and let the crops perish." Mr Chase said, in 1859 "I do not wish to have the slave emancipated because I love him, but because I hate his master" A Senator from Ohio said very truly "There is really no union now between the North and the South, no two nations on earth entertain feelings of more bitter rancour towards each other than these two nations of the Republic."

In this state of public feeling and political division, the candidate of Abolitionists and Republicans was elected President. Four years before, a former President, Mr Fillmore, prophesied the catastrophe that would ensue "We see a political party presenting candidates for the Presidency and the Vice-Presidency, selected for the first time from the Free States alone, with the avowed purpose of electing these candidates by suffrages from one part of the Union only, to rule over the whole United States Can it be possible that those who are engaged in such a measure can have seriously reflected on the consequences which must inevitably follow in case of success? Can they have the madness or the folly to believe that our

Southern brethren would submit to be governed by such a Chief Magistrate?"

The opinion we must form on the revolution that followed ought to be guided by the events which led to it, not by the motives of the leaders In point of fact they were divided, like the Union, by the question of slavery To one party it was the real object of the war; they believed it could not be safe against the assaults of Northern politicians, whatever might be the pledges of the federal government. Another party desired secession in order to establish a new Union on the old principles which the North had disavowed The great issue between them was the arming of the slaves Those who deemed it too dear a price to pay for independence succeeded in preventing it by narrow majorities until the eve of the fall of Richmond. When the Act was passed by which the negroes would have acquired the benefits without the dangers of emancipation, it was too late, and the end was at hand

Slavery was not the cause of secession, but the reason of its failure In almost every nation and every clime the time has come for the extinction of servitude. same problem has sooner or later been forced on many governments, and all have bestowed on it their greatest legislative skill, lest in healing the evils of forced but certain labour, they should produce incurable evils of another kind They attempted at least to moderate the effects of sudden unconditional change, to save those whom they despoiled from ruin, and those whom they liberated from destitution. But in the United States no such design seems to have presided over the work of emancipation It has been an act of war, not of statesmanship or They have treated the slave-owner as an enemy, and have used the slave as an instrument for his They have not protected the white man from the vengeance of barbarians, nor the black from the pittless cruelty of a selfish civilisation

If, then, slavery is to be the criterion which shall determine the significance of the civil war, our verdict

ought, I think, to be, that by one part of the nation it was wickedly defended, and by the other as wickedly removed. Different indeed must our judgment be if we examine the value of secession as a phase in the history of political doctrine. When the Confederacy was established on the right of secession, the recognition of that right implied that there should never be occasion for its exercise. To say that particular contingencies shall justify separation is the same thing as to say that the Confederate government is bound within certain limits, under certain conditions, and by certain laws. It is a distinct repudiation of the doctrine that the minority can enforce no rights, and the majority can commit no wrong. It is like passing from the dominion of an able despot into a constitutional kingdom.

Further, definite safeguards were provided against the abuses which had sapped liberty in the Union One of these was the imposition of taxes for the advantage of interests which were confined to certain States, and at the expense of the others Therefore it was enacted that "no bounties shall be granted from the treasury, nor shall any duties or taxes on importations be levied to promote or foster any branch of industry. One great means of throwing influence into the hands of the central government had been internal improvements enacted that they should never be carried out by the Confederate government Finally, the abuse of patronage had furnished the President with such opportunities for corruption that I have heard as many as 60,000 offices changed hands as often as a term expired. It was enacted that none but Cabinet Ministers should be removed from office without the cause of the removal being submitted to the Senate. These were the political ideas of the Confederacy, and they justify me, I think, in saying that history can show no instance of so great an effort made by Republicans to remedy the faults of that form of government. Had they adopted the means which would have ensured and justified success, had they called on the negroes to be partners with them in the perils of war and

in the fruits of victory, I believe that generous resolution would have conferred in all future ages incalculable blessings on the human race

They would have supplied the advocates of freedom hereafter with a peerless model. They would have realised the ideals of its friends, and disarmed the resistance of its foes The cause that was to triumph comes forth from the conflict with renovated strength, and confirmed in the principles which must react dangerously on the other countries of the world spurious liberty of the United States is twice cursed, for it deceives those whom it attracts and those whom it By exhibiting the spectacle of a people claiming to be free, but whose love of freedom means hatred of mequality, jealousy of limitations to power, and reliance on the State as an instrument to mould as well as to control society, it calls on its admirers to hate aristocracy and teaches its adversaries to fear the people. The North has used the doctrines of Democracy to destroy self-government. The South applied the principle of conditional federation to cure the evils and to correct the errors of a false interpretation of Democracy.

After paying a tribute to the genius of General Lec, the lecturer concluded as follows It is a noble sight to see this mighty soldier, the greatest of the countrymen of Washington, exhorting his people to obey their conquerors, and giving the example of peaceful retirement and submission But it is also a noble sight to see the chief of a mighty and victorious nation, who was not trained to greatness, but was taken from the tailor's board and raised to his high place when passions were inflamed by an intoxicating triumph and an awful crime, staying the hand of vengeance, remitting punishment and disbanding armies, and treating as an equal the man who had been so lately and so long the most terrible of enemies, and whose splendid talents had inflicted on the people of the Union a gigantic loss in treasure, blood, It is too soon to despair of a community that has among its leading citizens such men as these

## ν

## THE RISE AND FALL OF THE MEXICAN EMPIRE<sup>1</sup>

THE scene of the tragedy which I will attempt to describe is a country on which Nature's fairest gifts have been lavished with an unsparing hand, but where man has done his utmost to thwart the designs of Providence Its social condition is so far removed from our experience that I must ask you to forget this evening the maxims and even the political terms we use nearer home.

Mexico possesses a territory more than thrice as large as France, with the fertility of the tropics, and the climate of the temperate zone, seated between two oceans, in the future centre of the commerce of the world. Its wealth in precious metals is so enormous that the time will come when the market will be flooded with silver, and its price will not allow the mines to be worked with profit. The only drawbacks on its prosperity are the badness of the harbours, the excessive dryness of the plains, and the disappearance of the forest timber, a curse which almost always follows the footstep of the Spaniard

When England recognised the independence of the Spanish colonies, Mr Canning declared that he had called a new world into existence to redress the balance of the old. But it was long before the new States justified the boast, and it is still generally believed that in point of political and material success they contrast much to their disadvantage with the North American Republic. In the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A lecture delivered at the Bridgmorth Literary and Scientific Institution on 10th March 1868

greater part of South America this is no longer true, for in several of those vast communities population and trade are growing at a rate that exceeds that of the Union

Mexico is the saddest and most conspicuous exception in the midst of the general improvement. It is the pride of the colonial system of Spain, and the one merit in which it was superior to our own, that it succeeded in preserving and partially civilising the native race. The English settled in a region where the natives were hunters and wanderers, unskilled in the cultivation of the soil, who roamed into the West to elude the grasp of civilisation, or perished by its contact. The colonists retained their own congenial laws, the purity of European blood was maintained, and the portentous problem of race was happily averted But in Mexico Cortez found a numerous and settled population, dwelling in cities, tilling the land, and brilliantly though superficially civilised. It was part of the Spanish system to protect, to preserve, and to convert the conquered heathens, whose number vastly exceeded that of their masters; a people of mixed blood sprang up between them, and thus there were three races separated by a very broad line, and isolated by the pride and the jealousy of colour The Indian nobles were mostly exterminated, and the land was distributed among the families of a small group of conquerors This arrangement of property remains unchanged The natives are still without any interest in the land, and the immense estates have not been subdivided. In one of the richest districts on the Atlantic, the coast, for one hundred and fifty miles, is owned by one proprietor

A society so constituted could not make a nation There was no middle class, no impulse to industry, no common civilisation, no public spirit, no sense of patriotism The Indians were not suffered to acquire wealth or knowledge, and every class was kept in ignorance and in rigorous seclusion, when, therefore, the Mexicans made themselves independent, the difficulty was to throw off, not the bondage, but the nonage in which they had been held, and to overcome the mental incapacity, the

want of enterprise, the want of combination among themselves, and the want of the enlightenment which comes from intercourse with other nations They formed a republic after the model of their more fortunate neighbours, and accepted those principles which are so inflexible in their consequences, and so unrelenting in their consistency It soon appeared that there was not propelling power in the State equal to the heavy burden of a half-barbarous The intelligent minority was too undisciplined and too demoralised to elevate and to sway the degraded millions of the Indian race. The habits of authority and subordination departed with the Spaniards, and the faculty of organisation could not exist in a people that had never learned to help themselves No man of very superior character and understanding arose The leading men in the various provinces sought to maintain their own power by the continuance of anarchy, they combined against the central authority as fast as it changed hands, and overthrew thirty Presidents in thirty years requisite conditions of a Republican government did not exist. There was the greatest social inequality that can be conceived between the wealthy landowners and the Indian masses, who possessed neither the mental independence conferred by education nor the material independence which belongs to property There was Democracy in the State, while society was intensely aristocratic

The largest landowner in Mexico was the Church, and as there was no religious toleration, it was the Church of the whole nation, the only teacher of the moral law to the natives, the sole channel through which the majority of the people had access to the civilisation of Christendom Therefore the clergy enjoyed an influence of which there has been no example in Europe for the last five hundred years, and formed a strong basis of aristocracy and the most serious barrier to the realisation of the Democratic principle that nominally prevailed. establish a real Democracy the first thing to be done was to reduce this immense and artificial influence For the

last twelve years this has been the one constant object of the Democratic party. It was a war of principles, a struggle for existence, on either side, in which conciliation was impossible, and which could only terminate by the ruin of one of the contending forces

Now, as long as the conflict was confined to America, the Republicans could not be utterly defeated, for they could fall back on the unfailing sympathy and resources of the United States Sooner or later the end would be the confiscation of the lands in mortmain, and the downfall of the Conservatives Their only hope was in the assistance of Europe, and the establishment of a monarchy under foreign protection Long before the antagonism became so definite and so extreme, the idea had begun to gain ground that a monarchy was the only form of government adapted to the character of Mexican society, and capable of arresting its decay; and the monarch, if he was not to be a party chief, must be a European prince. Negotiations for this object were opened as early as 1846, Mexican emissaries, acting in concert with the then President, addressed themselves to Prince Metternich, who received them coldly, to Bavaria, and then to France, where the plan was favourably entertained, when it was interrupted by the revolution of 1848. It was revived twelve years later by the progress of events in Mexico In 1857 the Democratic party carried a new Constitution, abridging the privileges of the clergy, and including a law of mortmain which obliged them to convert their estates into money.

This was the signal for civil war. The Conservatives, led by a young man who, at the age of twenty-seven, had shown a remarkable capacity for war, Miguel Miramon, gained possession of the capital, and their President was recognised by Europe. The Constitutional President held the important seaport of Vera Cruz, and was recognised by the United States. His name, destined like that of his rival to a wide and melancholy celebrity, was Benito Juarez He was an Indian of pure blood, nearly sixty years old He had ascended to power by means of his eminence

as a lawyer, and because, in the midst of almost universal corruption, he was deemed incorruptible. Unlike the intriguers and the soldiers of fortune who were his rivals, he had risen slowly, without perfidy and without violence, -a patient, steadfast man, and, as we should say, a man of extreme opinions It would seem that in this educated, ambitious, successful Indian, the pent-up hatred of the oppressed race for the oppressor had broken forth, and formed his strongest political motive, and that he was striving for the social and political emancipation of his people when he tore down the privileges and annihilated the power of the class that lorded over them He professed the principles of 1789, principles which had triumphed in France by a civil war, a reign of terror, ten years of military despotism, and sixty years of intermittent revolution. There was no reason to think they would succeed more easily in a country so backward as Mexico, but Juarez was ready to abide the issue. there was no system of regular taxation, and all manufactured articles were imported by sea, the customs were the chief source of revenue. It was an advantage to Juarez to possess the chief scaport of the country, and as he dwelt under the cannon of European men-of-war, he was careful not to make enemies by plundering the foreigners

Miramon, up in the interior, had neither the same resources nor the same restraint. There was no money to be had but that of foreign residents, or of the Church He could not rob his own party, so he determined to turn to the other source of supply. He had so used his power, and his lieutenant, Marquez, had acted so ferociously, that the English Minister had left Mexico, when Miramon seized a sum of £130,000 belonging to British landholders, which was deposited at the Legation He also contracted a loan with the Swiss banker, Jecker, on terms so exorbitant that it seems to have been a stratagem to embarrass those who were to come after him These two measures were eventually fatal to Miramon, for they were the cause of the European intervention

Juarez infinediately obtained his recognition by England by promising to restore the stolen money, and to satisfy other British claims. He made the same promise to France. With this moral support, and by undertaking to grant away to his partisans the property of the Church, he obtained the means of expelling Miramon from Mexico, and in 1861 he was elected President for a term of four years. He at once dismissed the Spanish and the Papal envoys, decreed the absolute confiscation of the Church lands, and carried out with ruthless energy the triumph of his opinions. But he proved incapable as a ruler, and utterly unequal to the desperate task of restoring order in a country distracted by passion and ruined by anarchy.

The condition of affairs in the summer of 1861 is described by the English Minister in the following passages, which are important because they determined the policy of England. "As long as the present dishonest and incapable administration remains in power, things will go from bad to worse, but with a government formed of respectable men, could such be found, the resources of the country are so great that it might easily fulfil its engagements, and increase threefold the amount of its exportations, not only of the precious metals, but of those productions for which they receive British manufactured goods in exchange. Mexico furnishes two-thirds of the silver now in circulation, and might be made one of the richest and most prosperous countries of the world; so that it becomes the interest of Great Britain to put a stop by force, if necessary, to its present state of anarchy, and insist on its government paying what it owes to British subjects. All the respectable classes look forward with hope to a foreign intervention as the sole means of saving them from ruin, and preventing a dissolution of the Confederation, as well as a general rising of the Indians against the white population. Every day's experience duly tends to prove the utter absurdity of attempting to govern the country with the limited powers granted to the Executive by the present ultra-liberal Constitution, and I see no hope of improve-

As it turned out, these were prophetic words. The sale of the Church property was carried on in a very disorderly way, and the money was squandered. A scheme to satisfy the urgent European claims with money lent by the United States, though entertained by the American Government, was rejected by the Senate, and in July 1861 the Mexican Congress resolved that all payments on European agreements should be suspended for two years.

The Powers most concerned in this act of repudiation— France, Spain, and Great Britain—now determined to intervene jointly, and to obtain by force of arms some real security for the property of their subjects, and for the establishment, if necessary, of a more trustworthy government. The conjuncture was favourable, for the Civil War had just broken out in the United States, and from that quarter there was no immediate danger of interruption Spain took the lead, her military establishment at Cuba enabling her to act promptly, with some suspicion of a desire to recover her ancient dominion. England followed warily, with an eye only to mercantile interests France did not yet reveal her intentions, and probably had not yet matured them.

The allied forces, amounting to about 6000 men, without means of transport or materials for a campaign in the interior, were placed under the command of the Spanish general Prim, a clever, showy, and ambitious officer, but a capricious and unstable politician. On their arrival, the town and fort of Vera Cruz were evacuated by the Mexican troops. In this extremity Juarez strengthened himself by putting at the head of the Ministry General Doblado, the leader of the moderate party, a man whose reputation for caution and ability stood high, and whose acts in office prove that it was well-deserved In January 1862, he issued a decree directing all those who should be taken in arms against the Republic to be tried by court-martial and put to death as traitors. This is the law by which the Emperor was to die, and which gave a legal character to his execution. Doblado had an interview with Prim, expatiated on the deplorable condition of the country, and undertook that the legitimate demands of the allies should be faithfully complied with, provided only they would recognise the existing government. These terms seemed acceptable to the allies, who were not equipped for a campaign, and they took Doblado at his word But the agreement had to be sent to Europe for approval, and in the meantime it was arranged that the allies should move up from the pestilential swamp of Vera Cruz to healthier quarters on the first range of hills This placed them within the outer line of the Mexican defences, and it was stipulated that if the preliminaries were not ratified, before commencing hostilities they should first withdraw to the plain below

The claims of the three Powers had now to be specified Those of Spain and England were clear, and easily ascertained The French commissioners demanded, in addition to other large sums, three millions sterling for the banker Jecker Their colleagues protested against this

excessive demand. They affirmed that the sum advanced by the banker to Miramon was only £160,000, and they pointed out that he was not a Frenchman but a Swiss, and that the guardianship of Swiss interests in Mexico pertained to the American Legation Jecker was immediately naturalised a Frenchman, and the French Government bought up his bonds. Agents were sent for this purpose with sealed instructions to America, two of whom, when they discovered the errand upon which they were employed, indignantly threw up the commission Whilst this transaction was sowing discord in the allied camp, several Mexican exiles of the Conservative party made their appearance at Vera Cruz. One of these was Miramon He was arrested and sent away by the British Commodore, on the ground that the expedition could not connect itself with one party while acknowledging the government of the other

Miramon was speedily followed by General Almonte, for many years the chief agent of the Conservative party in Europe, and the secret councillor of the French Government, a man of high character and great influence. He stated that he came with a mission from France to establish a provisional government, to introduce a monarchy, and to procure the election of the Archduke The English and Spanish Commissioners demanded his expulsion, when General Lorencez arrived with French reinforcements, and announced that Napoleon had rejected the convention with Doblado, that he had sent Almonte to Mexico, and meant war. The alliance of the three Powers was at once dissolved, the Spaniards sailed for Cuba in English ships, and France was left alone, to accomplish the avowed design of erecting a throne beyond the Atlantic.

In the intention of the Emperor Napoleon, the Mexican expedition was the first step towards the execution of a bold and magnificent scheme, to which he gave the name of the regeneration of the Latin world. The ancient rivalry between France and England was expanded into the rivalry of the Latin with the Anglo-Saxon race. If

we carry back our thoughts for a century, it will not be difficult to find in the history of the two nations the motives which suggested the idea. Scarcely one hundred years ago vast territories in Canada, on the Mississippi, and in the West Indies belonged to the Crown of France, and French adventurers of great daring and ability were laying the foundation of an Empire in Hindostan. One by one these possessions have gone, and France, watched by jealous neighbours, has nearly lost the power of expansion in Europe.

What has been, in the meantime, the progress of England? The colonies which France has lost have almost all been won by her. England, not France, wields the sceptre of the Great Mogul Her people have encircled the globe with a girdle of British settlements. New continents, I may almost say, have arisen out of the Southern ocean to receive the incessant overflow of her population. Her colonial empire is a nursery of mighty nations, that carries to the distant places of the earth the language and the laws of home George III. inherited dominions peopled perhaps by ten million human beings. His grand-daughter reigns over two hundred millions. In America the children of our race are waiting the time when the whole continent shall be theirs

But on that continent there are thirty millions of men, not of French descent, but of a stock allied with the French, who derive their literary culture and intellectual impulse from Paris, whose traffic is carried on with French ports, who look up to France as their head, and turn to her to protect them from being absorbed by an alien race. The trade of France with South America is nearly equal to her trade with the United States, and is more profitable because it is carried in French ships. In the ten years before the expedition, it had grown from £6,000,000 to £20,000,000 a year South America is the largest and safest opening that remains for the development of French commerce, the most increasing market for French industry. It was manifestly the interest of France to prevent it from falling under

the control of the narrow mercantile policy of the United States, and to secure her own influence over nations with such a future. In the words of the Emperor not our interest that the United States should grasp the whole Gulf of Mexico, the Antilles, and South America, and become the sole dispensers of the produce of the New World We have seen by sail experience how precarious is the fate of an industry which is forced to seek its raw material in a single market, under all the vicissitudes to which that market is liable." establishment of a French dependency in Mexico would have checked the southward progress of the Union, and have cut the continent in two

Juarez repudiated his engagements with European creditors the Confederates had won their first victories, and the North was not able to repel the intervention upon its frontier Shortly after, the Southern Commissioners were seized on board the Trent, and England began to arm The French Emperor calculated that he would be able to do his work without interruption, and that England, in case of need, would help him to support the South Therefore, from the end of 1861 he lent a willing car to the Mexican exiles, who displayed the sufferings and the capabilities of their country, and allured him with the splendid vision of a nation to be regenerated by France. They persuaded him that the presence of his troops would be welcomed, that there would be no serious resistance, and that a powerful party would rally to his standard. In this belief, and with Almonte in their camp, the French advanced against Mexico, 6000 strong On the 5th of May 1862, they appeared before Puebla, the second city in the land, on the road from Vera Cruz to the capital were received with so vigorous a cannonade that they were forced to retire to a position where they could await reinforcements without danger of being dislodged After this military repulse, public opinion in France supported the Emperor in despatching an army of 30,000 men, provided with all the appliances of war.

They landed in the autumn, and the winter was spent in preparations.

A whole year had been lost before Puebla fell, after an obstinate defence, and in June 1863 the French entered the city of Mexico The early reverses and the long delays of the French greatly strengthened the position of Juarez The invasion exalted the Indian leader of an extreme party into a champion of the dignity and the independence of the country, and his tenacity in upholding the cause did not allow this halo to depart from him even in the worst times The capital was not fortified, and when the French appeared, Juarez carried the seat of his government to one of the Northern towns

A new provisional government was instituted, in which Almonte was associated with the Archbishop of Mexico, and an assembly of notables, selected and convened by the French, met to decide on the future of the country. Many of the principal men in the capital who had been invited, refused to attend, and the assembly was composed of Conservatives who took their orders from Almonte and the French. The orders were to proclaim a monarchy, and to offer the Crown to the Archduke. They were obeyed on the 8th July 1863 The long-deferred hopes of the Mexican royalists seemed to be fulfilled, when a deputation proceeded to Europe to invite the Archduke to ascend the throne of Montezuma

Ferdinand Maximilian, the next brother of the Emperor of Austria, had long occupied a peculiar and exceptional position in his native country. There were circumstances which made him appear a possible rival to his brother, and the many errors of Francis Joseph, the waning confidence in his fortune and his judgment, kept alive the habit of looking to the Archduke, who was altogether excluded from the conduct of affairs, as a refuge in extremity. He possessed some of the best qualities of a ruler, honesty and firmness of purpose, a kind and true heart, and a mind fixed on high designs. In spite of much and various experience of mankind, he retained an unpractical imaginativeness,

which is often connected with extreme cultivation, and a certain impetuous generosity frequently marred the effect of his sagacity. Though undoubtedly very intelligent, he was so often deceived that he must have lacked the faculty of judging men and choosing friends, without which there is no success in government. His ardent, lofty spirit, perpetually curbed and chafed by the prevailing dulness, selfishness, and incapacity in Austria, imparted something that was cold and sarcastic to his manner. His outspoken censure of his brother's unstable policy caused an estrangement between them, which was increased by his marriage with the daughter of the wise Leopold, a clever and accomplished woman, whose family has grown great by renouncing those principles of strict legitimacy which Austria specially represents The Archduke was the last Austrian Governor of Lombardy In that thankless office it was impossible to conciliate the Italians, and he could not permanently serve the interests of his country. he made many friends, and men believed that he would willingly have been the Minister of a less unpopular system It was even whispered that he had wished to set up a throne for himself in Lombardy and Venice. separate from the Austrian monarchy At least he had so far deserted the ancient ways of his family as to fall under the ban of distrust and suspicion at Vienna the time of the marriage of the Princess Royal he visited the British Court, and made so favourable an impression that there were some who regretted that he could not have been a candidate for her hand For who could then have dreamed that the reserved and unpretending Prussian was to be the spoilt darling of victory, while the genial, frank, and brilliant Austrian was destined to a traitor's death? He devoted his care to the navy, a department always neglected in Austria, and the virtue of his administration became apparent when the fleet which he had created won the greatest sea-fight of our time. The war of 1859 deprived him of his high position, and reproaches and recriminations followed, which separated him yet more from the Emperor. He dwelt in his castle of Miramar at

the head of the Adriatic, mourning over wasted talents, a ruined career, and an unsatisfied ambition.

Very soon the prospect of a new adventure opened before him. By a strange fatality his wife, the daughter of a Princess of the House of Orleans, was an enthusiastic Bonapartist, and not only admired, but trusted the Emperor Napoleon. When, therefore, he proposed to hand over his conquest to the Archduke, hoping thereby to conciliate Austria, the Archduchess Charlotte urged her husband to accept it. Their unsettled position must have become very irksome to her, for when they left their home Maximilian wept bitterly, and she showed no emotions but hope and joy. His brother's government employed strong measures to dissuade him from accepting, and it was decided that he must renounce his place in the succession, and be counted last after all the princes of the line.

When the vote of the Assembly of Notables was made known to him, he replied that he could not accept the crown unless he was assured of the support of the great Powers, or until it was offered to him by the free choice of the whole Mexican people. The French are skilled in managing the machinery of a spontaneous election; and in April 1864, a second deputation carried to Miramar a sceptre of Mexican gold, with the assurance that the whole nation had elected Maximilian Emperor. In reality the French were masters of a very small portion of the country, and the vast majority were not polled at all. Where the French were present there was no serious difficulty, though in some places the chief inhabitants were thrown into prison before they gave in Maximilian was fully informed that the their adhesion pretended election was nothing but a ceremonious farce. A Mexican Republican made his way to Miramar, and warned him that the real feeling of the country was adverse to the invaders, and that the expedition would end in disaster.

But the promises of France were excessively enticing The French army was to complete the pacification of the country, and a powerful corps was to be left for several years in the service of Maximilian. France negotiated a loan in his behalf, and seventeen chests filled with gold pieces found their way to Miramar. The Archduke was not in a position to disregard such inducements, for his private fortune was in disorder, and the first £300,000 of the Mexican loan went to clear his debts. Other points were raised which have been kept secret, and the friends of Maximilian still look for important revelations

At his trial he instructed his counsel to say that Napoleon had required the cession of a portion of Mexican territory as large as Great Britain, and that he had indignantly refused to dismember the country which had given him a crown. He accepted it at a time when the tide of success had turned in the American War, and the prospects of the Confederacy were no longer hopeful The Archduke demanded a pledge that he should be supported by a French alliance in case of war with the United States, and it is positively asserted that Napoleon gave the required pledge. He gave it believing that England would join him in recognising the South, if it was found that its resistance would be crushed without aid from Europe, and the time came when he made the proposal of a joint recognition to Lord Palmerston happened that the two foremost statesmen in the Ministry had made speeches in the provinces which appeared to show a disposition favourable to the Confederates, and the Emperor believed that they would carry their colleagues with them This was the gravest miscalculation he made in the whole Mexican affair The Cabinet, taking one of the most momentous resolutions ever adopted by a Ministry, rejected the proposal, and the Emperor shrank from a war single-handed with the United States

Maximilian, on his part, undertook to pay a million a year while the French remained, and to liquidate all those accumulated claims which Juarez had rejected. In fact, he submitted to conditions impossible to meet, and commenced an undertaking predestined to financial ruin. He

reached Mexico in June 1864, and was favourably if not warmly received. The French had ruled the country through the provisional government for a whole year, with almost uninterrupted military success. But they had encountered a difficulty of a formidable and unexpected kind. Juarez had had more than two years to accomplish the overthrow of the clergy, and their property had passed into the hands of speculators, chiefly foreigners, who, it was thought, would not easily be compelled to restore it. The Church party had called for intervention in the hope of recovering these losses, and when the French placed the leaders of the party at the head of the State, they preferred their claims with a sure expectation of success

The Church in France is supported by the State, and owns no independent property. The French supposed that the practice of their own country could not be unsuitable to Mexico, where a revolution would be required to restore the ancient order, and where the clergy would not bear a comparison with the salaried priesthood of France. The demand was summarily refused The Episcopate united to denounce the sacrilegious invaders, and the Archbishop ceased to be a member of the provisional government. The breach, for the moment, was complete, and the only hope of the clergy was in Maximilian knew that, for a Sovereign to be strong, he must be identified with no party. It was his mission to conciliate and blend together interests severed by years of antagonism. In declining the crown for the first time, he had signified that he would consent to receive it only as the gift of the entire nation In accepting it afterwards, he made known that he looked upon himself as the elect of the nation, not as the nominee of a powerful interest. From the moment of his arrival he held out the olive branch to the Republicans, and sought their confidence by offering them place and power. Many accepted his offers, and he was surrounded by men who were hateful to those who had seated him on the throne. In adopting this policy it was impossible to draw a line, to examine antecedents, or to reject utterly any candidate for favour The Emperor

was often deceived, and lost on one side without gaining on the other

After a long delay, which exasperated the trembling holders of Church property, as well as those whom they had despoiled, he decided that all legal purchases should be confirmed, and those which were fraudulent revised, but that nothing should be restored to the clergy, who were to be paid by the State. The Nuncio quarrelled with him upon this, and left the country Maximilian, irritated by the hostile attitude of the clergy, went further, and restored what was called the Exequatur, a law forbidding any document to be published in ecclesiastical affairs without the consent of the civil power This right has been abandoned by his brother, in Austria, by the Italian Government, last year, and even in Mexico, by Juarez, who adopted the voluntary principle. It could not be defended as a liberal law, and its revival seemed to be simply a blow at the independence of religion The clergy protested that they had not borne the burden of civil war and brought foreign armies into the country, in order that a prince of their choosing should confirm decrees which had made their property the spoil of their enemies

They declared that their position was worse under their friend then it had been under their persecutor Juarez Thenceforth they withdrew their support, and observed a hostile neutrality, watching the time when the Emperor, driven to extremities, would be ready to purchase their assistance at any sacrifice they might demand. In some instances they even fomented the Republican opposition

This was the first great and visible disaster that the Empire incurred Another was soon known imminent Financial capacity, rare in every country, was not to be found in Mexico, and Napoleon, who wished his creation to succeed, sent out a Chancellor of the Exchequer from France, with a staff of clerks But the imported Minister died, and could not be replaced The finances broke down so completely that Maximilian was obliged to ask for money from the military chest of the French army, and thus fell into the power of its commander As he could not fulfil his engagements with the Emperor Napoleon, he was guilty of a breach of the treaty signed between them, and gave France an excuse, when her turn came, to justify her own breach of faith

The year 1865 passed prosperously, on the whole Maximilian visited many of the towns, saw what he could with his own eyes, and devoted his time to the fabrication of decrees by which he hoped to regenerate the country. These decrees are generally sensible and just, they incline in a good direction, but not always by the right road, and ornamental superfluities sometimes usurp the place of more difficult but more essential things milian was an anxious and determined educator, and his zeal was praiseworthy, for ninety per cent of the people could neither read or write But it shows a want of practical capacity when in a community wanting the first necessaries of popular instruction the Sovereign founds an Academy of Sciences, and gravely inculcates on his Ministers the importance of encouraging the study of metaphysics He found himself in the rare position of a lawgiver called to legislate in a country for which everything remained to be done, and he enjoyed the luxury of carrying out, at least on paper, systems nurtured in days of visionary retirement. He had not time or vigour to execute much of what he had projected

There was one question that called for an act of high and generous statesmanship. The Indians had been reduced by their poverty and want of energy to the position of serfs. They were in debt to their landlords, and the whole hopeless labour of their lives, without the chance of profit or release, was due to their creditors. They had greeted the coming of Maximilian as the dawn of their deliverance, and he might have made them the willing prop of the imperial throne. In the \$00,000 square miles of Mexico, peopled by \$,000,000 of men, but capable of sustaining 100,000,000, it would have been easy, without any spoliation, to distribute land arrow the countrymen of its ancient owners. Maximiliate adopted a half measure. He abolished the debts of the

Indians, and thus made them free, but he did no more, and left them to relapse, under pressure of the old causes, into the old degradation. The Indians were not satisfied, and the landowners were alienated

Something, but not enough, was done for the creation of a native army to defend the crown and country when the French should depart An Austrian and a Belgian corps were formed, but did not answer expectation Next to the French, the most efficient body was the division of the Indian general Mejia, a man of a very pure fame. But the French were successful in all they undertook during the whole of 1865. The Republican bands were scattered, many of their generals made their submission, and Juarez, driven from place to place, disappeared at last at a point in the extreme north of Mexico, on the American frontier, more than a thousand miles from the capital It was reported that he had escaped into the United States At this time also the four years for which he had been elected expired, and it was impossible to convene a Congress for a new election Many of his followers now held that he had ceased to govern, and the Vice-President Ortega, the defender of Puebla, claimed the vacant post. The strict legality which had been the strength of the position of Juarez was seriously impaired, and his authority was unquestionably shaken country was in a wretched state of insecurity and misery Plunderers and assassins plied their trade under pretence of being real combatants Mexican warfare is often scarcely distinguishable from armed robbery, and, as it was the plan of the Republicans to fight in small guerilla bands, the line separating the soldier from the brigand was often indistinct. The Government thought the time had come to exterminate these bands, and to protect the inhabitants against their incursions. The victory over the regular army was complete, and it seemed that men who infested the roads, when organised resistance was over, did not deserve the treatment of prisoners of war

On the 2nd of October Maximilian drew up a decree ordering all who should be taken with arms in their

hands to be shot, and when he signed it he signed his own death-warrant. Immediately after its publication a Republican force, commanded by Arteaga, was defeated, and the leaders were captured. In obedience to the new order the Imperial General Mendez put them to death. But the Republicans, though dispersed and dispirited, were not destroyed A report made to the Emperor in November 1865 estimates their force at 24,000 men, and Juarez had not abandoned the struggle mained on Mexican territory, in a town on the Rio del Norte, from which a boat could take him in a few minutes to the American bank, and he remained in communication with the generals of his party There he waited for the deliverance which he knew was coming For at that moment, near the close of 1865, his cause was taken up by an ally so powerful and so much feared as to be able, without firing a shot or wasting a single life, to expel the French from Mexico, and to lay the Empire in the dust.

The United States had watched the intervention and the erection of the Empire with anger and alarm. They knew that it had sprung from a desire to cripple their influence, and they could not be indifferent to the presence of an European army on their frontier while they were embarrassed by a civil war They denied that the Empire was the free choice of the Mexicans, and they highly disapproved of an Emperor that was absolute, for he retained in his own hands all the powers of the State. They refused to recognise him, but they remained neutral, determined not to act until they could act decisively. They rejected various schemes for assisting Juarez with money in return for land, and they declined not only the overtures of Napoleon and of Juarez, but one which was still more tempting During the siege of Richmond the Confederates proposed that they should unite their armies for the conquest of Mexico and of Canada, but the North refused.

When the war of Secession was over, the Government of Washington had to apply a little diplomatic pressure to the Emperor Napoleon to hasten the recall of his troops

The pressure quickly took the form of threats, and Napoleon very speedily gave way. Events were passing in Europe which made him impatient that Maximilian should restore his legions. In June 1866 war broke out in Italy and in Germany, and in the first week of July Prussia had struck a blow that made half Europe tremble, and menaced the military supremacy and the pride of France. In these circumstances it was certain that the offensive language of America could not be resented, and Mr Seward used his advantage with cruel complacency. Napoleon informed Maximilian that he must provide for himself, and he informed the American Government that he would retire from Mexico in March 1867.

Rumours of this strange correspondence, and of its probable result, reached Mexico and gave new spirit to the Republicans Maximilian had refused permission to 25,000 confederates to settle in his dominions, but stragglers found their way to the armies of Juarez, and in June 1866 the important town of Matamoros was surrendered to Escobedo by Mejia From the moment of that reverse fortune began rapidly to change, and as the French retired from more distant posts, swarms of Republicans appeared in every direction

When Maximilian learnt the altered intention of Napoleon, he foresaw the end, and spoke of abdication The Empress persuaded him to remain, while she undertook a journey to Europe She would compel the French Emperor to fulfil his promises She would induce the Pope to reconcile the clergy with the Empire. She failed utterly in both endeavours, and in her last interview with Pius IX, perceiving that all hope was ended, she went out of her mind Early in October the news reached her husband, and then his courage gave way He had lately exchanged what was called a Liberal for a Conservative Ministry, and had offered the principal departments to two French generals But they were forbidden by Napoleon to accept, and still no substantial help came from the clergy Worn out with illness and sorrow, deserted on all sides, and knowing that his Empire was

## 164 ESSAYS ON MODERN HISTORY

crumbling, Maximilian started for the coast with coundefined intention of sailing for Europe. His most trusted adviser, a Belgian, who had accompanied the Empress, attempted at this conjuncture to draw him away by an appeal to his ambition. He described the discontent of the humbled Austrians and assured him that they wished his brother to abdicate, while sympathy for himself was increasing throughout the country.

Francis Joseph was aware of this intrigue, but he made a last effort to save his brother by restoring to him, if he would return, his position at the head of the princes of the blood. An aide-de-camp of Napoleon armed in Mexico to hasten the departure of the troops, and instructed to use everything but force to induce Maximilian to abdicate. The French did not like the dishonour of leaving him to his fate, and they hoped, if he ceased to reign, to make their own terms with the Mexicans, and to leave behind them a government not utterly hostile to themselves That the expedition was a gigantic failure, injurious to the reputation of the army and the stability of the throne, could not be disguised But the blow would be more keenly felt if the man on whom they had made war for four years, and with whom they had refused to treat, remained unshaken in his office, victorious over the arms and arts of Napoleon III So great was their urgency that Maximilian felt insulto!, and at last believed himself betrayed

had not departed, they understood that their mission was a blunder and withdrew. The Emperor did not believe that an American Minister, escorted by such a personage as Sherman, had come all the way to Vera Cruz and had gone away without doing anything. He persuaded himself that France and America had come to an understanding, and had made a bargain of which his crown was to be the price. The pressing invitations to depart with the French appeared to him perfidious, and he thought it would be disgraceful that his life should be rescued by those who had bartered his throne.

Meantime the Church party, which had so long coldly stood aloof, thought that the moment had arrived when it could impose its own conditions. It was represented to the Emperor that the disappearance of the invaders would remove the cause of his unpopularity, and that good patriots would support him now, who had refused to acknowledge the nominee of a foreign Power. Miramon arrived from Europe at the critical moment and offered his sword to Maximilian The Prussian Minister also advised him to remain. The clergy promised their powerful aid, and he yielded. There was nothing for him to look forward to in Europe No public career was open to the man who had failed so signally in an enterprise of his own seeking His position in Austria, which was distressing before, would be intolerable now He had quarrelled with his family, with his church, with the protector to whose temptations he had hearkened And for him there was to be no more the happiness of the domestic hearth

In Mexico there were no hopes to live for, but there was still a cause in which it would be glorious to die. There were friends whom he could not leave to perish in expiation of measures which had been his work. He knew what the vengeance of the victors would be. He knew that those who had been most faithful to him would be most surely slaughtered, and he deemed that he, who had never yet been seen on a field of battle, had no right to fly without fighting. Probably he felt that when a

monarch cannot preserve his throne, nothing becomes him better than to make his grave beneath its ruins. He yielded, and returned, sullenly and slowly, to the capital What concessions had been wrung from the party in whose hands he was, I do not know But he addressed a letter to the Pope, expressing regret for the policy which had failed, and at Rome, where he was once regarded as a persecutor and almost an apostate, the letter was hailed as a solemn and complete retraction

From that moment Maximilian was no longer the chief of a national government, but a partisan leader, who had not even the control of his party. He laid aside the pomp of Majesty, and lived in private houses, especially as the guest of the clergy. He declared that he was only provisionally the chief of the State, and held office only until a national assembly had decided what should be the future of Mexico. He invited Juarez to submit his claim to the same peaceful arbitration, and proposed that there should be a general amnesty, to stop the shedding of blood. The Republicans saw nothing in all this but the signs of weakness, and of their own approaching triumph. They opposed no obstacles in the way of the departing. French, but they closed in overwhelming numbers upon the feeble army of the Empire.

The defeat of Miramon on the great North road in February compelled Maximilian to take the field. He put himself for the first time at the head of his troops, and joined Miramon at Queretaro. On this day last year he was surrounded and besieged by Escobedo with an army which rose speedily to more than 40,000 men. Marquez was sent to Mexico for reinforcements, but he never returned, and spent the short time that remained in wringing money from the inhabitants. The siege proceeded slowly, and on the 24th of April Miramon made a successful sally, and opened for a moment the road to the capital. But the men were worn out with fighting, and the Emperor refused to leave them. He declared he had not come to Queretaro to fly from danger. To those who saw him during those anxious days, haggard

and aged, with a long beard flowing over his breast, and the fever of despair in his eyes, conducting the defence and constantly under fire, it seemed that he was longing for the glory of a soldier's death At length the supplies were nearly exhausted, the certainty of the treason of Marquez removed all hope of relief, and it was resolved that the garrison should make an attempt to cut its way through the enemy on the 15th of May It was too late For four days Lopez, the second in command, had been in communication with Escobedo, and had accepted a bribe of £1400 Late in the night of the 14th he saw the Emperor, and then, at two in the morning, he introduced a Republican general into the fort. This general was disguised, and carried concealed arms He remained two hours, and examined the interior of the works Then Lopez withdrew the Imperial sentries, and their posts were silently occupied by the soldiers of Riva Palacio, the only officer who had been excepted, by name, from the decree of October

At daybreak the bells of the churches of Queretaro announced to the Republican camp that the place was won. The traitor went up to the Emperor's room, and told him that the enemy was in the town. Maximilian rushed forth, and was stopped by Republican soldiers, who did not recognise him. Lopez whispered to the officer who it was. Then the generous Mexican allowed the Emperor to pass, pretending to take him for a civilian, and he escaped to a fortified position at some distance. Here he was joined by the faithful Mejia, and as many officers and men as could hew their way through the columns of Republicans that were now pouring into the town. Miramon alone attempted a forlorn resistance. A shot struck him in the face, and he fell, blinded with blood, into the hands of his enemies.

The position occupied by the Imperialists was swept by artillery and could not be defended, and at eight o'clock they surrendered Among the prisoners was Mendez, who had caused the decree of October to be executed on Arteaga and his companions He was shot the same day. The Emperor was shut up, with Miramon and Mejia, in a cell of the Capuchin convent, and it was announced to them that they would be tried by court-martial, under the decree of January. From that moment Maximilian retained no hope of life. He presented his war-horse to Riva Palacio, the most chivalrous of his enemies, and telegraphed to Mexico for the Prussian Minister, and for legal advice in preparing his defence

Mexico was already besieged by a Republican army, and hollow shells were thrown into the town, stuffed with telegrams proclaiming the fall of Queretaro But Marquez, the most detested of the Imperial generals, wished to gain time, and he suppressed the news Maximilian had deposited his abdication in the hands of the President of Council, to be produced if he died or fell a prisoner, but Marquez compelled him to keep it secret, and prevented for several days the departure of the defenders who had been summoned. The most eminent of these was the advocate Riva Palacio, the father of the general, a leading Republican, who had refused all solicitations to serve the Emperor in the days of his power The others seem to have been less distinguished, but they were all chosen among the Republicans The Prussian Minister, Baron Magnus, had lived on intimate terms with the Emperor, and had been one of the advisers of the expedition which had ended so fatally No European Power was less compromised in Mexican affairs, or less obnoxious to the dominant party than Prussia, and it was thought that Baron Magnus would be the best mediator.

The seat of Government was at San Luis, 200 miles beyond Queretaro, but connected with it by telegraph. Two lawyers remained with the Emperor, while Riva Palacio and the Prussian Minister repaired to San Luis to intercede with Juarez The court-martial which was to try the prisoners met on the stage of the theatre of Queretaro on the morning of Friday, the 14th of June. The house was lighted up and full of spectators Maximilian had been ill in bed for several days, and self-respect forbad him to appear on such a scene The two

generals were present. Their case was manifestly desperate, yet the defender of Mejia caused a deep impress on when he claimed for his client the same mercy, which, in spite of stern decrees, he had always shown to his captives, and appealed to Escobedo to say how he had fared when he was Mejia's prisoner The defence of Miramon was less dignified and less loyal He pleaded that he had had no command while the French were in the country, that he had been hostile to the Empire which had sent him on an idle mission to Europe, and that he had offered his services to the chief of the Republic These facts were true, and at Paris Miramon had said openly that the end of the intervention would be to make him President again Maximilian knew all this, and he knew the manner of his defence. This must not be forgotten when we come to the last scene of all, and see how the Emperor bore himself towards the brave but ambitious soldier, who had been ready to desert the cause in which he was to die

The strongest points of the indictment against Maximilian were, that he had known the decree of January, which had been published long before he came, that the necessity of foreign support must have proved to him that he was not the legitimate, national Sovereign, and that he could not therefore justify the October decree, by which it was pretended, with great exaggeration, that 40,000 Mexicans had suffered death, that he was responsible for the continuance of civil war after the departure of the French, and for the introduction of Belgian and Austrian soldiers, whose Governments were not at war with the Republic, and who came therefore in the character of filibusters and assassins The reply to these charges was narrow and technical, and not worthy of the occasion It amounted in substance to that which the Emperor had said himself "You may dispute the original probability of my success, but not the sincerity of my motives" As to the decree of October, his advocates defied the prosecution to name a single instance in which he had refused a pardon

A little before midnight on the 15th the prisoners

were found guilty, and their sentence having been confirmed by Escobedo on the Sunday morning, they were informed that they would be shot at three o'clock on the same day Meanwhile the issue of the trial had been foreseen, and the friends of the Emperor were pleading with Juarez for his pardon. On the ground of political expediency their position was undoubtedly more favourable than that of men restricted to legal arguments. During the war in Mexico a yet deadlier struggle had raged beyond the American border The author of Secession was not a foreigner, like Maximilian, but a citizen of the country in which he had conspired He too had been defeated and captured, and then, while European monarchies suppressed revolution with atrocious cruelty, Jefferson Davies had been released by the great Republic Therefore, they said, the honour of Republican institutions was in the keeping of Juarez, and required that Mexico should follow that example of triumphant clemency, and should betray neither hatred for the past nor alarm for the future.

The President and his minister, Lerdo, listened patiently but coldly They said that Europe could give no guarantee that it would not renew the same attempt, that Maximilian would continue, even in spite of himself, to be a pretext and a rallying cry for faction, and an instrument by which foreign Powers, when complications arose, might gain a party in the country The decree of October cried for expiation, and the death of its author would enable them to spare the rest Many Mexicans had been put to death under the decree of January, and the punishment of inferiors could not be justified if that of the leader was remitted They seem to have believed that if the doorposts of the Republic were marked with the blood of a prince, the angel of destruction would pass them by They showed no inclination to cast on others the responsibility of their act, but it is difficult to believe that it was determined by reason of state dispassionately weighed

Juarez possessed but a precarious authority over the army, and the army was infuriated by strife, and thirsted

to avenge the comrades who had been executed like murderers We can imagine what their feelings would be towards the foreigner whose title was a vote extracted by the bayonets of invaders, who had ordered their countrymen and themselves to be slaughtered, and who was now convicted of having been a pretender and a usurper, as he was the champion of the weaker party lt is probable that the real author of the Emperor's execution is Escobedo, and that Juarez was powerless to save him When the news that he was to die in three hours reached San Luis at noon on the Sunday, the Prussian Minister prayed for a short delay He knew that Maximilian had matters to settle before death, and there was some hope that foreign intercession would be in time to save his life But the American Government, at the request of the Emperor of Austria, had already interceded for his brother, and had interceded in vain. A delay of three days was granted, but the order did not reach Queretaro till the last moment, when the prisoners had made themselves ready for immediate death For himself, indeed, Maximilian had no hope, and was perfectly resigned A report that his wife was dead made him meet his fate with joy On the eve of his execution he telegraphed to Juarez requesting that he might be the only victim

At six in the morning of Wednesday, the 19th of last June, he was led forth to the doom he had not deserved His last act before going to the place of execution had been to write the following letter to his implacable conqueror "I give up my life willingly, if the sacrifice can promote the welfare of my new country But nothing healthy can grow upon a soil saturated with blood, and therefore, I entreat you, let mine be the last you shed The fortitude with which you upheld the cause that triumphs now won my admiration in happier days, and I pray that it may not fail you in the peaceful work of conciliation that is to come." When they came to the appointed place, he gave money to the soldiers by whose hands he was to fall, asking them to aim at his heart, for he wished that his mother might look upon his face again

The officer who was to give the word assured him that he detested the duty, and implored him not to die with a feeling of resentment against him Maximilian thanked him, and said that he must obey orders Mejia was in great trouble and dejection His wife had just borne him a son, and as he left his prison he had seen her rushing through the streets, raving mad, with the child in her arms The Emperor bade him farewell affectionately, saying "There is a reward in the next world for that which is not requited here" He was standing between the Mexicans, but out of humility, or magnanimity, or because a solemn and sacred memory was present to his mind at that last awful moment, he turned to Miramon and said that out of esteem for his courage he would yield to him the place of honour. His last words were "I die for a just cause—the independence and the liberty of Mexico May my death close the era of the misfortunes of my adopted country: God save Mexico!" Then he crossed his hands upon his breast and fell, pierced by nine balls

He fell, and carried with him in his fall the independence of the people he had come to save henceforth remains that can permanently arrest the United States in the annexation of Spanish America. have prudence to avoid European war, and wisdom to compose their own dissensions, they may grasp the most glorious inheritance the earth affords The conquest of Spanish America would be easy and certain, but beset with dangers A confederacy loses its true character when it rules over dependencies, and a Democracy lives a threatened life that admits millions of a strange and inferior race which it can neither assimilate nor absorb It is more likely that the Americans will bind their neighbours by treaties, which will throw open the whole continent to their own influence and enterprise, without destroying their separate existence.

The memory of the fair-haired stranger, who devoted his life to the good of Mexico, and died for guilt which was not his own, will live in sorrow rather than in anger among the people for whom he strove in vain Already

## RISE AND FALL OF MEXICAN EMPIRE 173

we may pronounce the verdict of history upon his sad career—his worst crime was in accepting the treacherous gift of Empire, but his misfortune was greater than his fault. I think he was well-nigh the noblest of his race, and fulfilled the promise of his words: "The fame of my ancestors will not degenerate in me"

## VI

## CAVOUR 1

CAVOUR was the most thoroughly practical of the It is the special character of his Italian statesmen career that his success was due to his own ability, not to the idea or the party he represented, not to his principles, He was not borne to power on the wave but to his skill of public enthusiasm, nor by the energy of an opinion incorporated in him, nor by the personal attachment of a mass of followers He was not a representative man in the domain of thought, not a great partisan in the domain of action, not a popular favourite trained in agitation, or sustained by the prestige of great achieve-Yet he acquired and kept a position in which men who were his superiors in genius, in character, and in eloquence-Balbo, Gioberti, Azeglio-successively failed, in which men who were identified with the chief memories and hopes of Italian patriotism-Manin, Mamiani, Farini, La Farina-were content to be his subordinates and assistants, and where all his rivals sacrificed or suspended their own principles, animosities, and aspirations, The state in order to increase his power and his fame man who could blend such materials, and make of them the instrument of his greatness, who could withstand at the same time the animosity of Austria and the ambition of France, who could at once restrain the Catholic whom he injured and insulted, and the republicans whom he condemned, and who, standing between such poterful enemies and such formidable allies, almost accomplished

the unity of Italy to the Mincio, and increased fourfold the dominions of his king—must always remain one of the most conspicuous figures, as he is one of the most distinct characters in the history of his country

He was connected by descent with the family of St Francis of Sales His mother, who belonged to a patrician family of Geneva, was originally a Protestant, and the oldfashioned political Calvinism of Geneva, which moulded the character of Guizot, exercised from a very early age a profound influence upon Cavour Events connected with his family position inspired him with a precocious dislike for the priesthood, and whilst his brother, the Marquis Gustave de Cavour, grew up into an ardent defender of religion, Camillo was looked on unkindly by his father, a politician of the old school, whilst the authorities regarded him with a suspicion proportioned to his cleverness and his petulance The position was intolerable to a man of his disposition, and he left his country almost as soon as he was his own master, carrying with him two sentiments already deeply rooted in his soul,—animosity towards the Catholic hierarchy and towards the political system which was combined with it in the reverence of the people, and in the hatred Time and experience appear to have of the Liberals wrought no change for good or evil in these opinions satisfied his vengeance on the Church without ever exhibiting unbelief, and he consummated a great revolution without ever accepting the revolutionary doctrines he confessed in the days of his greatness, consistently with his whole career, that the impulse of his policy was derived from personal motives rather than from public principles

Yet undoubtedly his opinions grew into maturity and harmony during the period which preceded his entrance into public life. He spent several years in France and England, attentive to things of practical material interest, and adding to the cosmopolitan temper of his order a warm appreciation and sympathy for the society of both countries. He returned to Turin in 1842, where the spirit of the Government kept him away from public affairs, and where he devoted himself to the development of the pros-

perity of the country through the Agricultural Society, which he helped to establish and to conduct. Like similar associations in other countries, where the absence of freedom, obliging Government to seek a substitute for public opinion in espionage, and the people to seek it in secret societies, gives to every recognised society a political character, the Associazione Agraria became, from its organisation, an important channel and instrument of political influence. When the Italian movement began it became a centre of political action, "and," says Brofferio, in his autobiography, "in more than one discussion on the felling of timber, the germs of an imperfectly understood democracy revealed themselves"

Besides articles on agricultural and economical questions in the journal of the society, Cavour published during these years several essays on political subjects, not brilliantly written, but remarkable for grasp of thought, and because they are authentic memorials of the views by which he was guided in his after-career In the paper on the Communistic theories, there is a character of Pılt closely resembling that given by Macaulay, some touches of which have been applied to Cavour himself. "He was not one of those who seek to reconstruct society from its foundations with the aid of general, philanthropic theories A cold, deep intellect, free from prejudice, he was animated solely by the love of glory and of his country" And at the conclusion of this essay occurs a passage which distinguishes him favourably from those modern economists whose inflexible abstractions give an easy victory to the Communists :---

To every one his own work. The philosopher and the economist, in the seclusion of their studies, will confute the errors of Communism, but their labour will bear no fruit unless men practise the great principle of universal benevolence, and act upon the hearts, while science acts upon the intellects

It is no small merit to have understood that political economy is as much an ethical as a material science in an age when philanthropists and economists agree in condemning each other's efforts, and when both seem to

have forgotten that the same holy doctrine which teaches the precept of charity supplies the basis of economical science, by inculcating alike the duties of benevolence to the rich and of industrious independence to the poor, for "the poor we have always with us," but "if any man will not work, neither let him eat"

In 1847 the reforms of Pius IX produced a reaction against absolutism throughout Italy, which was soon felt in Piedmont, and in September Charles Albert began to follow the footsteps of the Pope in the path of concession. At the end of the year Cavour, in conjunction with Balbo and others, took advantage of the new liberty of the press to found the paper Il Risorgimento, which he conducted with great ability Whilst others were demanding reforms, he was the first to insist on a constitution, and in January 1848 he petitioned the king "to remove the controversy from the dangerous arena of irregular agitation to a scene of legal, peaceful, and regular discussion" On the 5th of February, his friend Santa Rosa carried a similar vote in the Municipal Council of Turin, and on the 7th a Constitution, based on the French Charter of 1814, was granted by the king Cavour was not elected at first, when he obtained a seat in the Chamber his friends Balbo and Boncompagni were Ministers, and he joined the Right. The war against Austria was undertaken by the Ministry, with the condition that Italy should owe her deliverance to herself was at that time a Republic, and her aid, it was apprehended by the monarchical advisers of Charles Albert, would cause the triumph of the Republicans at Milan and elsewhere, and would deprive the Sardinian monarchy of every advantage. The Ambassador at Paris, the Marquis Brignole, declared in words which later events have made still more remarkable ---

The essential character of the movement which agitates Italy, that distinguishes it from all that went before, is that it aims at being above all Italian. Each party deems itself called upon to direct it, and to concentrate in one last attempt all the scattered efforts which would be fruitless separately, but there is no one that

desires to substitute France for Austria. It is necessary that it should be well understood in France, that if the army of the Republic crosses the Alps without being summoned by events, by interests, and by desires, the influence of France and of French ideas would be lost in Italy for a long time. Throughout Northern Italy, as at Florence, at Rome, and at Naples, everywhere except among the Republicans of Milan, they will not have the military aid of France until the day when a tremendous defeat has proved that Italy is unable alone to drive the Austrians over the Alps

Cavour was opposed to the Republican party which sympathised with France, but he condemned the policy of the maxim, L' Italia farà da sè. "Republics," he said, "have always pursued a policy of selfishness, and were never promoters of civilisation." His hopes were directed towards England. "My confidence in England rests partly on the honourable character of the statesmen to whose hands the reins of power are committed—on Lord John Russell and on Lord Palmerston. Lord John Russell, I will say it openly, at the risk of being considered more and more an Anglo-maniac, is the most liberal Minister in Europe." As the war went on, the democratic party gained power, and Cavour was thrown out at the elections in January 1849 In December he recovered his seat. Azeglio was Minister, and Cavour supported him, separating himself farther from his old leader Balbo. That great man was opposed to the laws proposed by Siccardi on the civil condition of the clergy, which Cavour supported in a speech by which he gained great popularity, and which placed him in closer connection with the Left Centre, the party of Ratazzi, than with his original friends.

Hitherto he had not stood in the front rank. The revolutionary period afforded no opening for a man of his stamp. He was too far from the Conservatives to join in their resistance, and from the Democrats to join in their movement. In revolutions the extremes prevail, and Cavour detested both extremes. But the new reign opened a new career for men of the Centre, after Balbo had been thrust aside by the Revolution and Gioberti by the reaction, and the candidates for the leadership of the

new party were Azeglio and Cavour Less scrupulous both as regards political and ecclesiastical rights than the real Conservatives, but decidedly hostile to democracy and disorder, they nearly agreed in opinions, whilst they differed widely in character The energy, boldness, and ambition of Cavour inevitably placed him in a victorious opposition to his dignified, careless, and somewhat indolent rival He became Minister of Commerce in October 1850, and Minister of Finance in April 1851. His first administration was devoted chiefly to reforms in the fiscal system, which always bore with him a political character. "The political regeneration of a nation," he said, "is never separate from its economic regeneration. The conditions of the two sorts of progress are identical."

The commercial reforms of Sir Robert Peel had filled him with interest and admiration, and he had written an essay upon the consequences they would involve for Italy The lesson he learnt was the same as that which has been since put in practice in England by the ablest of Peel's disciples—to make the laws of economic science subservient to considerations of policy. Accordingly he concluded a series of commercial treaties, both for financial reasons and for the purpose of making friends for Sardinia in other States. In one respect his position differed remarkably from that of Mr Gladstone. The chief opponents of his commercial reforms were the democratic party. In Piedmont, finance is an instrument for democratic purposes, in England, questions of finance have reared democracy

The Government was opposed, therefore, by the extreme Left, and also by the extreme Right, in consequence of its ecclesiastical legislation. Azeglio relied on the support of the Right Centre, and sought to conciliate the Left by reforms in Church matters. The Left Centre, headed by Ratazzi, cared less for internal reform than for external aggrandisement, they were the aggressive party in the Parliament. During the war of 1848 Ratazzi, then in office, demanded the suspension of all securities of liberty, saying that there would be no greater danger of abuse of

power in the absence of those laws than with them. that time Cavour had declared that the Left wished to rule in Piedmont, as the Emperor Nicholas ruled at Petersburg. But when he had attained a leading position, the principles of these men suited his bold and active mind. A party who, in the desire for power, were ready to make a sacrifice of freedom, was the natural ally of a statesman who was ambitious of acquiring power by heroic means Azeglio had nothing but the canon law to sacrifice to them, Cavour offered them the destruction of international law, and they took the higher bribe. Hence, under Azeglio, the religious reforms were the question of the day; under Cavour they became secondary and subsidiary to the question of national aggrandisement The alliance was concluded on the occasion of the coup d'état. The new despotism seemed to menace its feeble neighbours, and a law on the licence of the press was proposed by the Government at Turin

"Sardinia," said the Prime Minister, "has gained great renown, now it must be our object to obtain obscurity. We are passing by a sleeping lion, and must tread softly—If one amongst us refuses to take the necessary precaution, we must compel him to be quiet; if the lion attacks us, we must defend ourselves"

The Right wished to go farther than the Ministers—to introduce into Piedmont the system of the 2nd of December, to curtail liberties, to alter the electoral law, and to abolish the National Guard—These events determined the breach between Cavour and the reaction and his alliance with Ratazzi,—an alliance similar to that by which, ever since the Reform Bill, the Whigs have obtained their majorities. On the 5th of February, without consulting his colleagues, Cavour, in a speech in defence of their proposal, publicly invited Ratazzi to combine with him, promising a national policy as the prize—The excitement was extreme, but no breach ensued until, on the 11th of May, Cavour propord and carried the election of Ratazzi as President of the Chamber.

He became by this manœuvre the leader of the more powerful party in Parliament, but he lost his place in the

Government, and Azeglio formed a new administration without him. There was no event of his public life, he said afterwards, of which he was prouder than this

So long as the Republic continued in France, so long as the fate of that nation seemed uncertain and the phantom of the Revolution was not put down, I could be sure that the reaction at home would undertake nothing for the destruction of our constitutional freedom. But when the 2nd of December removed the danger of disorder in France, when the red phantom had vanished, I thought that from that time forward the Constitution was more seriously menaced by that party than it had formerly been by the revolutionary faction. For this reason I deemed the formation of a great Liberal party not only right, but necessary and essential, and I invoked for that purpose the patriotism of all who agree in the great principles of progress and of freedoin, and who differ from each other only on subordinate questions.

He had already gained the good will of the Emperor Napoleon by his conduct in the debates on the freedom of the press During his retirement he visited Paris, and appeared with Ratazzi at the Tuileries That was the beginning of the league between the two friends who projected a national policy, and the ally who was to profit by their enterprise Cavour's dread of an alliance with Republican France did not apply to the alliance of Imperial France. The difference of principle had disappeared Meantime Azeglio attempted to prolong his tenure of power by new ecclesiastical changes, and by introducing a law on civil marriage, but the dismissal of Cavour had deprived him of the energetic support of the Radicals, and he could not prevail against the resistance of the Holy See and of the Catholic party He persisted, even after the Sardinian envoy in Rome had come to Turin without leave, to press on the Ministers the necessity of modifying their policy At length, on the 26th of October, he resigned The condition of the accession of the new Ministry was an altered tone towards Rome Archbishop of Genoa, who had full instructions from the Pope, was at this critical moment the chief counsellor of He wished that Balbo should succeed Azeglio, and when that hope failed, a fruitless attempt was made

by Alfieri di Sostegno. Cavour's turn then came First of all an attempt was made to bring about an understanding between him and the Archbishop. It failed, and the difficulty of the crisis seemed insuperable. But Cavour was master of the situation, and on the 4th of November he formed an administration untrammelled by any condition, which was joined twelve months later by Ratazzi. The programme of this famous Ministry was to use the Italian movement and the friendship of Napoleon III. for the advantage of Sardinia The ecclesiastical policy of Azeglio and Siccardi would be pursued or suspended, according to the exigencies which might arise in the pursuit of that more ambitious design In reality there was a close internal connection between aggression abroad and the oppression of the Church, and in Cavour's mind, as in that of many Italians, there was a strict union between Rome and Austria. From the speeches and writings of the Ministers we can discern how both were connected in his policy.

One of his biographers and admirers affirms that Cavour's notions of government and of freedom were English, not French, but he adds that he never displayed them in his policy, because circumstances hindered him from carrying them out beyond the department of finance—quantunque le quistioni ora di finanze, ora di politica, gli abbiano preoccupato l'animo, ed impedito di attuailo in altro che nelle sue consequenze economiche. In truth his policy was directed to the greatness of the State, not to the liberty of the people, he sought the greatest amount of power consistent with the maintenance of the monarchical constitution, not the greatest amount of freedom compatible with national independence. To this question of State, this ragion di stato, everything else but the forms of the government was to be sacrificed

Tocqueville has shown that the French Revolution, far from reversing the political spirit of the old State, only carried out the same principles with intenser energy. The State, which was absolute before, became still more absolute, and the organs of the popular will became more

efficient agents for the exercise of arbitrary power was the work, not of the Reign of Terror and the period of convulsion, which was barren of political results, but of the ideas of 1780, incorporated in that Constitution of 1701 which continued for seventy years the model of all foreign Constitutions, until Austria returned to the mediæval originals which England alone had preserved The purpose of all the Continental governments, framed on that pattern, is not that the people should obtain security for freedom, but participation of power increase in the number of those who share the authority renders the authority still more irresistible, and as power is associated with wealth, those who are interested in the augmentation of power cannot be interested in the diminution of expenditure and thus parliamentary government generally results in an improved administration and increased resources, but also in addition to the pressure and the expenses of the State. All this was singularly verified in Cayour's administration in Piedmont.

Like most of the continental Liberals, and like most men who are not religious, he considered the State as endowed with indefinite power, and individual rights as subject to its supreme authority, whilst, like the revolutionists in France, he accepted the legacy of absolutism left by the old régime, and sought to preserve its force under contrary forms. Societies are really divided not into monarchies and republics, but into democracies and aristocracies, whatever the form of Government, there are in fact only two types, organised and atomic society, and the commonest and most visible sign of the two is equality or inequality The real basis of inequality is the privilege of a part as contrasted with the rights of the whole, and its simplest essential form is the privilege not of class, but of age—that is, inheritance by primogeniture. Nothing else is required for an aristocracy, nothing else can create an aristocracy Cavour, though a noble, and an enemy of democracy, was a decided assertor of its fundamental principle. "Civil equality," he wrote in Il Risorgimento, "is the great principle of modern society" The statute

Austria was a war of deliverance, not a war of principles. Balbo wished the Austrians to be expelled, not out of hatred against them, but for the sake of Italy, and he wished that Austria should obtain on the Lower Danube and in the Turkish dominions an equivalent for the loss of her Italian provinces. With Cavour, the patriotic cause became an antagonism of political principles The Austrian system was diametrically opposed to his ideas, not only when it was oppressive under Metternich, but when the great internal changes were commenced by the Concordat which have been carried out by Schmerling in the Constitution of the Empire. The Austrian notions of liberty were as hateful to him, in their way, as the Austrian absolutism had been, and the strength of his hatred increased as the emperor proceeded with his reforms "Thanks to our political system," he said in the Parliament, 6th May 1856, "which King Victor Emmanuel has introduced and maintained, and which you have supported, we are farther removed from Austria than ever." In opposition to the policy of Balbo, he wrote in favour of the union of the Danubian Principalities -

Austria has long had her eye fixed on the banks of the Danube.

Can it be believed that two small States, weakened by separation, will be able to resist her ambitious and aggressive policy? The influence of the Cabinet of Vienna will produce in the Principalities, especially at Bucharest, effects similar to those which are exhibited in the secondary States of Italy

The relations between Austria and Piedmont grew more and more unfriendly and bitter, when the Crimean war broke out, and the Western Powers became most anxious for the support of the Austrian arms In the course of negotiations it was made a condition of the Austrian alliance that the safety of her Italian dominions should be guaranteed whilst her armies marched against the Russians. Sardinia would thus have been overreached, and the proposal of Lord Clarendon, that she should join the Western Powers, was extremely welcome. The arrangement with Austria was concluded on 22nd

December 1854, that with Sardinia on 26th January 1855. The Western alliance, said Lord Palmerston, thus became a league against tyranny. The first proposal having come from the Great Powers, Piedmont, having no prospect of immediate advantage, was able to make tacit stipulations for a later reward. The same condition which had been granted to Austria was also conceded to Sardinia, and there a defensive alliance was formed

In immediate connection with the strain which this ambitious policy laid on the finances, came the secularisation of the religious Orders The debate began on 9th January 1855, in the midst of the negotiations with the Western Powers "The Budget," said Cavour, "could no longer provide for the support of religion" Financial reasons made an extreme measure necessary, in order that the expenditure of the State might be diminished and its resources increased, whilst the large number of poor and active priests would be enriched out of the property of the useless Orders, and out of the superfluity of the wealthier clergy. The moment was also perilous, from the combination of the democrats with the Conservatives against the Crimean war Brofferio declared that they ought rather to have allied themselves with Russia, which was the only Power in Europe representing national independence. The act of spoliation was an instrument against this alliance.

"If we did not present," said the Minister, "a measure demanded by the majority of public opinion, we might have lost at a critical moment the support of the Liberals as well as that of the Reactionists. The postponement of this measure would alienate the first without conciliating the second. By presenting the law we secure the support of the Liberals, and the country will be united and powerful against every trial."

It is obvious that, whenever similar conjunctures should recur, the same policy would be pursued against all Church property The Bill became law on 25th May 1855, and on 26th July the Pope declared that all who had proposed, approved, or sanctioned it had incurred excommunication.

The ideal of Cavour was the French system of dependence of the clergy on the Government as their paymaster. He was with the king on his journey through Savoy when the Archbishop of Chambéry concluded an address in these words:—

Your Majesty has seen in France a noble example of intimate union between the authorities and the clergy, and we trust that you will bestow this great benefit on your country by putting an end to the persecution of the Church by the Government

Victor Emmanuel, in his reply, took advantage of the opportunity afforded by this imprudent speech:—

You are right in quoting the relations between Church and State in France as a good example. I am so thoroughly convinced of it that I am resolved to place the clergy of my kingdom on the same footing as that of France.

The union between the ecclesiastical and the Austran question was made closer by the conclusion of the Austrian Concordat. The oppressed clergy of Piedmont looked to Austria as the ally of the Church, and doubly therefore the enemy of Piedmont On the other hand, the Government believed that the Holy See, strengthened by its recent triumph, would be little disposed to give way to Piedmont, and would be more uncompromising than before Whilst, therefore, the abandonment of the Josephine system at Vienna widened the breach with a Government which was walking in the footsteps of Joseph II., it heightened at the same time the antagonism Boncompagni went to between Turin and Rome. Florence with the mission to prevent the conclusion of a Tuscan Concordat, and to support the revival of the Leopoldine laws. Cavour said -

We must wait till an improvement in the Roman Government reconciles people's minds with the Sovereign of those States, confounded in popular opinion with the Head of the Church This opinion is shared by the eminent men of France and other countries, who formerly blamed, but who now approve, our conduct on these questions This result we owe to the Austrian Concordat, and for this reason we must rejoice at that act.

The discontent of Romagna afforded a convenient

diversion in the contest with Rome, which was ingeniously used at the Congress of Paris The Sardinian Plenipotentiary took no share in the negotiations on the peace, he was waiting for an opportunity to obtain the reward for which he had joined in the war When that opportunity arrived, he used it solely to discuss the state of Romagna That was where the Papal and the Austrian interests were combined, and where he could strike both his adversaries with the same blow Minghetti sent him from Bologna the materials for his memorandum, in which be recommended things grateful to French ears-secular administration, conscription, and the Code Napoleon It must be remembered that at that time the belief was gaining ground in Romagna, and was shared by the informants of Cavour, that it would soon be annexed to the Austrian On his return to Turin he said of his mission dominions to Paris -

We may rejoice at one great result. The Italian question has become for the future a European question. The cause of Italy has not been defended by demagogues, revolutionists, and party men, but has been discussed before the Congress by the plenipotentianes of the Great Powers.

Mamiani declared that the Holy Alliance was at an end and Italian nationality recognised, as the Minister of an Italian State had been heard in the Congress pleading for Italy

Whilst the reforms in Austria increased the bitterness with which she was regarded by the Liberal Ministers in Piedmont, their position towards Russia became extremely friendly. No incompatibility of political ideas was felt at that time between them. The intensity with which Austria was hated by Prince Gortschakoff made him recognise an ally in the Cabinet of Turin, and a marked difference was made at Moscow, after the peace, in the consideration shown to the Sardinians, compared to their former position, as well as to their English and Austrian colleagues. Hatred of Austria was not, however, the only recommendation of Piedmont in the eyes of Russia

The period which followed the Congress of Paris was

marked by a great increase in the Catholic party at Turin They threw out, in May 1856, a Bill placing all education under the control of the State, and, in order to diminish their opposition, Ratazzi retired from office In 1858 the crime of Orsini obliged Cavour to introduce a conspiracy Bill, like our own, in which he encountered the resistance of the Left, but by which he strengthened the bonds of union with Napoleon.

This measure called forth a letter from Mazzini to Cavour, dated June 1858, in which the writer exhibits his own character and system as truly as he describes that of his antagonist, and which is one of the most expressive documents of the Italian movement

"I have long known you," he begins, "more solicitous for the Piedmontese monarchy than for our common country, a materialist worshipper of the event more than of any sacred and eternal principle, a man of an ingenious rather than a powerful mind. To that party whose extraordinary vitality is now admitted even by yourself, in the teeth of your friends who declared it at every moment dead and buried, Piedmont owes the liberty she enjoys, and you owe the opportunity of making yourself the useless and deceitful defender of Italy"

This is so far true, that the notion of Italian unity belonged originally to Mazzini, not to the Italian Liberals; and that the success of the Roman movement, which the sect encouraged and then diverted, gave the impulse to the reforms of Charles Albert. The tone of Cavour, in speaking of the sanguinary practices of the sect, provoked a passionate but elaborate vindication of their theory—

I loved you not before, but now I scorn you Hitherto you were only an enemy, now you are shamefully, infamously my enemy.

I believe that in principle every sentence of death—no matter whether applied by an individual or by society—is a crime, and if it were in my power I should deem it my duty to abolish it.

The abolition of capital punishment is an absolute duty in a free country—But so long as war for the deliverance of one's country shall be a holy thing, or the armed protection of the weak against the powerful tyrant that tramples on him, or the defence by every means of the brother against whom the assassin's knife is raised, the absolute inviolability of life is a lie.

I see among your supporters, among those who cry out against the newly invented

theory of the dagger, men who, before 1848, were active leaders of the Carboneria. But Young Italy banished the dagger, and condemned even the perjurer only to the horror of his brethren There must be law or war, and let him conquer who can every bond is broken between the law and the people of the State, force is sacred wherever it undertakes, by whatever means they may be, to reconnect the one with the other Where the equipoise is lost between the power of one and the power of all, every individual has the right and the mission to cancel, if he is able, the occasion of the mortal defect, and to restore the equipoise. Before the collective sovereignty the citizen reverently pleads his own cause, before the tyrant rises the tyrannicide-divanti al tiranno sorge il terannicida Is there not between the tyrant and the victim of his oppression a natural and continual war? the tyrant, if on his death depends the emancipation of a people, the welfare of millions, is an act of war, and if the slayer is free from every other thought and gives his life in exchange, an act of If the malediction of a tortured people, miraculously concentrated into poison, could, instantly and without time for resistance, destroy all those who contaminate with their stupid tyranny, with the tears of mothers, with the blood of honest men, the soil that God has given us, the malediction would be sanctified before God and man

This theory, that a tyrant is an outlaw, is an ingenious adaptation of the old doctrine of tyrannicide, which was borrowed from pagan and Jewish antiquity, and maintained of old in the schools from John of Salisbury to Mariana. The distinction between the two theories is, that whilst the divines held the tyrant condemned by actual law and implicitly sentenced by a visible tribunal, Mazzini, by means of his doctrine of popular sovereignty, invokes no higher decision than the individual subjective will fortunately, guilty acts may be very easily justified by an obscure theory, and the crimes of Clément, Ravaillac, Guy Fawkes, were as horrible as those of Milano, Pianori, or Orsini, and it is not easy for the vulgar mind to distinguish between killing and murder, between the assassination of William the Silent or of Wallenstein, and that of Henry IV or of Rossi The doctrine is pernicious and perilous at best, as Mazzini defines it, it is untenable, because it is founded on the democratic principle. An outlaw may be slain, and it may be said that a sovereign who unites the guilt of usurpation with

the guilt of tyranny is an outlaw at war with society; but he must be tried by public law, not by private judgment, and the act must be in acknowledged obedience to the laws by which society is bound, not to an arbitrary code. Private vengeance in a savage community is the commencement of civil law, in a civilised society it is the inauguration of barbarism. The crime of Mazzini lies not so much in the theory of the dagger as in the principle by which that theory is applied, and he sacrifices even the speculative basis of his view by denying, with Robespierre, that society has any jurisdiction over life and death

"Victor Emmanuel," he declares, "is protected, first by the statute, then by his insignificance—prima dallo statuto por dalla nessuna importanza. Even mutilated and often betrayed by you, the liberty of Piedmont is protection enough for the days of the king. Where truth can make its way in speech, where even, though by sacrifices, the exercise of one's duties is possible, regicide is a crime and a folly"

He defines the difference between himself and the party of Cavour, of the monarchical revolutionists, in a manner extremely remarkable

If life is sacred, how as to war?... Did you not send forth two thousand of our soldiers' lives to be lost on the fields of the Crimea in battles not your own, solely because you discerned in that sacrifice a probability of increasing in Europe the lustre of the Sardinian Crown? So long as I behold your laws constructed to protect the life of the man who was at war with his country and with the liberty of Europe, and who reached the throne over thousands of dead, and not for the good of the slaughtered people,-so long as I see you silent and mert before every crime crowned with success, and without daring for nine years once to say to the invader of Rome, "In the name of the rights of Italy, quit this land that is not yours,"-I shall deem you hypocrites, and nothing more. they not conspire with me for ten years in the name of a regenerating faith-the men who in your Chamber quote Machiavelli to prove that politics know no principles, but only calculations of expediency and opportunity? Do not the journalists of your party recite the daily praises of Bonaparte, the tyrant in possession, whom they contemned when he was merely a pretender? Are not you ready to betray your country, and to cede Southern Italy to Murat, in order that the Empire may secure to you a compensation in land which is beyond your frontier? Partisans of opportunity, you have no right

to invoke principles-partito d' opportunisti, voi non avete diritto d' unvocate frimespit, worshippers of the fait accompli, you may not assume the garb of priest of morality. Your science lives in the phenomenal world, in the event of the day-you have no ideal vostra scienza vivi sul fenomeno, sull' incidente dell' oggi, non avete ideale Your alliances are not with the free, but with the strong, they rest not on notions of right and wrong, but on notions of immediate Materialists, with the name of God on your lips, enemies in your hearts, but ostensible venerators of the words of the Pope, seeking by desire of aggrandisement to break those treaties of 1815 on which you rely to deprive the people of the right of insurrection, -between you and me there is no difference but this one I say, holy is every war against the foreigner, and I reverence him that tries it, even though he succumb, you say, holy is every war that succeeds, and You heaped insults on the bold people of Milan you insult the fallen on the 6th of February, you would have proclaimed them magnanimous saviours of their country if they had prevailed Surely you do not deem that a people subject to foreigners, and capable of delivering itself, may not do it, simply because the arms that are left in its hands have not a given length If the people of Italy brandished their knives to the cry, Viva il re Sardo' and conquered, you would embrace them as your brethren. And if they conquered even without that cry you would embrace them the next day, in order to take advantage of their success

And then, in that tone of prophecy which he often affects but has seldom assumed so successfully, he says —

Piedmont is not a definite, limited State, living of its own vitality. It is Italy in the germ—It is the life of Italy, concentrated for a time at the foot of the Alps—Italy, whatever happens, cannot become Piedmont.—The centre of the national organism cannot be transferred to the extremity—The heart of Italy is in Rome, not in Turin No Piedmontese monarch will ever conquer Naples, Naples will give herself to the nation, never to the prince of another Italian province—The monarchical principle cannot destroy the papacy, and annex to its own dominions the States of the Pope.

In all this declamation there is not a little truth. It is hard to show the error of the conclusions drawn by Mazzini from premises which he holds in common with Cavour There is a vast difference between the amount of misery inflicted by the French Revolution and by the absolutism of the old monarchy, but there is an intense similarity of features and character between the crimes of the Revolutionists and the crimes of the Legitimists. The

ancient monarchy does not stand higher in political ethics than the republic, and it is only from the habits and sympathies of a society accustomed to monarchy that we judge more lemently the partition of Poland, the suppression of the Jesuits, the lettres de cachet, and the royal police—which enforced, like the master in the fable, a perpetual tribute of the daughters of the defenceless class of Frenchmen—than we judge the horrors of the period of vengeance. There is not much to rejoice at that the same wrong should be committed by a constitutional Minister instead of a republican, for the sake of monarchy instead of democracy Monarchy is not essentially connected with order, nor democracy with disorder, nor constitutionalism with liberty. Blinded by our superstitious belief in forms, we forget that the destruction of the faith of treaties, the obliteration of the landmarks of States, the spoliation and oppression of the Church, the corruption of religion, the proclamation of unjust wars, the seizure of foreign possessions, the subversion of foreign rights, -all these are greater crimes and greater calamities than the establishment of republican institutions,—and all this has been done by a constitutional Minister, and Mazzini, who has seen the best part of his purpose accomplished for him by those who denounce him as a criminal and a fanatic, has no instrument of agitation remaining to him but the Republic. Cavour made him powerless, simply by making him superfluous, and allowed him to do nothing, by doing his work for him He triumphed while he lived, because the governments are as corrupt as the demagogues, and because the revolution was his weapon instead of his foe. But he saved Italy from no evil except the Republic, and the highest praise that men can give him is, that he died like Mirabeau, when he alone could yet preserve the monarchy. He had destroyed things more precious than monarchy, and he had trampled on rights more sacred than the crowns of kings

The crime of Orsini was skilfully turned to account by the Italian refugees, who surrounded the Emperor On his icturn from the opera he saw the prefect of police, Pictri, who has since been so instrumental in advancing the acsigns of his master in Italy. Pictri was received with a storm of frantic rage, and the calmness which the Emperor had exhibited in the moment of peril, and during the time that he remained in public, gave way to a passion of anger such as terror alone can inspire an old conspirator, perceived in this unwonted humour an occasion for the realisation of those schemes for which he and Prince Louis Napoleon had formerly intrigued, and for which Orsini had just exposed his life. There was no security for the Emperor, he said, until he had achieved something for Italy Thus the instinct of self-preservation and of ambition coalesced with the projects of Cavour, and Napoleon resolved to promise the aid which had been so long and so earnestly demanded. The Picdmontese Minister had succeeded in preparing his country for war by creeting new fortifications, and in persuading the more politic of his friends that the danger of bringing French armies into Italy would be balanced by the resistance of England and of the other Powers In July he accepted the Emperor's invitation to Plombières, and on his return he gave to his countrymen the signal for action began that vast intrigue of the party of national union in Central Italy by which the popular insurrections were organised which broke out simultaneously with the war, and by which one part of the French designs was effectually baffled Service in the National Guard was made compulsory on all men under thirty-five, and a severe system of discipline was introduced. On the occasion of the marriage of the Princess Clotilda, the Deputy Sinco made a declaration of political principles, which were those of his leader —

In accepting this union the ancient dynasty of Savov pays a new homage to the principles consecrated in France in 1789, which constitute to this day the basis of the public law of that nation. Let us endeavour to seal anew the solemn and indelible compacts by which Charles Albert united his dynasty with the cause of the liberty and independence of nations

Mamiani spoke quite as suggestively :---

If there is provocation, it exists on both sides, it is not in the facts only, but in the moral order On this side of the Ticino there is liberty, beyond it slavery. Here everything is done to secure the dignity of our country, there, to oppress it. That is the real provocation, which cannot be prevented.

In order to identify himself entirely with the event, Cavour took everything into his own hands, at the opening of hostilities he was President of the Council, Minister of the Interior, of Foreign Affairs, and of War. His resignation after the Peace of Villafranca added vastly to his popularity, and he returned to office afterwards with redoubled power, but at a time of still greater difficulty. It was now his part to finish the work which France had left undone; to accomplish alone, and in defiance of his ally, what Napoleon had pronounced impossible; to conclude the revolution without permitting the triumph of the revolutionary party, which had been deemed so formidable on the morrow of Solferino, to prepare for the treaty of Zurich the fate which had overtaken the treaties of Vienna

A paper was circulated among the Great Powers, bearing no signature, and appealing to their interest in the independence of Italy from France, in order to justify the annexation of the Duchies It was the last attempt to save Savoy and Nice, which the principles of annexation by popular suffrage, and of national unity, required as a penalty for the Italian Revolution. retribution, it happened that the conduct of the Ministry in the course of the negotiations in which this sacrifice was made, was as ignominious and dishonourable as that by which they had gained their ambitious ends in Italy Circumstances rendered their position hopeless, they themselves made it infamous On the 10th of January 1860, the new governor of Savoy received the Municipality of Chambéry, with the assurance that "in Turin there had never been a question of surrendering Savoy to France." On the 18th the organ of the annexionists, the Avenir de Nice, declared -

We reject with still preater confidence that the annexation of Nice to France is certain—the time of its accomplishment is a question not of month, but of days

The editor was told to leave the country, and then forgiven. On the 20th the Governor of Savoy said.—

The policy of the Government is sufficiently known in his never entering ned the design of surrendering Savoy. As to the party which has started the que non of separation, it is useless to give it an answer.

On the 3rd of February Sir James Hudson writes that he had seen Count Cavour, who expressed his astonishment at the report about the annexation of Savoy, and declared that he did not I now how it could have arisen. He wondered, he said, at the change of opinion among many people in Savoy, who wished to join France before the war and were now against it. Sardinia, he averred, had never had the remotest intention of surrendering, selling, or exchanging Savoy. On the 24th, the French Government wrote to Turin, that if Sardinia incorporated in her dominions part of Central Italy, the possession of Savoy became a geographical necessity for the protection of the French frontier. Sardinia lost no time in replying.

March and. We feel too deeply what Italy owes to the Emperor, not to consider most earnestly a demand which is founded on the principle of respect for the wishes of the people. At the moment when we are loudly insisting on the right of the inhabitants of Central Italy to decide on their own fate, we cannot refuse to the subjects of the king beyond the Alps the right of freely expressing their will, and we could not refuse to recognise the importance of their demonstration, expressed in a legal way and consistently with the directions of Parliament.

The last words were omitted in the *Monteur*, as France did not wish the transaction to be left to the Chambers, to which Cavour looked as the last resource, to prevent the loss or to share the blame.

These matters were hardly settled when a prospect of compensation opened out in Southern Italy Early in the year Mazzini had offered to Victor Emmanuel to create

a rising in the Neapolitan dominions, on condition of receiving indirect assistance. The Government of Turin was not ready to incur the chances of a new war, time was needed to consolidate the State and to reorganise the army But it suited the policy of France that the delivery of the South should not be the work of Sardınıa, and that she should not enjoy the fruit of it. Cavour could not resist the pressure of the Republicans supported by the connivance of France, and he determined so to conduct himself as to turn the enterprise to his own advantage. This he accomplished in a way which was a triumph of unscrupulous statesmanship Gambaldi went forth as the instrument of a party that desired a Republican Italy and of a power that desired a Federal Italy, and he did the work of monarchy and unity When Palermo had fallen, the Piedmontese party insisted on annexation. Garibaldi refused to surrender the dictatorship, which he required in order to complete the conquest of the mainland "Garıbaldi," said La Farına, follow only after the "wished the annexation to deliverance of all Italy, including Rome and Venice." He thought that by retaining the power in his own hands he would be able ultimately to compel the Turin Government to follow him against the Pope and the Quadrilateral, and his Mazzınist allıes supported him, in order that the deliverance might be achieved by the revolution alone, and that the revolution might then be master of Italy. La Farma, Cavour's agent with Garibaldi, and the head of the national party organised by Manin, which aimed at unity without democracy, was forced to give way.

"I openly and quietly informed the General," he says, "of the reasons of my discontent He treated me kindly at first, but he reproached me with my friendship for Cavour, my approbation of the treaty of cession, and my opposition to his design on Central Italy"

Garibaldi sent him to Genoa, and declared that he would retire rather than annex Sicily to Sardinia before his work was done. "I came to fight for the cause of Italy, not for Sicily alone." If the annexation of Sicily had been obtained Cavour could have postponed the attack on Naples, and the imminent quarrel with the Power that held Rome. At Naples Garibaldi was entirely in the hands of the Republicans, and in open hostility to the Turin Ministry, and he declared that he was resolved to go on to Rome, and to deliver Italy in spite of them,—piaccia & non piaccia ai fotenti della teria.

In this extremity, with the Mazzinists masters of the situation by their influence over Garibaldi, with the prospect of a breach with France, of an attack on Rome,—which would make peace with the Catholics impossible for ever,—of a great democratic movement and an untimely war, Cavour took that desperate resolution which, next to the introduction of the French into Italy, is the most important of his whole career—In defiance of the angry protests of all the great Powers, and of the traditions and forms of the law of nations in time of war, he decreed the invasion of the Roman and Neapolitan dominions

"If we are not in La Cattolica before Gambaldi," he wrote, 11th September, "we are lost, the revolution would spread all over Italy. We are compelled to act."

On the same day Cialdini entered the Marches, and Cavour found himself at last master of Italy, reaping where Mazzini and Napoleon had sown. His triumph was completed when Garibaldi carried his opposition into the Chamber

Our purpose has been, not to give a biographical account of the life of Cavour, but to point out the words and deeds most illustrative of his character. He conducted the Italian revolution with consummate skill, and his means were, on the whole, better than his end. The one great reproach against his foreign policy is, that he was the author of the Italian war, that he sought to deliver Italy from foreign oppression. And yet great part of Italy was atrociously misgoverned, and the misgovernment was due to the presence of the Austrians. A vast pressure weighed down religion and literature.

society was penetrated with corruption, self-government was almost unknown. Down to 1848 this was due to the Austrians. Their policy has to answer for the degradation of Italy, and for the perils which have befallen the Church. Nor has the change that has passed over the Empire in the reign of Francis Joseph brought any serious improvement in the condition of Italy For this the Italians alone are responsible, for they have rejected every advance, and have feared nothing so much as Austrian concessions The war of 1859 had not the moral excuse of the war of 1848. The justification of a rising against the old régime did not apply to the new. In the recent war Austria was attacked, not because of misgovernment, but because of national antagonism. The first plea was fiercely repudiated by the Italian patriots, and that which they substituted is absolutely revolutionary and criminal. The fall of the other thrones followed, by the law of gravitation, when the Austrian supremacy was removed, and the reason urged against the government of the Pope and of the King of Naples, whether rightly or wrongly applied, was sound in principle, whilst Tuscany and Lombardy were taken from the Austrians on grounds which are in all cases false. The real charge against Austria was, that she prevented reforms in the States which she influenced, the misgovernment of these States was the chief weapon by which she was expelled. That Austria alone should be expelled, whilst the other sovereigns remained, would have been an inversion of the order both of ideas and of things The events of the last two years are secondary to the Italian war, and possess neither the same importance in principle nor the same proportion of guilt which give to that event its foul pre-eminence in modern history

But the policy of Cavour was revolutionary at home as well as abroad, and it is his notion of government and of the position of the State, more than his ambitious policy, that brought him into collision with the Church He was not intentionally a persecutor, or consciously

an enemy of religion Nothing in his whole life could justify a suspicion of the sincerity of his Christian end, or lead us to imagine that he would make any retractation The writings of Gioberti show how bitter a hatred of the elergy may, in Catholic countries, eoexist with an carnest faith Such sentiments, in the years that preceded the Reformation, were common among men who recoiled with horror from the heresy of Luther. the mind of an ambitious and keen-sighted statesman, inspired with the ideas and with the knowledge of his own age only, and aware of its aspirations and feelings, who finds that in all great questions of secular interest which he knows that he understands he is opposed by almost all the priesthood, and supported by the ablest men out of the Church, who has been accustomed from his youth to connect the clergy with a system of government which excites his just and honest indignation,—is not necessarily an unbeliever if he cannot distinguish between the party and the cause, and fails to discover the true solution of the great problem in which better men have gone astray He thought he could reconcile religion and modern society without injury to either, and he was mistaken, but not more grievously and fatally mistaken than the mass of those by whom he was denounced His ignorance of religion has been a great calamity, but not a greater calamity than his ignorance of the true nature of liberty. The Church has more to fear from political errors than from religious hatred In a State really free, passion is impotent against her In a State without freedom, she is almost as much in danger from her friends as from her enemies The annexation of all Italy under the Sardinian Crown would not have been, perhaps, so much an evil as a blessing to religion, if the political system of Sardinia had been sound compatibility of the Piedmontese laws and government with the freedom of the Church is the real danger in the believed himself to be, a liberal statesman, the Roman question would have lost much of its complication

State in which rights are sacred, in which the independence of the two orders is a fundamental and essential principle, in which property is secured, and in which government usurps no social functions, where, in short, the Episcopate is safe in the discharge of its duties and in the enjoyment of its rights, from the encroachments of a hostile or patronising sovereign and from the changes and caprices of popular will; and where the sphere of religion is removed from the interference of the legislative as well as of the executive power, in that State, if such there be, it would be possible for the Holy See to enjoy perfect independence and immunity from even the suspicion of influence, supported by a system of domains and guaranteed by the public faith of Europe.

But Piedmont was more remote than many foreign countries from the character of freedom The spirit of her institutions was profoundly hostile to the Church, and she did great injury more by her laws than by her policy of these Cavour was not the author; Azeglio and others are as deeply responsible as he. It is the common policy of foreign Liberals, founded on those ideas of 1789, which are in irreconcilable opposition with liberty and with religion. Unfortunately those among the Italian clergy who, considering religious interests, ardently desire an extensive change, seem hardly aware of the real nature of that constitutional government which promises so much but commonly fulfils so imperfectly its promise, and there is as much to deplore in the partiality of one party of Catholics for the internal policy of Cavour as in the injustice of others towards his feelings of religion

Cavour had seen the clergy in alliance with a tyrannical government, and he dreaded their influence in the State. He deemed that the Austrian supremacy and the temporal power must stand and fall together, and he united them in the same attack. He was a stranger to that fierce animosity which inflames so many of his countrymen, and especially that party whom he most resolutely opposed But he did much of their work for them, impelled by very different motives, and aiming at a widely

different end. At any time he would have been ready to sacrifice ecclesiastical as well as any other rights, if they were obstacles to the accomplishment of his purpose. He had been Minister for several years when Gallenga wrote of his administration.

Since the legislative power was talen from the hands of the Crown, gaming, thest, robbery, and all other crimes have increased greatly, the Government plays and sports with public morality. Whist whole bands of robbers steal with impunity, the Ministry says that the police are not yet organised. One Minister coolly proposes to sacrifice the fat monks, and to spare the lean ones for a time, and makes of every sacred principle a mere question of sinance. Our Constitution was dictated by haste and uncertainty, not to say by confusion, despondency, and disorder. Never before was there a real tyranny in the land.

His enthusiastic biographer, writing in the last year of his life, says —

Certainly the internal administration does not proceed with order and expedition in any of the Italian provinces. Assuredly in every part of it there are many errors, old and new, to be repaired. Assuredly the decay of the finances is appalling, and makes it necessary to require the people to make sacrifices for liberty before they have felt and discovered from her benefits that she is a goddess

The political ideas which have led to so much evil are common to the majority of Liberals with Cavour. But whilst few possessed his ability and courage, he was more free than many others from passion and from ill-will towards those whom he thrust aside from his path, and whilst he was resolute in the pursuit of certain practical ends to which he was enthusiastically devoted, he disliked extremes, and was never carried away by the wish of realising a theory and completing a consistent system In all this he was far superior to the men who are to carry on his work, and he is justly regretted by all parties While the Revolutionists have to fear that the cause of national unity will fail in less powerful hands, the Catholics have to fear that many fierce passions will be let loose which he restrained, and that principles will be carried to their worst results which had no power over the practical mind of Cayour.

## VII

## THE CAUSES OF THE FRANCO-PRUSSIAN WAR 1

THE Bismarck revelations are studiously calculated to confuse the central problem of his career, the responsibility for the war of 1870. All the voluminous literature regarding Moltke and Roon ignores the question, and the significant suppression of the memoirs of Bernhardi, Bismarck's agent in Spain, shows that there is a secret still to be concealed

known, and men began to doubt whether he would live to consolidate the dynasty and to secure the succession for his son. In that year also the surrender of Lee altered the conditions of European politics. The victorious Americans, combining the forces of North and South, resolved to expel the French from Mexico, where they had set up an offending European monarch under cover of the Civil War. They appointed a general to command the army of Mexico, which was to be recruited largely among the Confederates, and to relieve the Union of a disturbing element, and they sent him to Paris to show his patent to the Emperor. Napoleon saw and understood. Without a struggle, without a protest, he recalled his army and left Maximilian to his fate.

The moment when he underwent this terrible humiliation was the moment when Prussia was preparing to fall upon Austria It was necessary for his existence on the throne to do something for his prestige. He would be ruined with the army if, after bringing them back from one disastrous failure in America, his policy exposed them to another in Europe. The French Empire was imperilled as much as the Austrian by the war of 1866 Napoleon made his choice, laid his plans, and did what other men have done before and since—he put his money on the wrong horse All his generals excepting two, Bourbaki and Berckheim, believed that the Austrians would win, and he accordingly came to an agreement with Austria for the dismemberment of Prussia and the division of the spoil He neglected to hedge He made no similar arrangement beforehand with the other side When his schemes were shattered at Koniggratz, he sought to make terms with the victor He urged that their victory was due to his neutrality and forbearance. The balance of power was overthrown, and he claimed compensation

Austria was not yet subdued Archduke Albrecht, crowned with the glory of Custozza, was on the march with the army which had defeated the Italians Cholera was in the camp Bismarck asked Moltke whether in

those circumstances he was willing to fight the French. In a paper, which is wonderful for its matter-of-fact simplicity, Moltke explained that he was quite willing It would not be possible, he said, to defend the frontier. But he undertook to meet the French army on equal terms after it had crossed the Rhine.

Fortified by this memorable statement, Bismarck determined to make peace at once with Austria, but to stand his ground as regarded France. The determination was quickly followed by the most dramatic incident in his life. The French demands came—When Pfordten, the Bavarian Minister, saw what they were, saw that Napoleon claimed the Palatinate, which is Bavarian territory, he threw himself into the arms of the hated Chancellor, and at once concluded the treaty of peace and the secret treaty of military alliance in time of war. With the French telegram in his hand, with the resolution to fight for the integrity of Germany, he created the force that conquered France and made the Empire.

Beust hurried to Paris, but found the Emperor so much weakened by disease and pain that he could not be roused to action. The American surrender had been followed by a European surrender. The Government was profoundly discredited, for, after miscalculating the issue of war, they had mismanaged the issue of diplomacy. Drouyn de l'Huys, the Minister who had insisted on the policy of compensation, resigned office. He was followed by the Minister of War. It was believed, rightly or not, that the want of a military demonstration to back the menacing demands was due to him. He was succeeded by Niel, who reorganised the army on a scheme of 400,000 men in line, 400,000 reserve, and 400,000 National Guards. It would take five years to complete the reserve and nine years for the Garde Nationale mobile.

Looking upon History as an affair of Reason, I do not assign these preparations for a war with Germany to national pride, or ambition, or the like irrational causes. The superiority of the German army was apparent, and it was due not only to an established organisation but

to excess of numbers. The population of France had almost ceased to increase. The population of Germany increased i ipidly. Four German children were born for one Freach. Berthelot pointed out that France possessed not only fewer children, but more old men. There were fifty-eight Prussians unfit for service to a hundred French. A leading newspaper computed that Germany already had \$8,000 valid recruits annually more than France. A deputy argued that the German army, in a few years, would exceed the French by \$00,000. The power that was already formidable would soon be overwhelming, and France would be at its inercy. So far as politics can be reduced to figures the thing was clear.

If it followed from this that France must increase her armaments, it followed still more certainly that France must seek alliances Marshal Niel understood the situation. He admitted to General Jarras that they could never cope with Germany single-handed. He relied on a system of alliances either to make war impossible or to make it profitable. In April 1869 he said to the Empress, who constantly urged him to make the army ready for a conflict with their neighbour, "I have obeyed your orders, Madame. I am ready, and you are not." On the 5th January 1868, Benedetti wrote that things were growing urgent, that the effective unity of Germany would soon be accomplished, and could only be prevented with Austrian aid Prince Napoleon was sent to Berlin, and when he had failed to obtain an amicable understanding, sccret negotiations with other powers were begun and were carried on by the sovereigns themselves, behind the back of Ministers

Austria, governed by Beust, who personified the defeat of 1866, was the first and necessary ally. Austria would not move without Italy, would not move, that is, with Italy hostile in its rear. France and Italy were divided by Rome. Napoleon attempted to avoid the difficulty by allowing the Spaniards to occupy Rome instead of the French, but while this arrangement was in progress the friendly government of Queen Isabella was overthrown

Then the negotiations were resumed with Francis Joseph and Victor Emmanuel, and were suspended in June 1869. By that time the Emperor knew that the warlike support of both would be his, if he would pay the price. Italy had no ground of quarrel with Prussia, to run the risk it required compensation. There were two things the Italians desired—one was Rome, the other was the debatable land on the Austrian frontier. It was the policy of Beust that the price should be paid, not in Austrian territory, but at Rome, and he insisted that the Roman thorn should be taken out of the Italian foot. In other words, he required that France, not Austria, should be the loser, as France, not Austria, had the initiative in the warlike combination

The project which Napoleon left in abeyance in the summer of 1869 was taken up again early in 1870, not by France but by Austria, and not by the Austrian Government but by the Court. The Archduke Albrecht, the most illustrious personage in the Empire, not only the head of the army, but the head of the war party, the man who, in 1866, had not been granted the opportunity of measuring swords with Moltke, made a tour in the south of France, and it was announced that he would go home by way of Paris, as the convenient route to Vienna. conferred with the Emperor, assured him that a war without Austrian help would be hopeless, which was true, and proposed his strategic conditions. Nothing was settled at the time. A new Ministry had come into office on and January, which was not only constitutional, but liberal and pacific, pledged not to tolerate personal government and not to oppose the union of Germany if it was desired by Germans. The visit of the Archduke was a defiance of both pledges Some months before, when the correspondence between the monarchs had been interrupted, Napoleon had sent his confidential aide-de-camp, Fleury, to see what could be obtained from Russia the man who carried through the coup d'état when Louis Napoleon wavered. He was well received at St. Petersburg, and was making way when the new Minister, Daru, required that there should be no negotiation that was not

for an attack on Germany to be made in common, Austria coming into action three weeks later than France. During that interval the French would have to fight single-handed. To redress their inferiority, the fleet, after giving succour and encouragement to Denmark, would threaten

the Baltic coast, and occupy a large Prussian force for the defence of Lubeck, Stettin, Danzig, and Konigsberg An Austrian army of 80,000 men collected on the Bohemian frontier, within striking distance of Berlin and of the lines connecting Silesia with the centre, would hold fast a larger number on the other side for the protection of vital parts Meanwhile, the French were to seize Kehl, make for the heart of Bavaria, and reduce the South to inaction Deducting the southern contingent and the two armies watching Bohemia and the sea, the Germans would lose the advantage of numbers, and France ought to maintain the struggle until Austria and Italy came to her support.

Lebrun had no political mission He was not instructed to discuss the means of bringing on the war, and he did not see Beust. But, on 14th June, he had secret audience of the Emperor at Schonbrunn, and received a communication of weightier import than the somewhat loose and visionary reasoning of the Archduke. Francis Joseph said that if France went to war for the declared purpose of delivering the South from the grasp of Prussia, the feeling of his people would compel him to take part in it This was a statesmanlike idea, for they would have the South on their side, and there were materials in the Southern States for dexterous manipulation In 1868 the Grand Duke of Hesse offered his possessions on the left bank of the Rhine to the Emperor. His Minister, Dalwigk, promised to find a pretext for French intervention in Germany He entreated the Emperor to cross the Rhine, and to take the offensive vigorously. At the first success all the South would march with him

What might have been done to detach the South by the arts of peace became apparent when the Bavarians debated the casus foederis. The Committee of the House of Deputies voted by 7 to 2 against the war credits, and by 6 to 3 in favour of armed neutrality. The Court, the aristocracy, the clergy, the mass of the country people dreaded to be ground in the mills of Prussia. The army and the manufacturers, scenting

increase of trade, were on the other side It was only by a tumult in the streets, by the overbearing vehemence of the President, by the production of a false telegram, that the Chamber was induced to reject the report of its Committee by 89 to 58, and to carry the war credit by 101 to 47 The archives of the Prussian legation were packed so that they could be despatched in a moment. At the Austrian legation a list of new Ministers was in readiness, who were pledged to resist the Prussian demand for co-operation The Prime Minister himself, who was in office at the time, proposed neutrality at Paris. eagerly adopted the English proposal for a general agreement excluding the members of the reigning houses from other thrones The Prussians did not oppose the idea, for they denied that Leopold of Hohenzollern was a prince of the reigning house, but the French refused it for they had helped to seat his brother on the throne of Roumania. This minister, Count Bray, has spoken to me with bitter regret of his success on that occasion complained that neither France nor Austria gave him the means of proclaiming neutrality—Austria, because it desired to overthrow him and his colleague at the War Office, France, because Gramont was confident of gaining the first victory, and with it the support of the Southern States

Lebrun returned to Paris and made his report to the Emperor on the 21st June Napoleon was disappointed He said that the letters of Francis Joseph had justified him in expecting more than this He must have known already the inevitable slowness of the Austrian mobilisation from his conversations with the Archduke It would appear that the Austrian Emperor had promised more in their earlier correspondence. The limitation of the quarrel to a single issue selected by Austria compelled him to follow a policy which was not his own, and which Ollivier had emphatically repudiated. Besides, if the ally would only fight for one cause, what if the vigilant enemy should raise a conflict on another? It became his evident interest to do it at once, and to excite and inflame any

topic of dispute that would provoke resentment in France, before the scheme of a challenge on the ground of the Treaty of Prague could be matured—If Bismarck knew his business, that is, if he suspected what was brewing, he had the strongest inducement to precipitate matters without waiting until the enfeebled Emperor had constructed all his batteries—That was the result of the secret correspondence between crowned heads, of the conference with Archduke Albrecht, of the plebiscite, of the substitution of Gramont for Daru, of the mission of Lebrun The long intrigue passed suddenly into an acute crisis. It was necessary to be prepared for an immediate outbreak.

The next move of the great conspirator is most mysterious A few days after the interview with Lebrun, the specialists were called in for a consultation. They met on 1st July, and drew up a report which was signed by only one name. Their conclusions were unfavourable, indicating that an operation would be desirable Nélaton, the Sir Henry Thompson of France, did not wish to operate. Marshal Niel had died in his hands, and he was apprehensive of what happened, three years later, at Chislehurst. On the second day after the consultation the report was handed to the Emperor's physician It contained these remarkable words "The moment would be favourable for a more thorough examination, as the malady is not just now particularly acute" But then, why were they summoned? Apparently not because the suffering was worse than usual Therefore for some reason that was not pathological, but political Did the Emperor consult his experts because he wished to know whether he was fit to take the field in a certain impending event? That event was very near, for on the 3rd of July, the day when Conneau received the medical report, it became known that Leopold had accepted the crown of Spain The report was not produced, for it was too It was shown to the Empress only, and the Empress replied. Le vin est tiré, il faut le boire.

That is the contribution of France to our problem. For two years Napoleon had laboured in secret to raise up enemies to Germany, and to prepare a war for 1871 It was a question of security for France, since so much power had been concentrated in the hands of the most audacious and aggressive of men. That was a powerful and an honourable motive. There was also the just motive of discontent in the states of Southern Germany.

There was the same question of existence on the other In 1867 Bismarck averted war by concessions with regard to Luxemburg which somewhat damaged his popular renown In the following year the Spanish throne was vacant, and among possible candidates the name of Leopold of Hohenzollern was discussed He was not the choice of any party, but many names were put forward by royalists who did not accept Montpensier Early in 1869 Bismarck learned from Florence that Napoleon was preparing a triple alliance against him He sent Bernhardi to Spain to join the Prussian legation Theodor von Bernhardi had been sent on a similar mission to Italy in 1866, and was certified by Moltke as the best military writer in Europe. He was eminent also as an economist, a historian, and a politician, and it would have been hard to discover his equal in any European Cabinet What he did in Spain has been committed to oblivion Seven volumes of his diary have been published the family assures me that the Spanish portion will never appear The Monteur of 7th June 1870 described him as the man who arranged the affair with Prim The Austrian First Secretary said that he betrayed his secret one day at dinner Somebody spoke indiscreetly on the subject, and Bernhardi aimed a kick at him under the table, which caught the shin of the Austrian instead He was considered to have mismanaged things, and it was whispered that he had gone too far infer that he offered a heavy bribe to secure a majority in the Cortes Fifty thousand pounds of Prussian bonds were sent to Spain at midsummer 1870 During the siege of Paris they came over here to be negotiated, and I know the banker through whose hands they passed

The money was thrown away, as the question never came to a vote I associate this significant fact with the disgrace of the successful emissary.

But if Bernhardi was neglected by Bismarck, he received a distinction from Moltke in the presence of the army of which he might well be proud One of the war correspondents, Sala, I believe, has related what he saw on the day when the Germans entered Paris A group of four horsemen came out from the mass at the Bois de Boulogne, rode full speed up the rise, and were the first of their countrymen to pass under the Arc de Triomphe and gaze on the conquered city. The Telegraph goes on "In front, ten paces before the others, rode a young officer of about twenty, sword in hand The young fellow in the van looked so plucky, as he galloped with head well up and sabre in air, that I could not help admiring him If that youngster's mother could have seen him, she would have been proud of her son that day I asked the young hussar his name and regiment. He answered, 'Lieutenant Bernhardi of the 14th Hussars'" That was the reward of the man who obtained the offer of the Spanish crown, which brought the Germans to Paris

On 2nd January 1869 Bismarck wrote that war was inevitable, but the later the better In April Napoleon instructed Benedetti to say that the acceptance of the crown would be taken as a hostile act Benedetti had already spoken to Thill, the Prussian Minister of Foreign Affairs, and in May he spoke to Bismarck They both said as little as possible, and put him off with measured He warned his Government that he found grave cause for suspicion. Both Thill and Bismarck afterwards denied that these conversations had taken place, Thill adding, later on, that he only meant to say that he had forgotten. Stranger still, they were forgotten at Paris, as I was told by M de Courcel, who was at the Foreign Office at the time, and Benedetti was obliged to call attention to the despatches in which he had warned his Government But he did not warn Bismarck, as explicitly as he was intended to do, that the consequences would be very serious

At that time, however, no offer had been made, and no decision required to be taken The offer came in the autumn of 1869 Count Werthern, Prussian Minister at Munich, had been at the legation at Madrid, and knew Prim In September the Spanish deputy Salazar came to him with letters from Prim, and on the 17th Werthern took him to Weinburg, on the Lake of Constance, and introduced him, after nightfall and with every precaution, to the Prince of Hohenzollern His mission was to feel his way, and find out what hope there was of his son if the crown was formally offered to him Father and sons were against it, but the refusal was not a positive one Leopold stipulated that Spain should be tranquil, and that he should not be opposed by other claims Then, he might reconsider his reply In October Werthern came to Baden and urged the family not to reject such a future The father had previously stated that he never would consent, and that France could never allow it. Besides, they were not sure of the Cortes We are assured by Sybel, who had it from Werthern, that he did all this on his own responsibility, and that his Government did not hear of it till much later On the return of Salazar Prim tried several other candidates He applied at Florence for the King's younger son and at Harrow for the Duke of Genoa, who is said to have incurred animadversion from the headmaster for the distractions the prospect gave him

When the Italian princes had refused, and when order had been restored in the disturbed provinces, Salazar returned to Germany, this time with an official proposition addressed to the King of Prussia. The prize had gained in value The Government making the offer had suppressed the revolts both of Carlists and Republicans, and were masters of the country No complications were to be feared from rivals belonging to reigning families. In these circumstances Bismarck resolved to push the matter through. On 15th March a special consultation was held, and the royal family, with the public men who had taken part, dined with the Hohenzollern. The Ministers all favoured acceptance. No question arose of French

opposition, but at table Moltke's neighbour, Delbruck, asked him how it would be if Napoleon took it ill Moltke replied that it would be all right. Bismarck insisted that it was a duty to the Fatherland, that a friendly power on the Pyrenees would be a great advantage. The King was undecided. The Crown Prince warned his cousin that there was no intention of keeping him on the throne, that the whole thing was no more than a move in a game. Leopold refused. But it was resolved to send an intelligent observer to ascertain the state of feeling in Spain, and for this service Bismarck selected his own man, Lothar Bucher. He had become extremely eager. The Archduke was prolonging his stay at Paris; on the other hand, it might be well to come to blows while Daru and Ollivier were in office, for they would make alliance-difficult

At the end of May Bucher reported all well, and early in June the resistance of Prince Leopold was overcome Thereupon Bismarck requested Prim to renew his offer, and obtained the assent of King William. It was the time when Lebrun was at Schonbrunn. The King was annoyed at the obstinate recurrence of the question, having hoped that it was disposed of The Crown Princess wrote "I fear it is a sad mistake on the part of to our Oueen the Hohenzollerns" This is what we know from authentic documents on the German side. It is clear that Bismarck took up the Hohenzollern candidature when he knew of the grand alliance that was preparing, and when the enmity of France became dangerous But we cannot tell whether the idea occurred to him earlier. That he employed it to hasten the crisis before the hostile alliance was concluded, is certain

was at his country-house driving his family about in a brake. One day a messenger met him on the road with a despatch, which he read and pocketed without a word But as he presently knocked the wheel against a kerbstone the people inside began to suspect what the despatch contained At tea-time they knew For the Marshal struck the table, exclaiming. "With the South or without the South, we are a match for them!" and then rose and walked away.

The breaking out of hostilities at that moment upset all the Emperor's policy He had not concluded a single treaty Nevertheless there was no hesitation in resolving that there must be no Prussian King of Spain, even if it could only be prevented by a deadly struggle without allies. It was true that Prince Leopold was descended from Murat, that he was more nearly connected with the French Emperor than with the King of Prussia, that his ancestor, after Jena, had asked Napoleon to set him in the place of the reigning Hohenzollern, over what remained of the territory of Brandenburg But there was an unforgotten feud. The Empress had promoted a match between one of them and her cousin, the daughter of the Duke of Hamilton, and he had broken off the engagement at the last moment. The Emperor made an attempt to bring European opinion to hear, and solicited influence in every quarter He sent for Rothschild and asked him to obtain the friendly offices of the English Government A long telegram was sent over, which was deciphered by the present Lord Rothschild, who took it, after breakfast, on Wednesday, 6th July, to Carlton House Terrace. Gladstone was on the point of leaving his house to present Lord Granville as Foreign Secretary at Windsor, and his visitor drove him to the station in his brougham After a long silence he told Rothschild that he did not like to interfere with the choice of the Spaniards, but that he would probably be overruled in the Cabinet. The Ministry were divided. Bright would do nothing for Belgium; Lowe did not care what happened to Germany, Lord Granville asked himself what would be the position

of England with the French at Berlin Cardwell, at the War Office, estimated that they would get there in about six weeks. All agreed that the Germans had no chance, and that it would be doing them a service to get them out of the scrape They were taken by surprise. Lord Clarendon had known about the Hohenzollern project, and had spoken of it to the Queen, and the Queen informed the For Lord Clarendon died at the end of June. He had conferred with Moltke at Wiesbaden the year before, and learned from him that they expected to be at war shortly and to reach Paris in the way they afterwards did

So far as I know, Dean Church was nearly the first man in England who saw that the quarrel had been brought on by Bismarck, and what the Dean wrote in private was published in the Times, with much acuteness and some errors, by another divine, who took the name of "Scrutator," and carried on a skirmish with Max Muller. Sanderson, then a junior at the Foreign Office, drew the For they had information that iron same inference girders were ready in Germany, of the proper length to bridge the rivers on the road to Paris, and it is on a bridge of this sort, made with the proper measurements, that they crossed the Moselle above Metz, as was reported by Hozier The Government had no such suspicion, and the Edinburgh Review had an article in October, the authorship of which could not be doubtful for a moment, containing these words. "The whole proceedings of the French Government in the conduct of its controversy constituted one series of unrelieved and lamentable errors" By that time, however, a well-informed diplomatist, in the confidence of German headquarters, had written as follows, "From statements made to me con-30th September fidentially, I have obtained the certainty that the Hohenzollern candidature was deliberately arranged by Bismarck with a view of bringing on the collision with France in such a way as to make Germany appear to be acting on the defensive" Treitschke and Bernhardi at the time, and Bismarck in 1874, regarded the French aggression

as the effect of an Ultramontane plot, part of the same design as the Vatican Council, and in the same connection it was often represented as the act of the Spanish Empress, prompted by the prelates and chaplains of the Tuileries Bismarck affirmed it in the midst of the Cultur Lampf, to rouse a feeling against Rome. The same view made an impression on Ministers in London. Our agents in Alsace found the Protestants in a state of alarm, expecting a new St. Bartholomew, prodigal of stories of Catholic exultation and menace

The part played by the Empress is difficult to determine. Lord Granville wrote, 16th September, to Ponsonby "I am glad the Queen thinks of writing to the Empress Her misfortune is great, although it is much owing to herself-Mexico, Rome, war with Prussia" General Du Barail, one of the first men in France, says in his Memoirs "I am forced to acknowledge that she was the principal author of the war of 1870, if not the only one." She is reported to have said to Moceni, at Florence "As to the war they accuse me of having provoked, I can only say that it might have saved, that it ought to have saved, the Empire and the Papacy" When Thureau Dangin, the historian and academician, was here, he told me this. "Lebœuf, the Minister of War, inquired whether the Emperor was in a condition to go through a campaign Ollivier thereupon demanded to see the report of the physicians The Empress replied that the Emperor suffered from rheumatism, and might be unable to take the field in winter, but that was all She did not produce the document." Lord Malmesbury writes "Gramont told me that the Empress, a high-spirited and impressionable woman, made a strong and most excited address, declaring that war was inevitable if the honour of France was to be sustained She confessed to the Queen, with tears, that she was responsible for the declaration of war." Grant Duff questioned Emile Ollivier on the subject in 1874, but there is nothing about it in his published Diaries

He sent me the suppressed passage, which says that when he asked whether she had been for war, Ollivier answered, Passionnément. Lord Frederick Cavendish saw her at Chislehurst, and the same day he related to a friend of my own at Brooks's that she had admitted it was her As my informant did not know that Lord Richard Cavendish lived at Chislehurst, which explains the visit, I attach weight to his testimony, although Lady Frederick declares that her husband never spoke to the Empress Lastly, Parieu, the President of the Council of State, who was present at the Council referred to by Lord Malmesbury, says that when they were leaving she asked him what he thought of it. He replied that he wished England would do them the service of finding some way out of it. "M Parieu," said the Empress, "I am much of the same opinion" This is in a published book. But in a private letter he wrote to a person whom I know that her words were, C'est ma guerre à moi.

The action of our Government was this They discouraged the candidature, and remonstrated against it, advising that it should be withdrawn When that was done, they thought the German position a good one. Lord Granville wrote, 10th July "Under the menaces of the French it is difficult for North Germany to make a concession, or to discourage the Prince in his candidature." Nevertheless the Cabinet came to a decision which they communicated to the Queen which was taken very ill by the Germans It proposed that they should do for a consideration what they had already done unconditionally. For the Germans had withdrawn their candidature, and the King had expressed to Benedetti his approval of the measure But the French refused to withdraw their new demands. when Gramont persisted, regardless of our advice, Lord Lyons assured him that it made no difference in our sentiments His other despatches, during the crisis, were received with approbation, and an approving despatch always followed from the Foreign Office. No such reply

was given to this outrageous blunder For by that time the French Government was bent on war. At first the moderation shown by the King of Prussia in receding from his position, and accepting in patience so grave a repulse, made a bad impression at Paris, and was attributed to fear The Imperialists were elate If Prussia was willing to accept one humiliation, why not another? If one leek went down, why not two? They had gained, with the moral support of Europe, a great diplomatic victory They began to think it possible to extract something more from the situation. The Emperor said to Ingra "Public opinion in France would have preferred another solution—that is, war. But I recognise that this is a sufficient, a satisfactory solution, and removes every pretext for war-for the present" Rothschild received this telegram "The Prince has given up his candidature The French are satisfied" The Prime Minister announced peace, with effusion, and was positively triumphant. This was not the purpose of the majority They wished to upset him, they found that he was consolidated They declared that the withdrawal was no satisfaction, and announced an interpellation Gramont proposed to retain office, sacrificing Ollivier and other colleagues He put himself on the side of those who wished for further concessions, even at the risk of war. It had been a deliberately hostile act, a meditated offence, long and carefully prepared, insolently denied It demanded reparation malefactor could not be allowed quietly to withdraw, and to say that it was all right

The King was not really committed He had sanctioned the withdrawal, but he had also sanctioned the candidature, leaving the initiative of deciding in both cases to Prince Leopold He was quite free to do the same thing, and to sanction a second acceptance as he had done the first. He held in his hands a convenient casus belli, to be used or dropped at pleasure. The argument was rather subtle, but it would be used with effect in the Chamber against the Ministry It was better that it should be used by the

disapproval of Europe What he had prepared with such an expenditure of force and skill was now abandoned without a word, and without his assent. He had already forwarded Eulenburg to Ems to stiffen the back of the King, he now followed, intending to resign, or to try resignation When he got to Berlin he had some friends to dinner; and although they were the two strongest men on earth, when they heard of the surrender of Ems they hung their heads, like Heine's grenadiers came the second despatch, with the audience refused, and the situation was saved. The journey to Ems became unnecessary He drew his long pencil and altered the text, showing only that Benedetti had presented an offensive demand, and the King had refused to see him That there might be no mistake, he made this official by sending it to all the embassies and legations Moltke exclaimed. "You have converted surrender into defiance" All three knew that war must follow Bismarck asked how it would be. The Marshal answered, "Only let me command in France, and the devil may fetch this old carcase as soon as he likes" Roon was equally confident. Two days later, when the King arrived at the Potsdam terminus, he held the deciding council on the platform, surrounded by a throng of expectant officers They saw the Chancellor put the telegram into his hands, saw him turn to his War Minister, and heard a grave voice say, "There is no difficulty Everything is ready" So much so that he had only to sign an order lying on his desk before he went to bed, and he says in his Memoirs, that the ensuing fortnight, when the incessant battalions were springing into line, was the idlest of his life

When the King at Ems read the despatch in the morning, he gave it to Eulenburg, saying, with emotion, "This is war," and he hurried to Berlin At Paris it produced the same impression. Nevertheless, the peace party continued to prevail in the Government. They met at ten o'clock at night on the 14th, and still resolved not to call out the reserves. But at eleven a message was brought

in which at once determined the declaration of war. They had borne the recall of Werther, the scene at Ems, the despatch recounting it, the communication to the Powers Lebœuf could not remember what the decisive paper contained Gramont declined to compromise the persons who sent it from Berlin to Vienna, or from Vienna to Paris But he says that it proved Bismarek's resolution to fight, and so made a peace policy untenable

On the 13th Loftus congratulated the Chancellor on the preservation of peace by the retirement of Prince Bismarck replied that he was mistaken, that he meant to demand satisfaction for the language of Gramont-implying that it must be made clear that they yielded to the unanimous feeling of Europe, not to the threats of France. He said "We must require some guarantee that we may not be subjected to a sudden attack, like a flash of lightning in perfect darkness, which suddenly reveals to sight a band of robbers" The despatch was printed in the Blue Book without these words Gramont tells us that his text was fuller than that which Lord Granville published Consequently he knew that Bismarck intended to provoke a conflict, and called the Emperor and his Ministers a band of robbers Discussion after that was silenced Beust, who declared that he regarded French interests as his own, and would help as far as possible, transmitted this report from Vienna, and he sent his confidant, Count Vitzthum, from Brussels to Paris, to establish an understanding for purposes of war Gramont stated afterwards that the visit of Vitzthum, which coincided with the calling out of the reserves, restored the friendly feeling towards Austria which her protest against the casus belle in the Hohenzolle rn affair had disturbed Hohenzollern was out of the way, and Bismarck's action on the 13th constituted a challenge It was a war against the union of Germany, and on that basis Austria stood by France. So that the re pon shelity rests not only with Bismarck, with Napoleon, the I input and Gramont, but with Count Boust and Francis Jo co's

## CAUSES OF FRANCO-PRUSSIAN WAR 225

But whereas Napoleon depended on alliances, and satisfied questioning Ministers by opening a drawer and producing the letters from the Emperor of Austria and the King of Italy, the Duke de Gramont felt quite secure without them

## VIII

## THE WAR OF 18701

Opus adgredior opimum casibus, atrox proeliis, discors seditionibus, ipsa etiam pace saevum —TACITUS, Hist 1 2

To exhibit a coherent chain of causes in the revolution of the last nine months, which has shifted the landmarks of European politics, and has given new leaders to the world, is still an impossible task Many links remain concealed, and the very questions which most excite curiosity are those which cannot yet be solved The communications that passed through private or official channels between Marshal Prim and the Governments of France and Prussia; the nature of the understanding between the Russian Emperor and King William; the consultations in which Prince Leopold of Hohenzollern spent six days before refusing to be the cause of war, the motives that paralysed the splendid army of Bazaine, the real object of the Germans in bombarding Paris, and the immediate reason of its capitulation,—these are the things on which it is not safe to pronounce with certainty, and I must be content to leave them unexplained Whenever these gaps are filled up, and the secrets of recent history come to be declared, it is probable that the events I am going to relate will appeal in a different connection and an altered light.

The storm that burst last summer had hung for four years over Europe The war of 1866, which destroyed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A lecture delivered at the Bridgmorth Literary and Scientific Institution on the 25th of April 1871

226

the Germanic Confederation, had enlarged Prussia, but had diminished Germany, Austria was cast out, and the Southern States retained their connection with the North only by military and commercial treaties The vital problem of policy for Prussia was to reconstruct Germany by bringing the eight millions of Southerners into the compact Confederation of the North It was a fixed maxim with the Emperor Napoleon and the majority of French politicians, that the progress of Germany towards unity and strength must be interrupted by war unless France could obtain some territorial equivalent as the price of her consent. The Emperor tempted Prussia during more than a year with subtle schemes for compensation Count Bismarck continued to put him off with vague words and indefinite suggestions, tending to divert his ambition from German territory to Switzerland and Belgium, where he would have to deal with England, and the Emperor, deluded with false hopes of a profitable bargain, resisted the pressure of his friends and enemics at home, to avenge the defeat of Austria and restore the preponderance of France Finding that he lost credit with the nation, and that nothing was to be wrung from Prussia by peaceful arts, he began gradually and methodically to prepare for war His health was declining, and his prestige, impaired by the Mexican expedition and the formidable development of Prussian power, was insufficient to maintain his family on the throne If he died without the glory of new victories, his dynasty would perish with him his influence sank, and his grasp on France relaxed, he turned for support to the Constitutional party, and formed a Liberal Ministry Its chief, M Ollivier, had frankly said that France had no right to interfere with the internal changes of Germany, that she had no just reason to be jealous of German unity, and could not hope to prevent its accomplishment. In entering on his office, the new Minister of Foreign Affairs, Count Daru, became aware that schemes were set on foot for a Russian alliance against Germany, and he required that they should be broken off But in the spring of 1870 the Emperor submitted the new institutions to a vote by the whole people, thereby stultifying the principle of government by representation, and Daru resigned. He was succeeded by the Duke de Gramont, a man of less temperate judgment, and less inaccessible to the solicitations of the war party at Court.

No part of the German people desired war with France, except the Prussian officers, who had advised it as early as 1867, not only from professional zeal, but as the one infallible means of completing the national unity Count Bismarck was firm in resisting their counsels, and he even incurred some loss of reputation by his moderation, and, as many thought, his want of spirit, in the Luxemburg compromise. He believed that, if he could remain at peace during the life of Napoleon, he would not have to fight at all. And he was in no hurry to admit the Southern States. He feared the large increase of the democratic and of the Catholic element, and he rebuked, with some ostentation, the eagerness of Baden to be absorbed. He knew that he was safe as long as he did not provoke war by meddling with the independence of the South, and raising a quarrel in which France could ally herself with the offended patriotism of Bavaria and If Prussia was attacked on any other Wirtemberg ground, the military alliance ensured the co-operation of the Southern forces—ensured, in other words, the establishment lishment of German unity by brotherhood of arms on the field of battle Count Bismarck waited, scrupulous to avoid every demonstration of hostility, but quite ready to accept a challenge, and disturbed by no doubt as to the result of any conflict with France alone

the people, educated and thoughtful as they are, consent to barter away some of the political privileges which the inhabitants of more free but less well governed countries cherish more than life Other commonwealths have submitted sometimes to the fascination of eloquence. The spell that holds Prussia captive is the charm of a good administration The re-modelled military system has been fatal to the Constitution. In its new developed form it is a creation of the present reign During the generation that succeeded the great wars, Prussia neglected her army and allowed her political influence to decline, while she obtained the supremacy in literature maxim that knowledge is better than power prevailed for many years before it yielded to the discovery that knowledge is power The intellect of the country did not control its affairs, until the accession of the remarkable triumvirate whose union has raised it to such a height of greatness In 1858 Moltke was appointed chief of the staff. And it is a signal instance of the power of scientific thought that this mighty soldier was almost entirely without practical experience of warfare until he was sixty-three years old. The reorganisation of the army was carried out by General Roon, the Minister of War, and Count Bismarck made it law, in defiance of Parliament and with a contempt for Constitutional obstacles that Strafford could not have surpassed The new army was tested in 1864 and 1866, and since then it had been almost doubled General Roon was able, in three weeks, to place 500,000 men in France, and when that was done, 500,000 more were waiting orders to march Officers in all kinds of disguises had taken plans and measurements and photographs in France The width of the rivers at the points where they had to be crossed on the march to Paris had been accurately measured, and iron bridges of the necessary length were ready to follow the army The French had batteries of mitrailleuses, their rifles were better than the needle gun, and their infantry, when under fire, could hardly be excelled But in numbers, in artillery, in organisation,

foresight, and military capacity, the Germans were so far superior that little was left to chance. The appointment of the Liberal Ministry in January 1870 was hailed in Prussia as an assurance of peace. But the plebiscite in May, and the appearance of Gramont at the Foreign Office, were a warning to make ready, and Bismarck, hushed in grim repose, waited till the Emperor made the mistake of attacking him.

On 5th July it became known that the young Prince of Hohenzollern had consented to be put in nomination for the crown of Spain. On the same day the French Government informed the North German Ambassador. Baron Werther, that they would prevent the election, if necessary, by war, and on the 6th, amid general applause, they repeated the same declaration in Parliament. The project had once before been put forward, opposed by France, and withdrawn. Various circumstances combined to make it unwelcome, especially at that moment. The settlement of the Spanish throne was the point at which the interests of France and those of the Emperor went furthest asunder For there was a French Pretender, the Duke de Montpensier, in whose behalf, partly, the revolution of Cadiz had been accomplished, and who might already have occupied the throne, had not the Emperor peremptorily refused to tolerate the elevation of a prince of the House of Orleans. dynastic interests of Napoleon had prolonged the vacancy, and it was for the sake of the Empire, and not of France, that the question which was about to drag her into war was kept open The exclusion of the only French candidate was a trial for French patriotism. But if the Emperor, having excluded the Frenchman for dynastic reasons, now sanctioned the German, it would have appeared that the safety of the Empire was purchased by the humiliation of France. He himself had just brought forward another claimant. He had induced the deposed Queen of Spain to make over her rights to her son, and he hoped to make him king Almost immediately after, he learnt that a rival had been preferred,

a rival manifestly favoured by Prussia, and that the Prussian party had foiled the plans of his friends in Spain. The fact that Prince Leopold was only distantly connected with the royal family of Hohenzollern, and was much more nearly related to the Emperor of the French, did not make his nomination less mortifying. It was not the Prussian prince so much as the Prussian subject, and the representative of Prussian influence, whose success was so bitterly resented. The actual disadvantage to France would have been slight. Indeed, there had been thoughts at one time of adopting one of the young Hohenzollerns as the avowed candidate of France. As things were, the repulse to the Emperor's influence was serious.

The European Governments, startled by the sudden vehemence of the French Ministers, exerted themselves to remove the cause of anger They thought that France would not be justified in opposing the election by force, but they also thought that Spain ought not to insist on having a king who would cost so much blood. The Spaniards maintained a strict reserve, waiting for the course things would take in Germany The Ministry in Berlin ignored the whole affair, they said that it did not concern the North German States, and that it was not their business to permit or to prevent the accession of any prince the Spaniards might choose. The Prussian press, well trained in the native discipline of the country, took the hint, and met the fury of the Paris journalists with uncommon prudence. As there was nothing to be got at Berlin, the French Ambassador, Count Benedetti, travelled to the baths of Ems, and addressed himself to the King, who informed him that he had approved the acceptance of the Prince, and would not withdraw the approval he had given Meantime, however, the Duke de Gramont had stated that a voluntary renunciation by Prince Leopold would be a satisfactory solution of the question The Prince was out of the way, and several anxious days were spent in secret negotiation appeared that Spain was not going to fight for the monarch of her choice, and that South Germany selt no deeper interest in so remote a question than Spain hersels. On 12th July Prince Leopold revoked his acceptance. M Ollivier immediately proclaimed that France had got what she wanted, that she had gained a brilliant and bloodless victory, and that the dispute was at an end The success, indeed, was great, for it had been gained by threats, and Prussia seemed to have quailed before the danger. Her ascendency in Germany was imperilled Her enemies in the South raised a storm of derision at the retirement of Hohenzollern. For twenty-sour hours her friends were in a distressing perplexity

At Paris opinion was at first divided Many rejoiced with the ingenuous Ollivier, and several of his colleagues believed that the war clouds were dispersed. But the position had not been made quite clear. The retirement of the Prince had been first announced by an anonymous telegram, stating that he retired in order to leave to Spain the right of a free initiative. There was a suspicion of hidden meaning in these ambiguous words. They did not imply unconditional renunciation, and did not shut the door against a renewal of the offer. Another despatch of the same date said that the Prince made his candidature depend on the consent of Spain to join Prussia in case of war This might mean that he would resume it whenever Prussia and Spain had come to an understanding. It may be that these telegrams, however inauthorised, confirmed the French Government in the belief that the Prince's renunciation might be a profound managive, and not a final settlement. The warlike portion of the Ministry was encouraged not to rest content with this solution by the motion of Duvernois, a deputy and journalist, thought to be more trusted by the Emperor than Ollivier himself, who demanded that Prussia should be made to give security that nothing of the kind should occur again On the 13th Gramont felt the pul c of the Chamber by saying that he had no positive information to give, but that the dispute was not yet over speech was received in a way which showed that he

would be strenuously supported if he carried matters with a high hand and strove to inflict humiliation on Prussia.

The Prussian ambassador at Paris, having visited the King at Ems, returned to his post on the 12th, and was closeted with Gramont when the telegram of the Prince of Hohenzollern was put into his hands. The Duke intimated that the withdrawal was perhaps due to the influence of the King Baron Werther denied it, and assured him that the Prince had judged and acted for himself Then the Duke de Gramont perceived that Prussia was eluding his pressure altogether, and that he had won only a shadowy and impalpable triumph It was not yet clear that the King, who had approved the act which France resented, now approved the concession which had been made to her demand Irritated by the dexterity of Prussia, and encouraged by her seeming moderation, and by the violence of the French Imperial press, which designated the Government a Ministry of shame, Gramont proceeded to ask for further satisfaction He said that the Prince would never have been allowed to ascend the throne, so that his retirement was a matter of course, and could not allay the excitement in the country Baron Werther had informed him that the King had not imagined that the affair of the Spanish crown would be taken as an insult to France. The Duke proposed that King William should repeat this declaration in a letter to the Emperor He said that if the King explained his good intentions, and expressed a hope that all ground of future quarrel would be removed by his assent to the Prince's retirement, the publication of such a letter would have an excellent effect in France also required that the King should forbid the Prince to retract his renunciation at any future time.

If the French Ministers had contented themselves with the concession of their original demand, it is probable that their moderation would have come too late to avert the war But it was this fatal determination to make the King acknowledge his error that brought overwhelming calamities on France, by depriving her of all sympathy among the nations, and by uniting the whole of Germany under the standard of the discreet and wary Prussians

Although the Duke de Gramont's new demands were insulting, there was yet one thing which Prussia might concede, not for the sake of peace only, but to make her own position unassailable. England advised that the King, having sanctioned the Hohenzollern candidature, should now declare that he also approved its withdrawal Count Bismarck indignantly rejected the proposal, and refused to submit it to the King Meanwhile the King, acting at a distance from his Minister, had already done what the English Government recommended On the 13th he met Count Benedetti on the promenade at Ems, and pulling out a newspaper with the Hohenzollern telegram, declared that he approved it, and rejoiced that the question was at an end The Ambassador replied that he was instructed to ask for a promise that should secure France against the danger of its revival The Duke de Gramont avowed to Lord Lyons that they did not want the King to prevent, but only to prohibit the renewal of the candidature. In fact he was trying to bind not Prince Leopold, but King William, and seeking not so much a practical security for the future as the exaction of a penalty for the past. But Count Benedetti went further and demanded, if the Prince was hereafter tempted to resume the project, that the King should compel him to forsake it. King William having unreservedly adopted and confirmed the renunciation, and deeming that it was honestly made, refused to entertain the proposal of a more explicit pledge The conversation ended on friendly In the afternoon the King sent word to the Ambassador that he had just received a letter from the father of Prince Leopold confirming the report, and that he looked upon it as settling the question Benedetti had also received despatches from Paris containing further considerations to be submitted to the King, with a view to modify the determination he had expressed in the morning He formally requested an

audience for the purpose The King sent his aide-decamp to tell him that he had given his final answer, that he declined to reopen the question, and left it for the future in the hands of his Ministers. On the following day the Ambassador paid his respects to the King at the station. There had been no breach of the forms of diplomatic courtesy. King William travelled to Berlin through towns tumultuous with the enthusiasm of war, and a paper which a man waved in his hand, trying vainly to stop the train, near Potsdam, contained a message from Paris which was the death-warrant of 100,000 men. A great change had happened on the night of the 13th.

Whilst Benedetti was arguing at Ems, the Prussian Ministers had strictly maintained their attitude of indifference to the Spanish question, and were unmoved by the threats and taunts of France. On the 11th a council, presided over by the Minister of War, decided that there was no occasion for measures of defence, as the system was perfect enough to do its work after war was declared On the following day Count Bismarck arrived at Berlin from the country The Hohenzollern question was out of the way, and the time for the waiting game was over Prussia was delivered from the imputation of making a dynastic war 

If she was now involved in a struggle for the safety and dignity of the country, she could expect the moral support of Europe and the armed assistance of the South—that is, the coveted union of all Germany What had seemed to many an excess of caution and conciliation, and had for a moment threatened the popularity of the Government, had rectified their position and indefinitely strengthened their hands. On the 13th Count Bismarck informed the British Ambassador that he did not mean to let matters rest where they stood, and that even if France professed herself satisfied he should not be satisfied He allowed Lord Augustus Loftus to perceive that he regretted the conciliatory disposition shown at Ems to Benedetti, and declared that he would never speak to him until Gramont had revoked his insulting words He was determined to ask for an

explanation of the French armaments, and for some security against the recurrence of similar quarrels wished for an opportunity of turning the tables and assuming the diplomatic offensive. If the French. faithful to their declarations, had been content with their first success, they would have received a counter-challenge, and being no longer the immediate aggressors, they would not have brought upon themselves the unanimous reprobation of Europe. But their persistency in demanding apologetic pledges from the King supplied Count Bismarck with the desired opportunity of soothing the disturbed and angry spirit of his countrymen A few hours after he had betrayed to Lord Augustus Loftus that Prussia was about to abandon her patient and pacific attitude, and after the same thing had been said in his official organ, news came of the scenes that had just occurred at Ems At nine that night the newsboys filled the streets of Berlin, crying a special edition of the North German Gasette. It contained a telegram stating that the King had refused to receive the French Ambassador, and had sent an aide-de-camp to say that he had nothing more to communicate to him. The statement was literally true, but the absence of particulars made it appear that the King had broken off intercourse with Benedetti, and that the dignity of France had been wounded in the person of her representative. The report was immediately sent by Bismarck to the diplomatic agents of Prussia, to show, as he said, that his tone was firmer than had been supposed

the sudden explosion of national resentment and pride showed that the trial had been severe Baron Werther, who had transmitted the invitation of Gramont that the King should make a public profession of regret, was compelled to quit the service. When King William reached Berlin on the night of the 15th nothing remained to be done but to put the army in the field

Up to the morning of the 14th the peace party at Paris had not relinquished hope, and the most influential journals held that the quarrel ended with the Hohenzollern But the Ems telegram, interpreted in France as it had been interpreted in Germany, roused an irritation that threatened to sweep away the Ministry opinions were so nearly balanced in the final council that the choice of war was made by a majority of a single vote Marshal Lebœuf answered that in case of peace he could not answer for the army The Empress too had thrown her influence into the scale, and Ollivier himself voted at last for war One of the Ministers drew his watch. was four o'clock A solemn hour, he exclaimed, in the history of the world On the 15th the Ministers announced their decision to the Chambers, and asked for supplies They stated that their demand of a guarantee from the King of Prussia against his enterprising kinsman had never been made as an ultimatum, and that they continued negotiating after its rejection. Even the refusal of an audience had not been received as an irreparable But Prussia had informed foreign Powers of the repulse of Benedetti, and had recalled her Ambassador So much stress was laid on a communication from Count Bismarck to other Powers touching the scene at Ems that the opposition asked to see the note in which it was made Ollivier refused to produce it There was a question of honour, he said, not a question of texts. was afterwards discovered that he had nothing to produce except the telegram from Ems France declared war not because the King refused the required guarantee, not because of his treatment of Benedetti, not even because a misleading account of it had been published, but because a substantially correct report had been sent to the North German envoys at several Courts The declaration of war reached Berlin on the 19th

The faults of the triumphant war party had isolated France. She was without allies, but it was confidently expected that South Germany could be detached from the Northern Confederation The French agents held out no such prospect. They wrote black, but their Government would read nothing but white France had done nothing involving offence to the South Germans, and would not believe that they would spend their blood and treasure in a quarrel which was not their own opposition to Prussia was strong in the South But the Bavarian Government declared that to shrink from their engagement at a time when Prussia was attacked would be a shanieful breach of faith The Prime Minister, Count Bray, had signed the treaty of alliance himself in He told the Chamber that they might turn him out of office, but that he would never consent to betray his conviction or to deny his signature. After a close struggle the proposal of neutrality was defeated, and the day after the declaration of war was delivered at Berlin, 38,000,000 of Germans were united to meet it. The adherence of the South added 150,000 men, brave but not highly disciplined, to the armies of Prussia. It added infinitely more to her moral force, for it closed the door against French influence beyond the Rhine Among the greater Powers England alone wished to favour neither of the combatants. Austria was the natural ally of France, for she wished her defeat in 1866 to be avenged, and Prussia at first set an army to watch the Bohemian frontier But Russia calculated on deriving relief for her Eastern policy from the defeat of the French, and made it known from the first that she would ensure The Emperor Napoleon invited neutrality of Austria succour from Italy, by recalling his troops from Rome, and he drew encouragement from the warlife tone of Victor Emmanuel There was a French party at Florence, who thought that the interference of South

Germany for a recent enemy justified Italy in redressing the balance in favour of an old ally. Count Bismarck thought the danger so serious that he offered a great price for the neutrality of the Italians. He was ready to pledge himself, if Italy abstained from war, to sign no peace that did not make her mistress of Nice, Savoy, and Rome. The Italians declined to enter into an ungrateful conspiracy against France. In August, Prince Napoleon came to Florence. The King was eager for the fray The sword of Savoy, he said, used not to rust in its sheath when there was fighting to be done. But the Ministers, supported by the leading statesmen of the country, restrained him

War had been declared a week when Count Bismarck isolated France more completely by publishing the draft of a treaty which he had extracted from Count Benedetti in 1867, in which France was to have the aid of Prussia for the conquest of Belgium The immediate effect of the publication was to show that Europe had much to dread from a French victory, and to make the Emperor Napoleon a sort of international outlaw England invited Germany and France to enter into new engagements for the independence of Belgium As the proposal was suggestive of the suspicion of perfidy which the secret treaty had aroused, the French signed it with a bad grace. This startling revelation did not increase the sympathy for Prussia as much as it damaged France If the draft had been communicated to England early in the Hohenzollern controversy, the language of this country might have been more cogent in striving to restrain the impetuosity of Lord Lyons had assured the Duke de Gramont that his course of action in forcing on the war was not of a kind that could diminish the friendly feeling of England The tone of his remonstrances might have been less comforting if we had had proof of the plot against Belgium By keeping back the document until war had broken out, Count Bismarck had been suppressing one of the chances of peace

Having made himself safe against the armed inter-

Weissenburg, the advanced point of French territory, where it receded from the Rhine They were to make for the Strasburg route. To the right, the two armies of Prince Frederick Charles and Steinmetz approached the frontier on the roads that lead to Metz. Moltke himself has pointed out the vice of this arrangement, and attributes to the division of command the Austrian reverses in 1859 In the Prussian army the waste of time and power was counteracted by the diligent use of the wires, which followed every corps as fast as it marched, and kept every separate command in daily communication with Moltke, who never left the King, and controlled the movements of all the armies. This is the reason why the strategy of the Germans was so superior to their tactics, and, while some of their actions were fought clumsily, and won by hideous slaughter, all the larger combinations were executed with a precision and ability never surpassed Napoleon stood at the head of 300,000 men, on a line 100 miles long, from Metz to Strasburg men principally excited expectation in the French army Marshal MacMahon, the conqueror of the Malakoff and the victor of Magenta, stood highest in public esteem When the idea of invading Central Germany was abandoned, he was left with 50,000 men in the neighbourhood of Strasburg Marshal Bazaine, who commanded on the left, near Metz, was said to have greater experience of war than any living Frenchman, but the stupendous failure of Mexico overshadowed his reputation, and his authority was not equal to his ability general who has kept in the background, and almost in disgrace, was commonly reputed the most accomplished officer in France. Trochu had made himself illustrious in the Crimea and in Lombardy, but he had written a singularly candid and clever book on the defects of the army, and he was odious to the Court. He was popular with the Opposition, and when it became necessary to conciliate the malcontents, the Emperor reluctantly appointed him Governor of Paris

Hostilities began on the 2nd of August. Napoleon

Imperialists for protection The head of the new Ministry, General Montauban, named Count of Palikao for his victories in China, was a soldier of undoubted capacity. His mission was to call out the resources of the country, and to keep down the enemy most feared and liated by the Bonapartes,—the democracy of Paris. The Empire crumbled to pieces in his liands, and largely through his fault.

Whilst the Crown Prince was engaged with MacMalion on the left of the German line, Stemmetz, on the right, stormed the heights of Spicheren above Saarbrucken. The French fell back on Metz, where the Emperor stood with 190,000 men. But in France the Sovereign, not his Ministers, was responsible, and public opinion was not content with the change of Ministry, for it was the Emperor who had mismanaged the opening of the campaign and brought the enemy into the country. Ollivier fell on the 9th, and on the 11th Napoleon made over the supreme command to Bazaine. The new commander-in-chief objected to the presence of the Emperor in his camp, and from the moment of his departure from Metz until the surrender at Sedan, he ceased to influence the destinies of France.

The Germans advanced slowly The Crown Prince had the mountains to cross Steinmetz was held back on the right, to lull the French in Metz, whilst Prince Frederick Charles, in the centre, preceded by that immense force of cavalry which has become so characteristic of all the German movements, pushed forward to prevent the junction of MacMahon and Bazaine. The French generals were very reluctant to retire from Metz, and to bring the unbroken army of the Rhine back into the heart of France, and the notion that their right place was at Metz, where they could hold fast great part of the invading armies while a stubborn resistance was organised with the inexhaustible resources of the country, was so strong among them that it interfered with the execution of the opposite plan, which was preferred On the 13th Bazaine gave orders to retreat came to the front and shelled Saarbrucken, a frontier town at the junction of the Prussian railways The Prussians, who were not in force, evacuated the place. It was at once reported that the French had burnt a defenceless town, and the indignation caused by the rumour did its work in Germany before it was ascertained that Saarbrucken had suffered little The French, finding that the Germans, who were concealed in the forests, declined their challenge, did not pursue their success, but established themselves on the heights overlooking the valley of the Saar They were not prepared to take a real initiative, and the Germans at once returned the blow and invaded France On the 4th the Crown Prince surprised the French under Douay at the exposed position of Weissenburg MacMahon hurried up from Strasburg with 40,000 men to defend the passage of the Vosges The Crown Prince, with a vastly superior force, defeated him, on the 6th, between Worth and Reichshofen, where the famous regiment of Zouaves, and the Cuirassiers, charging for the first time since Waterloo, were cut to pieces Some of the troops fled in disorder to Strasburg, which was soon after besieged by the Germans rest of the French right wing, including Failly, who had not been in the battle, and Felix Douay, who was called up in haste from Belfort, fell back 150 miles, and the Crown Prince was able to advance half-way to Paris without encountering an enemy. The results of this battle, out of all proportion with its apparent importance, suddenly revealed the weakness and the peril of France. It relieved Prussia from the apprehension of a landing on the coast, and set many thousand men free for the invasion, and it chilled the warlike dispositions of those The Emperor, neutrals whose wishes were for France announcing the disaster in desponding telegrams, declared that all might yet be retrieved This language threw Paris into a ferment. The Ministry that had begun the war was overthrown by the news of the first battle, with the Emperor's full connivance The Empire was in imminent danger, and resorted to the thorough-going

Imperialists for protection The head of the new Ministry, General Montauban, named Count of Palikao for his victories in China, was a soldier of undoubted capacity. His mission was to call out the resources of the country, and to keep down the enemy most feared and hated by the Bonapartes,—the democracy of Paris. The Empire crumbled to pieces in his hands, and largely through his fault.

Whilst the Crown Prince was engaged with MacMahon on the left of the German line, Steinmetz, on the right, stormed the heights of Spicheren above Saarbrucken The French fell back on Metz, where the Emperor stood with 190,000 men But in France the Sovereign, not his Ministers, was responsible, and public opinion was not content with the change of Ministry, for it was the Emperor who had mismanaged the opening of the campaign and brought the enemy into the country. Ollivier fell on the 9th, and on the 11th Napoleon made over the supreme command to Bazaine. The new commander-in-chief objected to the presence of the Emperor in his camp, and from the moment of his departure from Metz until the surrender at Sedan, he ceased to influence the destines of France.

The Germans advanced slowly. The Crown Prince had the mountains to cross Steinmetz was held back on the right, to lull the French in Metz, whilst Prince Frederick Charles, in the centre, preceded by that immense force of cavalry which has become so characteristic of all the German movements, pushed forward to prevent the junction of MacMahon and Bazaine. The French generals were very reluctant to retire from Metz, and to bring the unbroken army of the Rhine back into the heart of France, and the notion that their right place was at Metz, where they could hold fast great , part of the invading armies while a stubborn resistance was organised with the inexhaustible resources of the country, was so strong among them that it interfered with the execution of the opposite plan, which was preferred On the 13th Bazaine gave orders to retreat

the day, and at nightfall Bazaine retired behind the forts The Prussians had again suffered terribly and had won scarcely any trophies But the object for which they had sacrificed 35,000 men in five days was completely gained, and Bazaine, with an army equal to the largest the great Napoleon ever handled in action, was finally locked up in Metz. Prince Frederick Charles, with the victors of Gravelotte, sat down to wait his surrender, the Saxons were detached to watch for any offensive movements on the part of MacMahon, and the Crown Prince advanced towards Châlons MacMahon, with an illappointed army of more than 100,000 men, proposed to fall back on Paris and to prevent the siege Trochu believed that without the help of a large regular army the defence would be impossible But the news from Bazaine frightened the Government. The state of Paris was such that they dared not confess the truth, and they believed that the reappearance of the Emperor would be followed by his deposition After the battles round Metz the Empire was only preserved by a system of fiction and concealment that could not last long, and the Emperor himself seemed to be forgotten by the advisers of the Regent. They required that an attempt should be made to pass the Crown Prince and deliver Metz. Mac-Mahon and the Emperor fell back from the camp of-Châlons as the Crown Prince approached Instead of retreating on Paris they went north to Rheims, leaving the Germans to continue their march For three whole days the Germans were ignorant of MacMahon's movements, and by dint of great rapidity he might have reached Metz before the Crown Prince could come up with him, but the audacious plan which Palikao had imposed on the obedient Marshal was spoilt by delay On the 26th the Crown Prince and the Saxons faced north, and MacMahon informed the Government that they were intercepting his march, and that he must give up the attempt to reach Metz, and return towards Paris Palikao replied that a revolution would break out if they abandoned Bazaine MacMahon felt that the

proposed that the Germans should abandon the offen we, and take up impregnable positions in the territory already conquered But although the Regency instantly resolved to conclude peace, it no longer had the power It had expelled the German residents in Paris, and had filled the prisons as fast as news came from the seat of war, and the discontent became troublesome treating for peace it would have become necessary arrest the leaders of the Opposition, and this could not be done, for the Opposition was supported by General All the available soldiers had been sent to MacMahon, the National Guards were masters of Paris, and Trochu was master of the National Guard regular army is the State in arms, the National Guard is the people in arms. It is the force that obeys, not authority, but opinion. Its function is to preserve order against anarchy, and freedom against oppression Government may be constitutional in its forms, and may be founded on popular election, but if it has the control of a large standing army it is virtually absolute. The National Guard is the check upon this ab olutism supplies aid to a popular Government, and a hostile control to an unpopular Government. Therefore the sceptre passed away from the Empire when it was forced to commit the defence of the capital to the National During the twenty four hours after the news came from Sedan, Trochu held in his hands the destinies of his country. At the morning sitting of the Legislative Assembly, on Saturday, 3rd September, the facts were but imperfectly known, and Jules Favre's proposal that Trochu should be Dictator was repelled with indignation. In the course of the evening the intelligence spread through the city Trochu and Gambetta addressed large crowds, promising decisive action for the morrow, late at night the Chamber was again summoned. Palikao had been fetched out of bed, and he was not prepared for action In the midst of a significant silence on the benches, from which interruptions used to pour on his grave stern eloquence, Favre asked the Chamber to declare that the

Bonapartes had ceased to reign, and to put Trochu at the head of the State The discussion of this motion, which meant the Republic, was adjourned to the next afternoon The Imperialists were by this time conscious that there was no longer an Empire. Its existence was not in debate on that fatal Sunday The option was between a Republic and a provisional Government, compatible with the future advent of monarchy; the question was whether the Liberal party throughout France or the Revolutionists of Paris should inherit the power and the misfortunes of the fallen Empire The Liberals of the left centre, led by Thiers, Daru, and Buffet, wished to institute by a parliamentary vote a new executive that should possess the sanction of law and the requisite authority to keep down insurrection and to conclude peace. They pressed the Empress-Regent to abdicate, in order that the validity of the new Government might be undisputed by the masses that had sustained the old. "Ah!" she exclaimed, "in France it will not do to be unfortunate" But although she was unable to resist by force, she refused to damage by abdication the prospects of her son She was ready to give up the reality of power, provided the nominal sovereignty of her family was preserved. The Ministry accordingly proposed that the Chamber should commit the defence of the country to a Directory of Five, to be controlled by Palikao repeated his motion of the night before, and Thiers, supported by the moderate party, proposed a provisional Government, which, without prejudging the final question, would have given to him and his friends the supreme conduct of affairs The supremacy of the moderate Liberals was the thing most feared by the Republicans, who form the mass of the people of Paris They saw in the proposal of Thiers a plot for the perpetuation of monarchy and the restoration of the House of Orleans They were resolved not to miss the opportunity of recovering what they had lost by the coup d'état of 1851 Early on the Sunday morning emissaries went round summoning the Republicans to assemble before the

Legislative body at noon, for the purpose of supporting They came in tens of thousands, headed their deputies by National Guards, who claimed that it was their privilege to guard the Assembly The Assembly was guarded by all the troops Palikao could muster They were but few, and when the sitting commenced things looked so threatening that people hurried to the Governor of Paris, and besought him to come and prevent bloodshed Trochy refused He could not act, he said, with such a man as Palikao; he would not interfere unless the Chamber sent for him The absence of Trochu decided the defeat of the Liberals and the triumph of the Republic The Commission appointed to report on the three schemes adopted the scheme of Thiers, and it was about to be voted by the majority of deputies when the people and National Guards forced their way in Assembly dispersed without act or vote, and at three o'clock Jules Favre and his friends proclaimed the Republic at the Hôtel de Ville. When the people were pulling down the eagles, and were about to break into the Chamber, the Prefect of Police appeared at the Tuileries, and informed the Empress that all was over She quietly bade farewell to her attendants, changed her dress, and fled, almost alone This was the fall of the second Empire, ruined by the overthrow of its armies It fell between the enervation of its friends and the contemptuous moderation of its enemies 
In eighteen years it had failed to plant in the hearts of the Parisians the strength for one hour of resistance. Not a shot was fired, not a drop of blood was spilt, to save it. No act of vengeance stained the hands of the liberated people

In the evening the remnant of the Assembly, chiefly Liberals of the Left Centre, met to deliberate. Jules Favre appeared, and, without pretending to care much about it, exhorted them to ratify what had been done Several members expressed their indignation at the violation of the Legislative Assembly, and wished to record a protest. Thiers, who presided, induced them to hold themselves neutral They could not recognise a Govern-

ment founded on the destruction of the only popular authority in France, nor resist men who were about to conduct the defence of the country. Thus the Liberal party, representing the wealthier classes, separated itself definitely from the new Government, and left the Republic to administer with its own resources the disastrous legacy of the Empire.

The Government of National Defence was formed of the deputies of the capital It was evident that the next and vital stage of the war would be the siege of Paris, and there was propriety in committing its defence to the men There was no time to obtain a whom it had trusted legal title by consulting the nation Paris, which had always opposed the Empire, and had been kept down by means of the country voters, resumed its lost supremacy It was only theoretically a government by Parisian deputies Thiers, the most eminent of their number, preferred to wait for the restoration of peace, and Trochu, the commander-in-chief, was neither a Parisian nor a deputy. Except Picard, their financier, they appear to have been without administrators, and much of the real work was done, subsequently, by two outsiders, Dorian, the Minister of Commerce, and Laurier, Secretary-General of the Interior By the defect of its origin the new Government had not authority to govern France, to keep down the mobs of Paris that had created it, or to give the enemy guarantees for peace It had sprung, not from revolution, or even insurrection, but from a street riot, and was liable to end as it began. There was nothing to inspire the invaders with confidence in its power or in its stability The only remedy was the immediate convocation of a National Assembly The foreign Republics and the States of Latin Europe recognised the Government of National Defence, but the great European Powers, Russia, Austria, and Great Britain, waited until the French people at large should pro-One great advantage belonged to the new Government. Most of its leading members had been among the ten courageous deputies who, on the 15th of

July, had voted against war. Jules Favre especially was one of the very few men, almost the only public man in France, who had consistently condemned, not only this, but all wars of ambition, even that of 1859 While the Liberals, by their sarcasms and declamations, were goading the Emperor to grasp the Rhine, Favre had risked his popularity by resisting them He seized, with great effect, the advantage which belonged to his position a circular, written with a rare eloquence, dignity, and grace, and impressive from the honourable consistency of the writer, he proclaimed the guilt of France, and the justice of the ordeal which had crowned the Germans with the glory of stupendous victories He was ready to sue for peace, and to pay as indemnity all the money that could be raised in France The funds, which had fallen seven per cent, immediately rose more than two per cent. And yet this grand State paper has cost more lives than the wrath of Achilles, for it contained the memorable words-"We mean to surrender not one stone of our fortresses, not one inch of our soil"

M Favre immediately requested England to intervene in favour of peace, and by the mediation of our Government, Favre and Bismarck met on the day when the investment of Paris was completed Count Bismarck had made known before the end of August the terms he meant to offer to the defeated Empire. He wanted no territory, but he would take the fortresses of Strasburg and Metz, as a sort of twin Gibraltar for the protection of Germany In the middle of September, after the ruin of the Empire, and when he was preparing for his interview with Favre, he raised his terms, and claimed the whole of Alsace and part of Lorraine, or a strip of territory about thirty miles in width along the whole line that separates France and Germany These were the same terms to which the French submitted four months later Germans could scarcely bring themselves to treat with the Government of National Defence They distrusted it, both for its revolutionary origin and for its democratic character Monarchy, as understood in Germany, is not,

as we understand it, the condition under which a nation secures self-government, it is not government by law, but government by authority It is antagonistic to Republicanism, not in form only, but in its essential spirit. The establishment of a French Republic was not only an offence to the aristocratic feudalism of Prussia, but an actual danger, by encouraging the elements of popular resistance in Germany Therefore the Germans were tempted to underrate its vitality, and to look for signs of hope for the Empire Political sympathies helped to betray them into a grievous error They persuaded themselves that the new Government would be speedily overthrown, and they were ignorant of the impulse which a Republic defending the integrity of France would give to the slumbering forces of the land They drew their lines round Paris in the belief that popular tumult would come to their aid But, apart from this mistake, they had full reason to doubt the use of negotiations with a Power too recent to give good security for indemnity, and too dependent on momentary favour to yield up territory When the two statesmen met it was at once apparent that the terms of peace would be such as only a National Assembly was competent to entertain The only practical question between them was the armistice necessary for elections throughout France. At their final meeting Count Bismarck was not punctual to his appointment He had been detained by a conference with a Bonapartist agent The appearance of this voluntary, unaccredited negotiator was welcomed as a sign that the Imperialists were stirring. For the Empire still possessed a great army under three marshals at Metz, whereas it was not certain that the Republic had the command of any Whatever terms the Empire accepted efficient force. might be enforced by Bazaine. It was the beginning of a mysterious intrigue whose object was to employ the army of Metz to restore the Regency, and to impose on France the conditions to be dictated by the Germans The prospect thus opened of wringing a mighty ransom out of an exiled Empress and an imprisoned army made

Count Bismarck rigid in his tone to M Favre armistice would be so injurious to the military position of the Germans that it could not be granted without an equivalent, and the equivalent he wished to obtain was the surrender of the fortresses that interfered with the communications, which were Strasburg, Toul, and one other place. As Toul and Strasburg were then on the point of falling, and were taken, the one in three days, the other in eight days after the interview, this stipulation was hardly exorbitant. But when Favre was asked to give up the garrison that had been defending Strasburg for a month, and had already become the legendary idol of the populace of Paris, he lost his self-control and broke off the conference. He was oppressed by the knowledge of the ulterior conditions which were to be demanded for making peace. Beyond the loss of Strasburg he saw the annexation of Alsace, and the darker terrors in the background disturbed his vision M l'avre had cone out secretly, without even the sanction of his colleagues. When it was discovered that he was in the enemy's camp suing for peace Paris was furious, and the leaders of the Red Republic became instantaneously formidable when it was known that he had indignantly rejected the proffered terms, and had proclaimed war to the end, he became the hero of the hour. It was pretended that Bismarck had demanded not only Toul, Verdun, and Strasburg, but the fort that commands Paris, and Metz, with the army of Bazaine. When Pavre reported to his colleagues the failure of his mission, there were some who listened with a secret joy, for they were willing that the Republic should have a chance of retrieving the disasters which had crushed the Empire "We may have to submit to the abuse of force," said Favre, "but not to a voluntary degradation" They were not very sanguine of success But the deeper resources of the country and the vitality of the Republic were still untried behoved them to show what could be done by the enthusiasm of an armed people where the professional soldiers had failed The Empire had fought for preponderance, and had been justly punished. France had now to defend her territory, the citizenship of her people, and her newly recovered freedom. An heroic struggle ending in a disastrous peace would be less surely fatal to the Republic than the immediate acceptance of the best terms that could be got. The majority of the Government did not wish for peace, and no Government at that time could have ventured to admit the surrender of the Eastern Departments

The moral position of France before the world was much improved when she continued the war on the ground that a State owes a duty to its citizens not to forsake them while it has a million of men to call into the field On the other hand, the position of Germany was unchanged Count Bismarck, adopting the inflexible requirements of the Staff, insisted on acquiring a frontier that should protect Germany against attack, and having stated these conditions in September, he did not raise them after all the fortresses had fallen and all the armies had been dispersed Conquest is a precarious foundation for rights, but Europe had never held that conquest is in itself a wrong Whole States were violently incorporated by Prussia in 1866, and the world looked on unmoved Of all civilised communities France was the one least able to contend with decency that compulsory annexation is a crime For the most intense desire of almost all Frenchmen has been for the acquisition of territory not their own. Liberals and Republicans shared with Imperialists this diseased and guilty longing, and urged the Government to enlarge the Eastern boundary. "Let Napoleon take the Rhine," said Montalembert, "and I shall not quarrel with him again" It is only in the last few years that popular and able writers, like the novelists Erckmann-Chatrian, and the historian Lanfrey, have created a reaction against this, the besetting sin of their countrymen Both the English and the American Governments expressed the opinion that it is becoming to bear with manful courage the common penalties of defeat

At the time when the second period of the war began,

although the ultimate issue was hardly doubted by any soldier, the position of France was not so desperate as to require that she should submit to degradation. M. Thiers started on a journey to the neutral capitals, asking for intervention in behalf of the balance of power, and of a Government which had injured nobody, had not sought war, and was now fervent in its desire for peace. His diplomatic mission was not auspicious, but there was reasonable hope of some military success, as long as 200,000 Germans were made unavailable by the tenacity of Bazaine. The Germans had surrounded Paris without attempting to force an entrance On the day when their lines closed round the city the garrison went out to meet them, and the Zouaves were routed and came back in such disorder that Paris expected to see the Germans already within the gates Trochu had said to a friend-"The Prussians will enter Paris when they like, and as they like, there is not an educated officer that is not aware of it." Thiers himself, the originator of the fortifications, talked of the possibility of resisting for a week When it was seen that Moltke, like the allies at Sebastopol. thought the defences more formidable than the defenders knew them to be, the chances of the Republic rose. Prince Frederick Charles could be kept mactive until an army was formed strong enough to fall upon the rear of the besiegers, Paris would be delivered A branch of the Government was fixed at Tours, beyond the Loire, to draw new armies from the untouched districts of the South and West. Early in October the Minister of the Interior, Gambetta, escaped from Paris in a balloon, and set about raising the Provinces He was a young advocate, recently made conspicuous by the violence of his language in opposition He had voted for war. He had great energy both of work and speech, but little political instruction, and his impetuous arbitrary temper made him a dangerous desender of liberty. He prevented the convocation of a National Assembly, dissolved the centres of local self-government, and, surrounded by a club of coffee-house politicians, obtained an undisputed

dictatorship The nation rose at his call The generals whom he appointed and dismissed at will obeyed him. He gave a command to Garibaldi in the East, and to the Colonel of Papal Zouaves, Charette, in the West. Arms and ammunition were brought over from England and America, and enormous armies were set on foot German officers doubted whether their own country, after such defeats, would have been capable of such an effort. But the new levies were badly officered, and, compared with the Imperial legions, they were of so poor a quality that Moltke, who had been careful to have numbers on his side against MacMahon and Bazame, provided for their defeat with very inferior forces The later victories of Prince Frederick Charles, Goben, and Werder were gained when the French were two, and sometimes even three, to one, and were gained at comparatively small cost. whole loss of the Germans in the battles of January, against Chanzy, Bourbaki, and Faidherbe, amounted to less than their loss on a single day at Gravelotte, to less by 7000 men than their loss at Mars-la-Tour

But the character of these later struggles brought on a loss of another kind—a decline of the chivalry of war The success of the Germans was not more due to valour than to the assiduity of the officers, the hearty respect for the principle of authority. For the Prussian ranks are filled, like those of our Volunteers, from all classes of society They entered France with the order and discipline of troops on parade. The ripe grapes were being gathered as they passed the vineyards of Champagne, and not a soldier trespassed No French women were insulted by the invaders A hungry English gentleman having picked an onion in a garden was very much surprised to find himself marched off under arrest. Another well-known Englishman took charge of a church which was filled with wounded from Metz, and immediately ordered the woodwork of the seats to be used for beds The Prussian officers were horrified at this interference with the rights of property My friend replied that Church property was fitly employed for the comfort of dying men,

but the Prussians would not hear of it. In the country houses they occupied round Met- they hung up at the door of each room an inventory of the objects within. But most of the facts which English and American ob ervers have recorded in testimony of the spleadid discipline of the Germans come to us from the army of Prince Trederich The presence of men not belonging to the North German Confederation, unaccustomed to the rigour of the Prussian system, or drawn from population highly cultivated, made the tack of the Crown Prince more delicate. That proud perfection of discipline which brought the Germans so much true fune it fit ', did not pass unscathed through the trials and temptations of the winter campaign. Their temper was corely tried by the conduct of the peasantry in some of the battle Worth a wounded German was found with he give put out. Near Metz an officer lying unconscion on the field was brought to himself by a new sharp pain, and found a woman hacking his fingers to get at his range. It was found that she had a bag full of ring pot in the same way. At Bazeilles the inhabitants picked up wounded Bayarians in the street and burned them alive, and the Bavarians in consequence set fire to the town Germans were soon driven to an auful severity in retaliation. The country people went out with rifles and fired at small detachments, so that it became hard to tell a peaceful citizen from a disguised soldier, and a peaceful village from a military position. Death was decreed against every civilian taken in the act of fighting, and against the free-shooters. An officer who in the course of the war had ordered more than sixty of these for execution, said that very many of these were men of position. At last the number of free-shooters taken was so great that the rigour was relaxed, and they were sent to Germany It came to be assumed that the owner of an empty house was out with a rifle in his hand, and the house was hable to pillage. Many country houses were devastated in this way, sometimes in the presence of their owners At times the railway system broke down, and as

supplies failed, the requisitions degenerated into plunder Unfortunately, the Germans had been led by the early events of the war to lose respect for their opponents They knew that many thousands of their countrymen gaining their livelihood at Paris had been brutally expelled, and that prisoners were sometimes treated by the French with ferocious insolence The citadel of Laon, having surrendered, was blown up at the moment when the Germans entered it, and the generality of the French press celebrated this as a glorious and heroic act. And there was a pitiful boastfulness in the midst of defeat which a generous warrior would despise. A popular French writer, after describing the retreat from Worth, exclaimed, "And now, who will say that the French army has been conquered, or does anybody suppose that it can be, with such soldiers, commanded by a man like MacMahon?" and Victor Hugo, the first of imaginative writers living, published a letter to the Germans after Sedan, in which he says, "You have had the victory, and we have had the glory!" Contempt for the character of an enemy is always demoralising, and acts were committed by several corps—acts not only of ruthless severity, but of lawless violence-which will long rankle in the memories of the best and most thoughtful men in France

During the whole of September Prince Frederick Charles was patiently starving out the French at Metz. Steinmetz was gone. That gloomy veteran had learnt too much of the ancient ways of war under Blucher in his youth to adapt himself, when past seventy, to the calculating science of Moltke. The intelligent officers of the new school who served under him were often startled by his orders, for he tried to do by brute force what could be better done by brains. After the wasteful slaughter at Gravelotte he disappeared from the army. Bazaine was not molested with cannon, but whenever he attempted to break out, he found the Prussians too strong for him After his defeat at Naisseville and the capitulation of Sedan, he remained quiet during some valuable weeks, and then, learning from Prince Frederick Charles that

the Republic was not accepted by the whole country, he involved himself in the Bonapartist intrigue. He was surrounded by men personally attached to the dynasty-Lebœuf, who knew that the Republic would ask him to account for so much ruin, Frossard, the governor of the Prince Imperial, the Imperial Staff, and the Imperial Guard He knew that the Germans distrusted the new Government and preferred the old, and he believed that Paris could not long prevent the discussion of peace They would then be glad to treat with the commander of the only remaining army in France, and to place the new Government, whether a Regency or a National Assembly, under the protection of his sword He could not hope to be delivered, and after his troops began to eat the horses he could not escape. He tried to profit by the political position to rescue himself from the military position. He sent first Bourbaki and then Boyer to sound the Empress Count Bismarck sent her word that she might return to the Tuileries if she would consent to his conditions, and the Empire might have been restored in October on better terms, at least in respect of the indemnity, than those which the Republic accepted in March. Among the exiled Bonapartists in England there was much impatience at the coldness with which the Empress received the overtures from Versailles and Metz. But neither Bismarck nor Bazaine bound himself with pledges definite enough for security, and the Empress refused the terms Bazaine, whose men for a whole week had declined to fight, and whose provisions were running short, so that two leeches were sold for £7, capitulated on the 27th of October with 173,000 men It was not easy to prove why so large and so brave an army should have been unable to pierce the lines of an enemy scarcely superior in numbers, and divided by a It was supposed that Bazaine had been dazzled by the hope of serving the Empire, that the Germans had made skilful use of his delusion, and that political motives had barred his defence. Gambetta, whose plans were ruined by the fall of Metz, proclaimed him a traitor.

When it became known that he was in communication with the Germans the alarm was great at Tours, and the Government became very urgent for neutral intervention. Just then M. Thiers returned from his mission, and announced that all hope of armed assistance must be Russia had maintained her resolution to prevent Austria from joining the war, and Austria still submitted, not very reluctantly, to the restraint. In Italy the position had been altered since the Empire fell, and Thiers was able to bring severe pressure to bear on the Italian Ministers On 20th September, with the consent of the French, they had taken Rome, and overthrown the Papal Power M Thiers warned them to make friends with France in her need, lest they should hereafter have another Roman expedition, and a French army besieging their new capital He asked for 100,000 men. The sword of Victor Emmanuel again rattled in its scabbard, but Thiers obtained only Garibaldi and a handful of volunteers. He came back convinced that resistance was useless, and that an armistice for the election of a National Assembly ought to be obtained at once. He got permission to cross the German lines and to bring his dismal news to Paris Then he repaired to Versailles, hoping that Count Bismarck would prove more propitious than he had been to Favre. The German Chancellor was desirous that a legal Government should be created by the suffrages of the people, with undisputed authority to conclude peace. The Bonapartist combination was at an end, and the surrender of Bazaine was sure to influence the negotiations favourably Thiers further stipulated that supplies of food should be permitted to enter Paris, in proportion to the number of days that the armistice was to last, so that when it ended the inhabitants should be no worse off than when it began Count Bismarck would have been inclined to yield this point The siege train was far from being ready, and the bombardment was still so remote that the armistice could cause no delay But the demand was peremptorily rejected by Moltke. The King and his staff were averse

to bombarding Paris, and wished to reduce it, like Metz, by famine. They already computed that it would have exhausted its provisions by the end of January. To admit food for a month, as was proposed, would carry the siege on to the end of February, and keep the army for four winter months in the dreary lines. On the other hand, Paris was so well supplied that it risked nothing by giving up the proposed condition. If the provinces could not raise the siege in three months, they could not raise it at all. But the Government of National Defence refused to entertain the notion of an armistice without revictualling Paris, and thus ended the last attempt to terminate the war before the extreme of misery had befallen the people of France.

The failure was not felt at first to be so disastrous, either at Paris or at Tours During the conferences at Versailles there had been an abortive revolution against Trochu and Favre. The siege had lasted six weeks with an exasperating tranquillity The Germans made no attempt to get in, nor the French to get out Although the garrison was twice as numerous as the besiegers, Trochu did not esteem it capable of raising the siege by winning a pitched battle, and he waited the moment for a combined attack when an army should come up from the provinces His troops, seeing that he would not face the enemy, began to share his despondency. The inaction of Trochu, and the departure of Gambetta, who was popular in the streets, caused the Government to lose ground with the advanced democracy The municipal elections had been promised and then postponed. The Government, which had not the sanction of the popular vote, dreaded the presence of a body sprung from universal suffrage, and the Red Republicans knew that the election of the Municipality would give the supreme power to them The Emperor had taken the power out of the hands of the people, and exercised it for his own independent purposes, and not in the interest of any section of society His merit in the eyes of France had been that he suspended the conflict between property and labour, between class and class, which had raged so furiously after the fall of Louis Philippe. An absolute democracy where the theory of political equality is contrasted with the fact of an extreme social inequality is either a government by property or a government by poverty, labour will expect to be sacrificed to wealth unless it can make wealth subject to the interests of labour. In France the balance could only be maintained by an authority indifferent to their antagonism the power which was above the parties and restrained them was removed, their strife was renewed And thus it happened that Socialism, which had slumbered under absolute monarchy, rose up in arms against the Republic. One of the traditions of the great French Revolution was the institution of a permanent and irresponsible body holding the power of insurrection, and using it for the purpose of controlling the organised authorities. Analogous instances of a secret despotism, veiled by constitutional forms, have occurred many times in history At Paris this office was discharged by the Commune, or Corporation, a body that had no defined department in the Government, but was able to bind or loose the turbulence of the masses It was by the restoration of this institution, and by allying themselves with the Jacobins, who upheld it as an essential principle of Government, that the Socialists hoped to make themselves masters of Paris and of France. And we have seen the prodigious power they acquired when, in addition to their own especial motives, Paris was infuriated at the peace, at the triumph of the reaction at Bordeaux, and at the transfer of the Parliamentary capital to Versailles. At the end of October the news that Bazaine and all his forces were prisoners of war filled Paris with consternation this moment the garrison had obtained a first success at Le Bourget, which had been followed by a smart defeat At the same time Thiers appeared at Paris, and it was known that negotiations were on foot, negotiations apparently prompted by despair at the loss of Metz. 31st October an armed mob burst into the room where

the Government of National Defence was sitting, and took them prisoners Somebody told Trochii to escape, or he would be shot. "Sir," he replied, "I am a soldier, and mean to die at my post" For many hours Trochii, Favre, and several of their colleagues were as helpless as Louis XVI in the hands of the populace. The list of a new Government was handed about, which was to call the Municipality into existence, and in which Dorian, the Minister who had become known to the people, because he was active in setting in motion great factories of warlike munitions, was to have been Dietator. In the middle of the night a few faithful battalions rescued the captive Government There had been no blood-hed. It was but the prelude to the terrible explosions that were to come. The Government immediately appealed to the people, and was confirmed in office by an overwhelming majority of votes. The consequence of their deep humiliation was to confer upon them a moral authority they had never before enjoyed

But while Favre and Trochu were suffering the vicissitudes of popular favour at Paris, Gambetta ruled France with unresisted sway. He had sent carrier pigeons to warn Favre against the armistice, for he knew that a National Assembly would speedily depose him, and would bring to power those Moderate Liberals who had been betrayed on 4th September and had never been reconciled to the Government of National Defence He had nearly succeeded in equipping an army fit to take the field when the fall of Metz released the victorious forces of Prince Frederick Charles His preparations were so secret, and the exaggerations of his language were received with so little credulity, that the Germans did not take alarm at the really formidable army that being welded together by strict disciplinarians behind the curtain of the Loire They divided the army that had captured Metz. Part overran the north of France, while Prince Frederick Charles advanced towards the centre and the south The Bavarians, who occupied the post of danger at Orleans, received no supports Although the army of the Loire was not yet fully organised, there was time to deliver a blow before the Germans could provide for their defence 10th November the French, under Aurelle de Paladines. entered Orleans after a battle in which they had forced the Bavarians to retreat. They were not only numerous and brave, but they were commanded with real ability, and France hoped for a moment that the Germans were not only outnumbered but out-generalled The week that followed the recapture of Orleans was their hour of peril Aurelle was slow and cautious in pursuing his success. But on the 15th it was believed that he had got past the covering armies, and was about to take the besiegers in the rear The baggage was packed at headquarters, and everything was held in readiness to raise the siege in a moment. Prince Frederick Charles was called up to combat the army of the Loire. But Aurelle fell back on the following day to a fortified position before Orleans, and the gleam of hope was quenched.

During the elation caused by his first successful advance, an event happened in the political world which might have afforded France a chance of forcing Europe into war When Metz had fallen, and things were looking at their worst, Russia announced that she held herself no longer bound to observe the neutrality of the Black Sea, which she had been made to consent to by the Crimean war Prussia, though she had signed the Treaty of Paris, had been always indifferent to its objects; and connivance at the repudiation of one of its clauses was a moderate price to pay for the support of Russia in the present war But for England and Austria the Russian declaration was a hostile and unwarranted act, and the feelings of the old Western alliance for the protection of Turkey began to stir again If French diplomacy had not been at a standstill by the exclusion of all the most experienced statesmen from public affairs, there would have been good materials for embroiling the neutrals It was a conjuncture which brought home to them forcibly the value of France in

the European system, and the danger which would come from her eclipse. But the French failed to derive any present benefit from the threatening revival of the Eastern Question At the end of November, Aurelle, having made his arrangements with Trochu, advanced from the Loire with the flower of the Republican armies, whilst Ducrot and Vinoy went out to meet him They carried several villages on the Marne, and inflicted great loss on the Saxons and Wirtembergers For two days it seemed that they were going to break through But Moltke gave orders that the lost positions should be retaken at any cost, and the French were stopped, but they kept part of the conquered ground, and built an advanced fort on Mont Avron that seriously veved the besiegers While Ducrot was repulsed on the Marne, the army of the Loire came upon Prince Frederick Charles and met with a series of reverses, ending in a decisive defeat at Orleans on 4th December

After the defeat of the army of the Loire, the failure of the great sortic, and the arrival of Prince Frederick Charles upon the scene, the deliverance of Paris became a military impossibility, and the continuation of the war was prompted by illusions There was only the dreadful choice between fire and famine. It was simply a question of more or less suffering to be borne by women and children Therefore on the day after the fall of Orleans the Germans summoned Paris Molthe informed Trochu that his last hope, the army of the Loire, was defeated, and invited him to send out an officer to verify the fact Trochu declined the offer The capital was in no humour to capitulate The classes whose turbulence is its standing danger were taken into the pay of the State as its National Guards, and easily resigned themselves to a condition of things in which idleness was as remunerative as toil. The inhabitants had not yet suffered severe privations; but they were prepared for them They were calm and patient. The disorders which are the disgrace of the city in happier times were banished, and crime had almost disappeared. A system of charity admirably

organised relieved the poor. The dignity of sacrifice had transformed the city. Even in the worst extremity, when an appalling death-rate proclaimed the approaching agony, and the wailing of mothers was in every house, there were no serious bread riots. The Red Republicans, fed on extravagant fictions and willing to be deceived, were on the watch for signs of weakness in the Government. Long after a courageous journalist had announced that Paris was virtually lost already, and that the Government knew it well, Trochu was obliged to promise that he would never surrender. Every soldier knew that the promise was nothing but a melancholy boast, but the hand of the Red Republicans was heavy on their rulers, and none had the courage to give way, while the people waited for the end with an heroic sadness

When Trochu's reply to Moltke made known that the resistance was to be prolonged beyond the limits of reasonable hope, a great dispute broke out at the headquarters of the Germans. Count Bismarck declared that the moment had arrived to bring the population of Paris under the influence of terror. He thought that much purposeless and wanton havoc might be averted, and many lives of soldiers and non-combatants preserved, if the Government of Paris could be emancipated from the tyranny of an excited populace, and he could urge with justice that to bombard a city is less cruel than to starve it. Moltke opposed the bombardment. There had been a feud between these men ever since they conquered the Austrians together in 1866, and it is possible that the Crown Prince, looking to the future and disliking Bismarck, might think that he would be a too powerful and unmanageable subject if, in addition to his immense prestige, he had the cordial support of the army and its glorious chiefs Count Bismarck had opposed the march to Paris, and believed that the siege was a blunder, and that the defences might have been forced at once But he was not admitted to the military councils, and he shut himself up in disgust, and gave out that he was ill. set the obedient press to work to agitate opinion at home

in favour of the bombardment until the impatience eaught the army. The whole of December was spent in bringing up heavy artillery, and it was Christmas before the guns were ready to pour their fire on the forts.

At that time a new enemy was giving trouble in the An army had been formed under Faidherbe, drawing its supplies from the sea, resting on the stronghold of Lille, and provided with a powerful artillery Faidherbe understood the art of war, and the force opposed to him was small, but it was led by Goben, reputed in the German earny one of the most consuminate officers in Europe, and Faidherbe could not make his way to Paris. The army of the Loire had been cut in two at Orleans; and one half retired by the left bank of the river towards Bourges, where it spent some weeks in maction, while the stronger half, under Chanzy, closely pursued by the Duke of Mecklenburg, turned towards the west. Chanzy proved the hardest lutter among the generals of the Republic. His troops fought day by day, losing ground but not losing courage, until the Bavarians, who had seen so much of the roughest work of the campaign, had almost melted away. Defeated at Beaugeney, Chanzy retreated slowly towards Brittany. and established himself at Le Mans, to the west of Paris, while the Tours Government, having no army to protect it, retired to Bordeaux. The defeat of Aurelle and Chanzy on the Loire made it clear that the armies charged with the duty of covering the siege of Paris were equal to their task, and the French turned their thoughts in another direction. In the east of France Garibaldi had not answered the expectations of Gambetta, and his Italian soldiers had sometimes fought better than their French brothers in arms. His campaign in Burgundy had not served the prestige either of France or of the Republic, while the loyal and religious men of La Vendée had shared the laurels of Chanzy Gambetta raised the army of Bourges to 130,000 men, gave the command to Bourbaki, the General of the Imperial Guard, and leaving the western army of the Loue to its fate, sent

him to raise the siege of Belfort and threaten Germany. Prince Frederick Charles, who had kept watch at Orleans, seeing no enemy in his front, marched against Chanzy, defeated him at Le Mans on 12th January, and drove him into the west. Meantime Bourbaki fell upon Werder near Belfort, and was compelled to retreat after three days' fighting. Werder, with only 40,000 men, was too weak for a vigorous pursuit But as soon as the nature of Bourbaki's expedition was ascertained, Moltke had sent Manteuffel and Fransecky across France to intercept him, and quietly announced at Versailles that the Germans had got too many prisoners, and that Bourbaki would be driven over the frontier and disarmed by the Swiss Every movement was so well planned and conducted that Bourbaki, seeing that all was lost, attempted suicide, and 80,000 of his troops laid down their arms in Switzerland.

While these things were passing amid the snows of the Jura, Paris had already fallen. The Germans, having detached all the men they could spare to put an end to the resistance in the provinces, proceeded to batter the defences. The southern forts proved too strong for their siege artillery; but it was ascertained that their guns carried right into the heart of Paris, and the bombardment commenced in earnest. It did little damage, for Paris, rebuilt by the Emperor of stone and iron, is the least combustible of cities, and the loss of life was small The inhabitants bore this trial well, but they could not bear the maction of their defenders At last, on 10th January, when the bombardment had lasted a fortnight, and the mortality among non-combatants from disease and want of nourishment exceeded the usual rate by 500 deaths a day, when the remnants of the relieving armies under Bourbaki and Chanzy were in full retreat, and while Goben, at St Quentin, was gaining the last pitched battle of the war, Trochu led 100,000 men against the Germans in the direction of Versailles It was the last effort of the besieged, and when it failed, Trochu took no pains to disguise the magnitude of the disaster. On the

next day the irretrievable defeat of Chanzy was made public Riots broke out, bread ran short, and Trochu resigned his command, while the Germans opened an overwhelming fire to the north, on the weakest point of the fortifications The Government appealed to all the officers successively down to the rank of Captain Not one was willing to take on himself the task of prolonging the defence The fort of St. Denis was about to fall, and then the populous regions of Paris wou'd be commanded by the Prussian guns On 24th January Favre went out to Versailles, and after four days' discussion an armistice was concluded. The defence had long ceased to be justified by the rules and purposes of military science But the Parisians were persuaded that they were yielding only to famine, and had persevered up to the verge of starvation. It was reported that the Government had miscalculated the duration of the supplies by a week, and that there was imminent danger Germans, on the contrary, believed that Paris yielded to force, that the bombardment had hastened the end by a month, and that provisions would have lasted, with the cruel economy practised in many famous sieges, far into February They offered six millions of rations, but they were not sent for They brought large supplies of flour, but it was left untouched for many days The omnibuses were still running in Paris, and of the horses that were private property very few had been killed

Favre had no real authority over the rest of France, and there was doubt whether the armistice he had signed would be accepted at Bordeaux in the name of the provinces. Favre, acting under false impressions, and hoping to save Bourbaki, had excluded him from the range of the armistice, and as the rout of his army speedily followed, Gambetta reviled the Paris Government and denounced their act. He submitted, however, and prepared for the inevitable election of a National Assembly in such a way as to make it serve his purpose of renewing the war, which Chanzy alone among the leading generals was ready to conduct. The elections

occurring at the moment when the efforts of the Republic had brought the country lower than the Empire had lest it, were sure to be reactionary. The restoration of Monarchy under the House of Orleans, through the instrumentality of Thiers, seemed near at hand Gambetta decreed that all men should be ineligible who had held any office under the Empire. Under the appearance of excluding the Imperialists, who were no longer feared, this was a blow aimed at the friends of Constitutional Monarchy, and it was immediately annulled by Jules Favre as an audacious infraction of the principles of liberty. Gambetta resigned, and the triumph of the Moderate and Peace party was secured.

Paris elected a long list of illustrious writers, together with the chief revolutionary leaders The long seclusion of the capital had estranged it from the rest of France Its influence had been too long suspended to be easily There was no sympathy between the city recovered that had cost such sacrifices and the provinces that had made them in vain. The temper of the Assembly was so hostile and intolerant to the war party, that Victor Hugo and some other Paris deputies quitted it. Garibaldi also resigned, but attempted afterwards to speak The majority marked their abhorrence of the party he represented by refusing to hear him Thiers, who was elected in more than twenty constituencies, and had received a million and a half of votes, was put at the head of the State, that he might quickly come to terms with the conquerors, and then curb the revolutionary movement. He is a considerable writer, an admirable speaker, and the eleverest talker in France. As a statesman he had shown boldness and fertility of expedients, but he was growing old, and his action since the beginning of the war had not sustained his fame. He has exulted 50 much over French conquests, and so often flattered with ingenious sophistry the vainer and more celfish patriotism of his country, that he could not adopt the lofty though fatal declarations of Jules Favre about the integrity of the national soil. He courageously accepted the correquences of such tremendous reverses. He had left Versailles in November, believing that the Germans would have restored Metz, on condition of levelling the works, and would have been content with taking £120,000,000. Three months of war had doubled the indemnity, and the generals would not hear of losing Metz. It is remarkable that M. Thiers appealed to England for aid in reducing not the demand for territory, but the demand for money. A telegram from Count Bernstorff arrived at the critical moment, and £40,000,000 sterling were struck off the indemnity.

The end of war is peace, but in France the proclamation of the peace was the signal for civil war The conspirators who control the fierce democracy of Paris repudiated a Government which was imposed on the artisans of cities by the peasant proprietors of France Two months after the last Prussian gun had been discharged, Thiers was battering down the walls which he himself had built, and Favre was throwing shells into the city in which he had so lately learnt the terrors of bombardment. The provinces, which had failed to deliver the capital from the German armies, were striving to reconquer it from the Revolution But the victory of the lawful Government over the dreaded enemy who must always remain within the walls and cannot be got rid of, cannot end in a settlement compatible with freedom No absolute republic can reconcile the conflict between wealth and labour in arms, for it must lead to the domination of one class of society and the economic subjugation of the other The Revolution is destroying the Republic, and France is once more drifting on a resistless current towards Monarchy The House of Orleans has not stood above the parties, but was identified with that dominion of the middle class which is the main cause of Socialism. This has produced the unexpected influence of the Legitimists, of that party whose monarch claims the crown on abstract principle, and not by virtue of any positive interests, and causes thousands who are not Legitimists to wish for the restoration of the head of the House of Bourbon, guarded by the able and politic princes of the younger branch.

The events which have dissolved society in France have consolidated Germany. For the first time since Frederick Barbarossa was drowned in the Crusade, it has become a powerful Empire, under a National Emperor. While the centrifugal forces make France their prey, the danger of the Germans lies in the immense preponderance of the Prussian Crown But a Federation between Sovereign States is perhaps of all forms of government the one that promises to provide, in the long-run, the strongest and safest securities for the liberty and progress of the world

## GLORGE ELIOT'S LIFL'

It it is true that the most interesting of George Ehot's characters is her own, it may be said also that the most interesting of her books is her Life. Mr. Cross has made known what is in fact the last work of the great English-He possesses that art of concealing the artist which is still the rarest quality of biographers, and, apart from a few necessary pages, gives nothing but letters, journals, and fragmentary memoirs, written partly with a dim vision of publicity. The volumes will be read less for the notes of travel, the emphatic tenderness of the letters to friends, often on a lower plane, and the tonic aphorisms devised for their encouragement, than for the light they shed on the history of a wonderful intellect The usual attractions of biography are wanting here. We see the herome, not reflected from other minds, but nearly as she saw herself and cared to be known skilled hand has drawn her likeness. In books variously attributable to a High Church curate and to a disciple of Comte, the underlying unity of purpose was not apparent. For valid reasons they invite interpretation much as Faust or the Paradiso. The sequence of ideas, no longer obscured by irony, no longer veiled under literary precautions or with the dense drapery of style, is revealed beyond the risk of error now that the author has become her own interpreter

 $\mathbf{T}$ 

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;George Eliot's Life as related in her Letters and Journals, arranged and edited by her husband, J W Cross In three volumes, London and Edinburgh, William Blackwood & Sons, 1885" The Nineteenth Century, March, 1885

The Life, while it illustrates the novels, explains what they do not indicate,—the influences which produced the novelist. George Eliot was no spontaneous genius, singing unbidden with unpremeditated art. Her talents ripened successively and slowly No literary reputation of this century has risen so high after having begun so late, The even maturity of her powers, original and acquired, lasted only thirteen years, and the native imagination was fading when observation and reflection were in the fulness of their prime Mr Cross's first volume describes the severe discipline of life and thought, the trials and efforts by which her greatness was laboriously achieved

Marian Evans spent the first thirty years of her life in a rural shire, and received her earliest and most enduring impressions in a region of social stability, among mert forces, away from the changing scenes that attend the making of history Isolation, the recurring note of her existence, set in early, for her urgent craving for love and praise was repelled by the relations around her, and her childhood was unhappy. We are assured that she was affectionate, proud, and sensitive in the highest degree; and the words are significant, because they bear the concurrent testimony of her brother and her husband The early letters, written with the ceremonious propriety of Miss Seward, give no sign of more than common understanding. She was just out of her teens when she wrote the following words -

Men and women are but children of a larger growth, they are still imitative beings We cannot (at least those who ever read to any purpose at all)—we cannot, I say, help being modified by the ideas that pass through our minds. We hardly wish to lay claim to such elasticity as retains no impress. How deplorably and unaccountably evanescent are our frames of mind, as various as the forms and lines of the summer clouds! A single word is sometimes enough to give an entirely new mould to our thoughts, at least I find myself so constituted, and therefore to me it is pre-eminently important to be anchored within the veil, so that outward things may be unable to send me adrift Society is a wide nursery of plants, where the hundreds decompose to nourish the future ten, after giving collateral benefits to their contemporaries destined for a fairer garden. The prevalence of misery and want in this boasted nation

of prosperity and glory is appalling, and really seems to call us away from mental luxury. Oh to be doing some little towards the regeneration of this growing travailing creation."

Up." She was intent on Doddridge, Wilberforce, and Milner, admired Hannah More, and commended The Infidel Reclaimed Respect for the logic of Calvinism survived most of her theology, and it was attended originally by a corresponding aversion for what pertains to Rome. She reads the Oxford tracts, and unconsciously applying a noted saying of St. Thomas, detects the Satanic canker amidst so much learning and devotion.

This seriousness is the most constant element which early education supplied to her after career She knew, not from hearsay or habit, but from the impress of inward experience, what is meant by conversion, grace, and prayer Her change was not from external conformity to avowed indifference, but from earnest piety to explicit negation, and the knowledge of many secrets of a devout life accompanied her through all vicissitudes Writers of equal celebrity and partly analogous career, such as Strauss and Renan, have made the same claim, somewhat confounding theological training with religious insight, and deliberate conviction or devotional feeling with faith. But George Eliot continued to draw the best of her knowledge from her own spiritual memories, not from a library of local divinity, and she treated religion neither with learned analysis nor with a gracious and flexible curiosity, but with a certain grave sympathy and gratitude. Her acquaintance with books had been restricted by the taste or scruples of teachers who could not estimate the true proportions or needs of her mind, and the defect was not remedied by contact with any intelligent divine. Such instruction as she obtained has supported thousands faithfully in the trials of life, but for an inquisitive and ambitious spirit, gifted with exceptional capacity for acquiring knowledge, it was no adequate protection under the wear and tear of study.

In the summer of 1841 the thought quickens, the style improves, and a new interest is awakened in disputed questions She already aspired after that reconciliation of Locke with Kant which was to be the special boast

of one of her most distinguished friends, and she was impressed by Isaac Taylor's Ancient Christianity, allowing some drawback for his treatment of the Fathers. At this point, while still a trusted member of the Church, Miss Evans was introduced at Coventry to a family of busy and strenuous freethinkers

The first visit to their house was early in November 1841, after which she speaks of being absorbed in momentous studies, and on the 13th of the same month she writes to her most intimate friend "Think! is there any conceivable alteration in me that could prevent your coming to me at Christmas?" The obstacle announced in these words was a vital alteration in her religious principles The revolution was sudden, but it was complete For a time she continued to speak of eternal hope and a beneficent Creator, in deference to her father she even consented, uneasily, to go to church But from that momentous November until her death it would appear that no misgiving favourable to Christianity ever penetrated her mind or shook for an instant its settled unbelief There was no wavering and no regret. And when George Eliot had become a consummate expert in the pathology of conscience, she abstained from displaying the tortures of doubt and the struggles of expiring faith

The history of a soul is never fully told, even for edification. We learn that Miss Evans was initiated in the mysteries of scepticism at her first encounter with cultivated society, and her early convictions, artlessly propped upon Young and Hannah More, yielded to the combined influence. Her new friend was the wife of Mr. Bray, who had written The Philosophy of Necessity, and sister to Mr. Hennell, the author of An Enquiry concerning the Origin of Christianity. The formal country schoolgirl, whose wondering companions called her "Little Mamma," who gathered them for prayer, who knew how to organise and to invigorate district work, and had dismissed her own brother for his High Church propensity, was fascinated and transformed by these surroundings. She pronounced Mrs. Bray the most religious person she knew, and Mr.

Hennell a perfect model of manly excellence. She read his Enquiry twice through, and found it more interesting than any book she had seen It represented in its day the antepenultimate stage of Biblical study, and Strauss, swathing his German criticism in politer Latin, said that it was written Britannis, Britannice Mr Hennell's reading of Gospel history was not the outcome of untried method or hypothesis, and those whom he convinced were tempted to conclude that arguments so specious and acceptable to themselves ought in fairness to satisfy others impressed Miss Evans, and at the critical moment she met with some unfavourable specimen of the Christian "These dear orthodox people talk so simply sometimes, that one cannot help fancying them satirists of their own doctrines and fears" Endowed with many virtues which go to constitute the ideal of the Christian character, with self-knowledge, unflinching sincerity, and an ardent devotion to the good of others, she became impatient of minds that could not keep pace with her own, and learnt during a portion of her life to reckon prejudice, fallacious reasoning, and wilful blindness among the properties of orthodoxy.

Strauss himself never made so important a proselyte He provoked a reaction which nearly balanced his direct influence, and the Leben Jesu had already become, like the Génie du Christianisme and the Sermon on National Apostasy, the signal of a religious revival. Between Hennell's Enquiry and George Eliot's answer there is no proportion. His views need not have implied condemnation of all foreign and American Churches She was more thorough in her rejection of the Gospels, and she at once rejected far more than the Gospels For some years her mind travelled in search of rest, and, like most students of German thought before the middle of the century, she paid a passing tribute to pantheism But from Jonathan Edwards to Spinoza she went over at one step. The abrupt transition may be accounted for by the probable action of Kant, who had not then become a buttress of Christianity. Out of ten Englishmen, if

there were ten, who read him in 1841, nine got no further than the Critique of Pure Reason, and knew him as the dreaded assailant of popular evidences. When George Eliot stood before his statue at Berlin she was seized with a burst of gratitude, but she hardly became familiar with his later works

Mr Bray was a phrenologist who remained faithful to the cause after it had been blighted by Dr Carpenter, and he soon found out that, if there is truth in phrenology, Miss Evans must be a portent. Mrs Bray and her sister, the Cara and Sara of the biography, relieved the sadness and the solitude of her life at home, and comforted her in fits of nervous depression, in her fretful introspection, in her despair of ever winning affection or doing work worth living for She associated with their friends, used their library, and surveyed the world through their windows Greek and German, and the depths of unconscious energy within, carried her presently beyond their sphere, and she followed her own path in literature. A time came when the correspondence between them fell under constraint But for ten eventful years, in which her mind was forming and settling upon fixed lines, this family group was able to encourage and to limit her progress, and the letters to Miss Hennell, written under the stress of transition, described her first attempts to steer without the accustomed stars -

Of course I must desire the ultimate downfall of error, for no error is innocuous, but this assuredly will occur without my proselytising aid. I cannot rank among my principles of action a fear of vengeance eternal, gratitude for predestined salvation, or a revelation of future glories as a reward. The mind that feels its value will get large draughts from some source if denied it in the most commonly chosen way. Where is not this same ego? The martyr at the stake seeks its gratification as much as the court sycophant, the difference lying in the comparative dignity and beauty of the two egos. People absurdly talk of self-denial. Why, there is none in virtue to a being of moral excellence. There can be few who more truly feel than I that this is a world of bliss and beauty, that is, that bliss and beauty are the end, the tendency of creation, and evils are its shadows. When the soul is just liberated from the wretched giant's bed of dogmas on which it has been racked and

stretched ever since it began to think, there is a feeling of exultation and strong hope. We think we shall run well when we have the full use of our limbs and the bracing air of independence, and we believe that we shall soon obtain something positive which will not only more than compensate us for what we have renounced, but will be so well worth offering to others that we may venture to proselytise as fast as our zeal for truth may prompt us. But a year or two of reflection, and the experience of our own miserable weakness, which will ill afford to part even with the crutch of superstition, must, I think, effect a change. Speculative truth begins to appear but a shadow of individual minds, agreement between intellects seems unattainable, and we turn to the truth of feeling as the only universal bond of union

We find that the intellectual errors which we once fancied were a mere incrustation have grown into the living body, and that we cannot, in the majority of cases, wrench them away without destroying vitality. We begin to find that, with individuals as with nations, the only safe revolution is one arising out of the wants which their own progress has generated. It is the quackery of infidelity to suppose that it has a nostrum for all mankind

So much of George Eliot's permanent characteristics had taken root independently of Rousseau, Spinoza, Feuerbach, Goethe, Comte, or Spencer, and before the dynasty of thinkers began to reign in her mind. Mrs Cross would have recognised herself in these confessions of 1843 The acute crisis was over a long period of gradual and consistent growth ensued

Miss Evans translated the Leben Jesu from the fourth edition, in which Strauss betrayed the feeling roused by the violence of the conflict, and withdrew the concessions which his ablest opponents had wrung from him. It was not a labour of love to the translator. In her judgment the problem was exhausted She had her own more radical solution, which the author did not reach for twenty years, and she shared neither his contentious fervour, his asperity, nor his irresolution. The task was accomplished under a sense of growing repulsion. One of her friends even says that she gathered strength to write on the Crucifixion by gazing on the crucifix, and we may infer from this remark that some confusion of thought prevailed at Coventry.

When she visited Germany in 1854, the first person

she met, at Cologne, was Strauss A miniature revolution had driven him from the career for which he was bred, and he was leading an indeterminate existence, without an occupation fitted for his powers, and without a home Cologne irritated him by want of literature, and by the cathedral which a Protestant government was proceeding to complete, while those to whom it belonged had been content that it should stand for centuries a monument of profuse and miscalculating zeal Theology made him sick, and fame did not console him, for he was tired of being called the author of his book, and was not yet reconciled to popularity among classes that could neither substitute precept for dogma nor ideas for facts. The meeting left no agreeable impression. In the life of George Eliot Strauss is an episode, not an epoch. She did not take him up to satisfy doubts or to complete an appointed course These studies were carried no further, and she was not curious regarding the future of the famous school whose influence extended from Newman and Ritschl to Renan and Keim But there is no writer on whom she bestowed so large a share of the incessant labour of her life Two years spent in uncongenial contact with such a mind were an effectual lesson to a woman of twenty-six, unused to strict prosaic method, and averse from the material drudgery of research She could learn from Strauss to distrust the royal road of cleverness and wit, to neglect no tedious detail, to write so that what is written shall withstand hostile scrutiny

Five studious years followed, which strengthened the solid qualities of her mind. There had been much docility in complying with the nearest teaching and taking the line of least resistance. There was some risk of falling into worn channels, as men do who keep the colours of school and college, who read for agreement, and privately believe in some sage of Highgate or Westminster, Chelsea or Concord, as chance determines. George Eliot set herself earnestly to get out of the current, to be emancipated from the forces about her, and to secure the largest area of choice for guidance and instruction.

I say it now, and I say it once for all, that I am influenced in my own conduct at the present time by far higher considerations, and by a nobler idea of duty, than I ever was while I held the evangelical It seems as if my affections were quietly sinking down to temperate, and I every day seem more and more to value thought rather than feeling I do not think this is man's best estate I am set free from the irritating worn-out integument I am entering on a new period of my life, which makes me look back on the past as something incredibly poor and contemptible. I am beginning to lose respect for the petty acumen that sees difficulties.

I love the souls that rush along to their goal with a full stream of sentiment, that have too much of the positive to be harassed by the perpetual negatives, which after all are but the disease of the soul, to be expelled by fortifying the principle of vitality The only ardent hope I have for my future life is to have given to me some woman's duty, some possibility of devoting myself where I may see a daily result of pure calm blessedness in the life of another

After losing her father and spending several months at Geneva she settled down to a literary career in London At Geneva she is still remembered with affection days were spent obscurely, in the hard work which was her refuge from loneliness, from despondency, from the absence of a woman's joys and cares She kept the secret of her authorship, and avoided aggressive speech; but those whom she trusted knew her as a pantheist and a stubborn disputant. She is described as talking well but showily, like one overfed on the French of the days when Quinet and Mickiewicz were eminent. France and the emotional philosophers had their time. She became, and to some extent remained, a devoted advocate of Jean Jacques and George Sand, and she startled Emerson by her taste for the Confessions.

Half of the books mentioned at this period are in She knew how to distil working ideas from the obscurest poems; and her decorated prose, artificial with the strain to avoid commonplace, charged with excessive meaning, and resembling the style of no other writer, was formed on the English poets. She preferred Milton, Shelley, Wordsworth, and the early dramatists, specially excluding Marlowe. No one was fitter by intellectual affinity to penetrate the secret of Shakespeare, but the influence of Goethe was deeper, and perhaps near the end

the influence of Dante Goethe's preponderance is explicable by Strauss's reason, that Sirius may be larger than the sun, but ripens no grapes for us. It is recorded that George Eliot thought Shakespeare unjust to women, and we may believe that a mind so carefully poised was repelled by his flagrant insularity, his leaning for obvious characters, his insensibility to the glories of Greece and the mystery of the Renaissance, his indifference to the deeper objects for which his generation contended. The preference for Dante, with all his passion, fanaticism, and poverty of logic, is a symptom of that swerving towards religious sentiments which, in spite of Comte, if not by virtue of Comte, marked the later years

Beyond the pleasures of literature arose the sterner demand for a certain rule of life in place of the rejected creeds The sleepless sense that a new code of duty and motive needed to be restored in the midst of the void left by lost sanctions and banished hopes never ceased to stimulate her faculties and to oppress her spirits the interrupted development and the breach with the entire past, only her own energy could avail in the pursuit that imparted unity to her remaining life. It was the problem of her age to reconcile the practical ethics of unbelief and of belief, to save virtue and happiness when dogmas and authorities decay. To solve it she swept the realm of knowledge and stored up that large and serious erudition which sustains all her work, and in reality far exceeded what appears on the surface of the novels or in the record of daily reading For an attentive observer there are many surprises, like that of the mathematician who came to give her lessons and found that she was already in the differential calculus It is her supreme characteristic in literature that her original genius rested on so broad a foundation of other people's thoughts, and it would be hard to find in her maturer life any parallel to Mr Spencer's historic inacquaintance with Comte, or to the stranger ignorance of Mr Spencer's own existence avowed in 1881 by Michelet, the legendary mantle-bearer of Hegel

George Eliot always read with a purpose before her and there was no waste and little raw material in her learning But her acquirements were mainly those of a person who had taught herself, and might not have satisfied University tests The Latin is dubious in Romola and the Italian in Mr Gilfil's Love Story The Princess of Eboli, who is supposed in the Life to have been a beauty, wore a patch over her eye A questionable date is assigned to the Platonic anniversary in Romola, and the affair of the Appeal is misunderstood. There is a persistent error regarding the age of Pico; and Savonarola, instead of proclaiming that he went straight to heaven, gave his evidence the other way These and all other mistakes which the patience of readers has detected are immeasurably trivial compared to those which occur in the most famous historical novels, such as Ivanhoe and John Inglesant.

Caution and vigilance in guarding even the vestige of inaccuracy are apparent in other ways than the trip to Gainsborough and the consultation with Mr Harrison on the legal obscurities of Felix Holt. Ladislaw's fatal allusion to German scholarship, which shattered Dorothea's belief in her husband, was an audacious hyperbole. parative mythology was as backward in Germany as elsewhere, besides which the Aglaophamus was written in Latin and the Symbolik was already appearing in French But George Eliot takes care to warn us that Ladislaw did not know what he was talking about, and that Casaubon scorned to learn from a German even writing in Latin Macchiavelli, in Romola, blows hot and cold on the Frate, but the inconsistency is faithfully taken from his writings While the enthusiasts prevailed he went easily with the tide, but after he had been ruined and tortured for the Republic, and had become the officious expounder of Borgian theory to Medicean experts, he spoke as became him of the man who had the blood-feud with Borgias and Medicis The discovery of a single epithet, of a single letter (versuto for versato), has determined his real opinion since George Eliot wrote. The supreme test of

the solidity of her work is the character of Savonarola. She possibly under-estimates the infusion of artifice in the prophecies, but no historian has held more firmly the not very evident answer to the question how a man who denounced the Pope as fiercely as Luther, who was excommunicated and consigned to death by Rome, should nevertheless have left such a reputation behind him that, within eleven years of his execution, Julius the Second declared him a true martyr, and was willing to canonise him, that Paul the Third suspected any man who should venture to accuse him, that he was honoured among the saints in the liturgy of his Order The answer is that Savonarola assailed the intruder, not the institution. He was no reformer of the prerogative, and would have committed full powers to a pontiff of his choice upheld the Papal authority against the usurper of the Papacy Three false Popes were once upon a time removed to make way for Clement the Third, for the same reason for which Savonarola deemed Alexander an illegitimate pretender, who ought to be made to yield his place to a better man

The essential articles of George Eliot's creed were the fruit of so much preceding study that she impresses us less than some other writers by originality in the common sense of invention She was anxious to make it known that her abiding opinions were formed before she settled in London Mr Spencer confirms the claim, and it is proved by her first paper in the Westminster Review The doctrine that neither contrition nor sacrifice can appease Nemesis, or avert the consequences of our wrongdoing from ourselves and others, filled a very large space indeed in her scheme of life and literature bare diagram of Brother Jacob to the profound and finished picture of Middlemarch, retribution is the constant theme and motive for her art. It helped to determine her religious attitude, for it is only partly true that want of evidence was her only objection to Christianity She was firmly persuaded that the postponement of the reckoning blunts the edge of remorse, and that repentance

which ought to be submission to just punishment, proved by the test of confession, means more commonly the endeavour to elude it. She thought that the world would be indefinitely better and happier if men could be made to feel that there is no escape from the inexorable law that we reap what we have sown. When she began to write, this doctrine was of importance as a neutral space, as an altar of the Unknown God, from which she was able to preach her own beliefs without controversy or exposure. For whilst it is the basis of morals under the scientific reign, it is a stimulant and a consolation to many Christians, for whom the line, "The mills of God grind slowly, but they grind exceeding small," expresses an ancient observation sanctioned by religion, whereas the words once spoken at Salerno, "Dilexi justitiam et odi iniquitatem, propterea morior in exilio," are the last cry of a baffled and despairing fanatic.

This fundamental principle, that the wages of sin are paid in ready money, was borne in upon her by all her early environment. Bray had written a book in its defence, and the strength of Dawson's moral teaching was largely ascribed to the firmness with which he held it. Comte had said that obedience to each natural law has its peculiar reward, and disobedience its appropriate punishment, and Emerson stated his theory of compensation in these terms "The specific stripes may follow late upon the offence, but they follow, because they accompany Crime and punishment grow out of one stem. We cannot do wrong without suffering wrong" The same law, that evil ensues of necessity from evil deeds, is the pivot of Spinoza's ethics, and it was the belief of Strauss. George Eliot accepted it, and made it bright with the splendour of genius Other portions of her system, such as altruism and the reign of the dead, exhibit her power of anticipating and of keeping abreast with the quicker movements of the age. In this she plainly followed, and she followed the lead of those who happened to be near

She belongs to that family of illustrious thinkers whose progress has been made by the ingenious use of existing

materials and respect for those who have gone before Mr Herbert Spencer owes seminal ideas to Baer, Professor Bain to Johannes Müller, Helmholtz to Young, Darwin to Malthus, Malthus to Euler, Milne Edwards to Adam Smith, Bentham to Hutcheson Newton has the demerit of having been preceded in his greatest discovery by three contemporaries, and Helmholtz by five One of Laplace's theories was in s' Gravesande before him and the other in Kant Comte, if Mill had not given him a release from the study of German, might have found his law of the three stages anticipated by Files in 1819. The Westminster Review adopted a new and characteristic motto when she joined it There is another maxim of the same writer, which she would have been willing to make her own "Alles Gescheidte ist schon gedacht worden, man muss nur versuchen es noch einmal zu denken" Goethe's new commentators track the derivation of his sentences. as we in England know how much Latin and Italian poctry was boiled down in Gray's "Elegy," and from which lines of Coleridge Byron got the "Address to the Ocean" George Eliot's laborious preparation and vast reading have filled her books with reminiscences more or less definite. The suggestion that she borrowed the material of plots from George Sand, Freytag, Heyse, Kraszewski, Disraeli, or Mrs Gaskell, amounts to nothing, but the quack medicine which is employed to make the Treby congregation ridiculous is inherited from Faust The resemblance of ideas is often no more than agreement. The politics of Felix Holt may be found in Guizot-"C'est de l'état intérieur de l'homme que dépend l'état visible de la société." A Belgian statesman has said, "Plus on apporte d'éléments personnels, spontanés, humains, dans les institutions, moins elles sont appelées à régler la marche de la société" Probably George Eliot had read neither the one nor the other, though she may have met with the same thoughts constantly But she had read Delphine, and the conclusion of Delphine is the conclusion of the story of Gwendolen "On peut encore faire servir au bonheur des autres une vie qui ne nous promet à nous-

mêmes que des chagrins, et cette espérance vous la ferait supporter" The passage on the roadside crucifix in Adam Bede ends thus "No wonder man's religion has sorrow in it: no wonder he needs a suffering God!" The sentence reads like a quotation from Chateaubriand, but it is the quintessence of Feuerbach. In the same chapter of Deronda the lament of Francesca is quoted with repeated emphasis, and the moon is entangled among trees and houses. The figure occurs in the poem which Musset wrote against those very verses of Dante. A motto before the fifty-seventh chapter of Daniel Deronda comes very near the preface to Fiesco. Several candidates have felt that Mr. Brooke has purloined their speeches at the hustings. One of his good sayings points to France. "I want that sort of thing-not ideas, you know, but a way of putting them." The speechless deputy in the comedy says, "Ce n'est jamais les idées qui me manquent, c'est le style"

When she left Warwickshire, where Mr. Froude and Miss Martineau had been her friends and Emerson had shone for a moment, she was not dazzled by what she found in London The discriminating judgment, the sense of proportion were undisturbed by reverence or enthusiasm for the celebrities of the day. The tone towards Macaulay and Mill is generally cold, and she shrinks from avowing the extent of her dislike for Carlyle Dickens behaved well towards his lofty rival, but she feels his defects as keenly as his merits; and she is barely just to Darwin and Lecky A long ground-swell followed her breach with Miss Martineau The admiration expressed for Mr. Ruskin-the Ruskin of 1858-is flavoured with the opposite feeling, and the opposite feeling towards Buckle is not flavoured with admiration; for her artistic temper revolts against the abstraction of the average man and the yoke of statistics, with its attendant reliance on the efficacy of laws George Eliot highly esteemed both the Newmans She wished to be within hearing of the pulpit at Edgbaston The Apologia breathed much life into her, and she points out the beauty of one passage, but it is the writer's farewell to friends and no part of his

argument. The early vituperation of Disraeli, of his Judaism and the doctrine of race, is a landmark to measure the long procession of her views. In *Deronda* days she judged Lord Beaconsfield more benignly, relishing his disdain for the popular voice and his literary finish beyond the effective qualities of his rival

Promptness in opening her mind to new influence, and ardour of gratitude and respect had changed into a quiet resolve to keep cool and resist ascendency. There was nobody among her acquaintances to whom she owed such obligations as she acknowledges to Mr. Herbert Spencer Although she underrated his constructive talent, and did not overrate his emotional gifts, she foresaw very early the position he afterwards attained. He made the sunshine of her desolate life in London, they met every day, and the two minds, strangely unlike each other, worked in a like direction. The friendship with Lewes made slower progress.

George Eliot retired from the management of the Review without having found her vocation or struck a vein of ore. She employed herself in translating Spinoza and Feuerbach. The Essence of Christianity had been published more than twelve years, and expressed neither a prevailing phase of philosophy nor the last views of the More than any other work it had contributed to the downfall of metaphysics, and it contained an ingenious theory of the rise and growth of religion, and of the relation of the soul to God, while denying the existence of either Feuerbach repudiated Christianity so decisively that Strauss was distanced and stranded for thirty years, and it would have been difficult to introduce to the British public any work of the same kind written with as much ability It met no demand and was received with cold reserve. A letter of December 1874 shows that Feuerbach's theogony survived in her system longer than his scoffing and destructive spirit. He learnt towards the end of his life that a prominent American politician had been converted from Christianity by his book in the translation of Marian Evans. The news would not have

gratified the translator. The book appeared in July 1854, and immediately after she accepted Lewes, who was completing the *Life of Goethe*, and they started for Weimar and Berlin

Mr. Cross has judged it unnecessary to explain a step which is sufficiently intelligible from the whole tenor of George Eliot's life. The sanctions of religion were indifferent to her after rejecting its doctrines, and she meant to disregard not the moral obligation of marriage, but the social law of England. Neither the law which assigns the conditions of valid marriage, nor that which denied the remedy of divorce, was of absolute and universal authority. Both were unknown in some countries and inapplicable to certain cases, and she deemed that they were no more inwardly binding upon everybody than the royal edicts upon a Huguenot or the penal laws upon a Catholic.

George Eliot can neither be defended on the plea that every man must be tried by canons he assents to, nor censured on the plea that virtue consists in constant submission to variable opinion. The first would absolve fanatics and the other would supersede conscience. is equally certain that she acted in conformity with that which in 1854 she esteemed right, and in contradiction to that which was the dominant and enduring spirit of her own work. She did not feel that she was detracting from her authority by an act which gave countenance to the thesis that associates rigid ethics with rigid dogma, for she claimed no authority and did not dream of setting an example. The idea of her genius had not dawned That she possessed boundless possibilities of doing good to men, and of touching hearts that no divine and no philosopher could reach, was still, at thirty-five, a secret to herself At first she was astonished that anybody who was not superstitious could find fault with her. herself to old friends, to earn with her pen an income for her whose place she took, to pass among strangers by a name which was not her due, all this did not seem too high a price for the happiness of a home. She urged

with pathetic gravity that she knew what she was losing She did not know it. Ostensibly she was resigning a small group of friends and an obscure position in literature What she really sacrificed was liberty of speech, the foremost rank among the women of her time, and a tomb in Westminster Abbey

Mr Cross is loyal to the memory of Lewes, and affords no support to the conjecture that she longed to be extricated from a position which had become intolerable, or ever anoke to the discovery that she had sacrificed herself to an illusion. With a history open to unfriendly telling there were topics difficult to touch upon and views to which she could not well do justice. She endeavoured, when she became an author, to avert celebrity, to conceal her identity, even to disguise her purpose, and to assume an attitude which was not her own. So essential did secrecy seem to success that the revelation compelled by the report that George Eliot was some one else was felt as a serious injury. There was some cause for diffidence, for toleration, and for a veil of irony. But so far was the difficulty of her position from depressing the moral standard that it served in one respect to raise it. Feuerbach thought it affectation to turn away from immodest scenes, and asserted that enjoyment is a duty. Strauss sneered at the text which laid down the law of Christian chastity. The Westminster Review praised a wife who had procured a mistress for her husband. Rousseau thought Sophic all the better for her sin. With these writers George Eliot had been associated Her admiration for Rousseau, for Shelley, for Jacques, the most ignominious of George Sand's stories, her description of the indissolubility of marriage as a diabolical law, indicate that her opinions did not always keep the elevated level of her early religion and her later philosophy her novels the tone is extremely high. It is true that the pure mind of Romola had been fed on *The Decamerone*, but it is also true that Boccaccio, and not Dante, was the favourite classic of the Florentines of the Renaissance. Gwendolen, having been degraded by marriage without

love, is rescued and purified by love without marriage; but we are not suffered to forget for a moment that the marriage was criminal and the love was pure. George Eliot determined to write nothing from which it might be inferred that she was pleading for herself. She was scrupulous that no private motive should affect the fidelity of art. To write books, as *Corune* and *Delphine* were written, in the interest of the writer, would have seemed to her degradation, and she never puts forward her own ideal of character.

Marriage was not the only chapter of social ethics touched by the Feuerbach phase, and it was not the gravest. Mazzini belonged to Lewes's circle, and Mazzini was currently suspected of complicity in practices which were distinctly criminal, practices for which the law prescribes its last and simplest penalty. George Eliot wavered a good deal between her interest in his cause and her distrust of his methods, but she would never have felt it a stigma to be on amicable terms with him. Elizabeth and Mary, James and William, lie under the same ban of imputed murder, and the friends of the republican conspirator had no reason to apprehend the censure of those who admired the heroes of Catholic and Protestant monarchy.

Those who remember George Henry Lewes in his prosperity, when he was the most amusing talker in the town, so well content with his labours as to regret nothing he had written, and running over with mirth and good-humour until he could bear contradiction, excuse folly, and even tolerate religion, saw what George Eliot had made him. She knew him first under less genial aspects Disaster had settled on his domestic life; he had set his hand to too many things to excel in any, and the mark of failure and frustrated effort was upon him. Varnhagen said in 1850 that Lewes's restless endeavours were repulsive, and that he would end badly if he did not mend his ways. His first books did not recommend him, but there were signs in Ranthorpe of large undiscriminating knowledge, and he was, with Mill, the earliest propounder

of Positivism in England He was introduced to George Eliot when his fortunes were almost desperate, and two years passed before she discerned that he was not the flippant man he seemed. She helped him to attain a prominent if not quite an important place among men of letters. For twenty years his Life of Goethe held its position even in Germany, and the vacant record of incoherent error which he called a History of Philosophy is still read with pleasure. Passing with the drift from the discarded illusions of metaphysics to physiology, and in intelligent pursuance of Comte's leading idea, he conceived the noble design of a History of Science, which, by displaying the discovery and application of scientific methods, would have fitly crowned the Positive Philosophy

Lewes helped to dispel the gloom and despondency of George Eliot's spirits, and stood manfully between her and all the cares he did not cause His literary skill must have done her untold service, although the recorded instances of his intervention are contestable, and although his practice of keeping her aloof from all criticism but his own must have profited her comfort more than her art She deferred to his judgment, but she knew that she could rely on his praise. He admired her essays, her novels, and at last her poetry. He was not quick in detecting her sovereign ability, and must bear the reproach that he under-valued his prize, and never knew until it was too late that she was worthy of better things than the position to which he consigned her During the years in which she rose to fame she lived in seclusion, with no society but that of Lewes, preferring the country to London, the Continent to England, and Germany to In this perfect isolation the man through whose ministry almost alone she kept touch with the wider world exerted much influence. He encouraged her in contempt for metaphysics, in the study of biology, in her taste for French and especially German writers, and in her panoramic largeness of view. The point at which their ways parted and his action ceased most decidedly was religion She had kept up her early love of the Scriptures.

and she contracted a great liking for the solemn services of the Catholic churches Lewes saw no harm in these tastes, and he even bought her a Bible. But he did not like to hear of it. He was a boisterous iconoclast, with little confidence in disinterested belief and a positive aversion for Christianity. Even Bach, he said, was too Protestant for him George Eliot's interest in the religious life was therefore kept up under resistance to adverse pressure.

If Lewes did not debase her standard of rectitude, he enlarged her tolerance of error. Having elected to be subject for life to a man still encumbered with his youth, she became indulgent towards sentiments she disapproved, and appreciated the reason and the strength of opinions repugnant to her Lewes had detached her from the former associations, and she did not accept his views Step by step, for good or evil, the process of her life had brought her to a supreme point of solitude and neutrality that would have been chilling and fatal to a feebler mind, but gave her the privilege of almost unexampled independence and mental integrity. Her secluded life had important literary consequences. It estranged her from general society and from religious people.

The breach with zealous Churchmen was not new, but it was now irreparable. She knew their ways from the old books and early recollections; but in the active religious work and movement of her time she shows no more concern than in Plato or Leibnitz. There is no trace of solicitude about Christian Socialism, although Parson Lot's letter furnished forth a speech for Felix Holt Neither Lamennais nor Gioberti is mentioned, although three volumes are occupied with the protomartyr of Liberal Catholicism The literature of ethics and psychology, so far as it touched religion, dropped out of her sight, and she renounced intercourse with half the talent in the world The most eminent of the men who pursued like problems in her lifetime, among the most eminent who have thought about them at any time, were Vinet and Rothe Both were admirable in their lives, and still

more in the presence of death, and neither of them could be taxed with thraldom to the formulas of preceding divines. George Eliot disregards their existence. At Heidelberg she passes before Rothe's house without alluding to his name. Although she knew and highly valued M Scherer, she did not remember that he was the friend of Vinet, or that the history of his opinions is as remarkable as anything to be found in the *Apologia* or told in her own biography

There are marks of a wound inflicted by Warwickshire pride, which would not heal She knew how to construct an unseen creature from scanty materials, but the divination is more true, the touch more sure in dealing with classes that subsist for profit than with the class that subsists for pleasure. Having met some friends of Cavour on the Lake of Geneva, she declares that there is nothing but their language and their geniality and politeness to distinguish them from the best English families lawyer who on the opening day of the Rugeley trial pronounced Palmer a dead man, "John Campbell was so infernally polite," used an argument of which the author of Romola would have admitted the force. Long retirement prepared her to suspect a snare in conventional gentility, as if company manners concealed a defect of genuine humanity and served to keep classes apart She would not have assented to the definition of a gentleman that he is one who will bear pain rather than inflict it. This is the angle at which a faint echo of Carlyle strikes the ear She pursues with implacable vengeance the easy and agreeable Tito Her chosen hero goes bare-necked and treads on corns She will not see that Harold Transome is a brute, and salves over his inconsiderate rudeness by asserting, in parabasis, his generosity and goodness of heart. Garth, who might have sent in his resignation by post, prefers an interview which compels a cruel explanation No rumours preserved in a family of land agents could justify the picture of Grandcourt, but his odiousness is requisite in order to contrast the wife's momentary flash of guilty delectation when he goes overboard with the ensuing expiation. The same discordant note appears in Gwendolen's impatience under the burden of gratitude. One of Charles Reade's characters exclaims, "Vulgar people are ashamed to be grateful, but you are a born lady," and an Academician, expounding the same text, has written, "Avant d'obliger un homme, assurez-vous bien d'abord que cet homme n'est pas un imbécile." The point is almost too subtle for argument, but it is one of the few marks of limitation in George Eliot's field of vision.

Between Felix Holt and Middlemarch her range expanded and she judged less austerely

We have made some new friendships that cheer us with the sense of new admiration of actual living beings whom we know in the flesh, and who are kindly disposed towards us—Every one of my best blessings, my one perfect love and the sympathy shown towards me for the sake of my works, and the personal regard of a few friends, have become much intensified in these latter days—I have entirely lost my personal melancholy—I often, of course, have melancholy thoughts about the destinies of my fellow-creatures, but I am never in that mood of sadness which used to be my frequent visitant even in the midst of external happiness

Reverence for her genius, for the rare elevation of her teaching, bore down the inevitable reluctance to adjust the rule to an exception Among the first of her new friends were the ladies of Mr Cross's family, and they were welcomed with fervent gratitude. When George Eliot came to live near Regent's Park her house was crowded with the most remarkable society in London Poets and philosophers united to honour her who had been great both in poetry and philosophy, and the aristocracy of letters gathered round the gentle lady who, without being memorable by what she said, was justly esteemed the most illustrious figure that has arisen in literature since Goethe died There might be seen a famous scholar sitting for Casaubon, and two younger men-one with good features, solid white hands, and a cambric pocket-handkerchief, the second with wavy bright hair and a habit of shaking his head backwards, who evoked other memories of the same Midland microcosin,

while Tennyson read his own last poem, or Liebreich sang Schumann's "Two Grenadiers," and Lewes himself, with eloquent fingers and catching laugh, described Mazzini's amazement at his first dinner in London, or the lament of the Berlin professor over the sunset of England since Mr Gladstone had put an Essay-and-Reviewer on the throne of Phillpotts.

The visit to Germany opened out wider horizons chat with Varnhagen von Ense, to explore his archives and admire the miniature of Rahel was a function awaiting literary visitors at Berlin, and Lewes, who had reached Weimar in time to see the Teutonic Boswell, Eckermann, had much to say to the man whom the profane Heine called the vicar of Goethe on earth The chief interpreter of German thought to the travellers was Gruppe, a scholar of many accomplishments, who has since ended extravagantly, but who had vast knowledge of poetry, a keen sense of the exhausted vitality of speculation, and who in the history of cosmology had measured swords with Bockh. George Eliot spent her time in study, seeing little of the intellectual society of the place, and disliking what she saw She continued to know Germany mainly as it was at the date of initiation in 1855 Even Feuerbach and Strauss remained embalmed in the attitudes of 1841 thetic age, whose veterans still lingered about Dresden and Berlin, was always more present to her mind than the predominant generation between the parliament of Frankfort and the proclamation of Versailles, the Germany of Helmholtz and Mommsen, Jhering and Fischer, Virchow and Rumelin, Roscher and Treitschke The only master of this stronger and less artistic school who fixed her attention is Riehl, an author worthy of such a commentator, but not faithful to the methods by which his people succeed

She saw Nathan der Weise, not in vain "Our hearts swelled and the tears came into our eyes as we listened to the noble words of dear Lessing, whose great spirit lives immortally in this crowning work of his" Twenty years later she explained the design of Deronda by the reasons given in the preface to the Juden The altered

attitude towards the Jews, which gradually prepared her last novel, began at this time, and she must have heard Humboldt's saying that Judaism is more easily reconcilable with science than other religions The Hamburgische Briefe lay open before her at the table d'hôte; she pronounces the Laokoon the most un-German of German books, and notices nothing between Berlin and Cologne but "the immortal old town of Wolfenbuttel." If Lessing was the favourite, Goethe was the master. at Weimar, with the sublime tradition, closed for George Eliot the season of storm and strain. Although she never practised art for its own sake, or submitted to the canon that poetry is aimless song, Goethe's gospel of inviolate serenity was soothing to a spirit disabled by excess of sensibility, and taught her to be less passionately affected either by sympathy or sorrow. The contrast is great between the agonising tones of the earlier life and the self-restraint and composure that succeeded. The conversion was not immediate. A scene is recorded at Berlin which recalls the time when Miss Evans was too clever to succeed at Coventry, and the crude smartness of the Westminster articles (toned in the reprint), the resentment and even misery caused by the impostor Liggins, were below the dignity of so noble a mind But the change in the later years is unmistakable Even the genial warmth of affection for persons was tempered by an impartial estimate of their characters and a disinterested neutrality towards their undertakings. A system that denies the hopes and memories which make pain and sadness shrink cannot be rich in consolation, yet she

<sup>1</sup> Some secrets of style reveal themselves to anybody who compares the articles in the Review with the text which she afterwards prepared, and there are many touches and omissions significant of the vast change her mind had undergone. The last essay, which supposes that Young came into the world without a wig, and calls George the First "that royal hog," was composed at the same time as the first novel, and the contrast shows with what effort and constraint the scenes were written. The perfection of language was not reached at once. A single paragraph of the Mill on the Floir contains the terms 'phiz,' "masculinty," that same Nature." There is a slight mannerism in the formula "which his been observed", and the perilous word "mutual is sometimes misapplied. One of her favourite expressions is usual with Comte, and we used to hear another at school in "that central plain, watered at one extremity by the Avon, at the other by the Trent"

strove not to overdo the tragedy of human life. The pathos of Mrs Browning is less profound, the pathos of the Miscrables is less genuine, but they excite more intense emotion. Happiness and success contributed to that majestic calm which is the proper prize of intelligent immersion in Goethe.

George Eliot came back conscious of much affinity with the Germans, and impressed by their methodical energy and massive power. The lack of literary point and grace provoked her, she yawned even over Schiller and Goethe, and the relief she derived from Heine accentuated the favourable estimate of his character in the essay on German wit. She was nowhere so well and so happy, but she described the North as a region of unmannerly pedants, and preferred the cheerful ease and cogent hospitality of the South. International culture had disengaged her patriotism from prejudice, and she felt less for the country between the four seas than for the scenery, the character, and the dialect of the Trent valley.

The Italian journey reveals that weakness of the historic faculty which is a pervading element in her life. Her psychology was extracted from fortuitous experience, from observations made on common people in private life, under the sway of thoughtless habit and inherited stupidity, not from the heroic subjects, the large questions and proportions of history. Italy was little more to her than a vast museum, and Rome, with all the monuments and institutions which link the old world with the new, interested her less than the galleries of Florence. She surveys the grand array of tombs in St. Peter's, and remarks nothing but some peasants feeling the teeth of Canova's lion.

Travel supplied the later books with the materials which came at first from home. The Spanish Gypsy was derived from a Venetian picture. The celestial frescoes in Savonarola's home at San Marco suggested the argument of Romola A Dresden Titian haunted her for years It became the portrait of her latest

hero, whose supposed resemblance to our Lord gives intensity to the contrast between a Jew who sacrificed his people for religion, and a Christian who goes back to Judaism, renouncing his religion in obedience to the hereditary claim of race. When she was writing Adam Bede at Munich, a Moldavian Jew came with introductions to her friends, intent on the same vague errand of national redemption upon which Deronda disappears from sight. Liszt, whom they had known at Weimar, became Klesmer; and a young lady over whom George Eliot wept in the gambling rooms at Homburg, and who remembers the meeting, served as the model of Gwendolen

After many years characterised by mental independence and resistance to control, George Eliot inclined to that system which is popular among men who "yield homage only to external laws" The influence of Comte began early and grew with the successive study of his works, until the revolutionary fervour of 1848 was transformed into the self-suppression of the Spanish Gypsy, and the scorn for Liberality and Utilitarianism which appears in Felix Holt It was the second Comte, the dogmatising and emotional author of the Politique Positive, that she revered, and she has not a word for the arch-rebel Littré. Positivists deem that she never thoroughly conformed. But she renounced much of her unattached impartial freedom for an attitude of doctrinal observance, and submitted her mind to discipline, if not to authority. continued to analyse and to illustrate with an increasing fertility and accuracy, but she was in the clasp of the dead hand, and the leading ideas recur with constant same-That the yoke was ever shaken does not appear We learn from the Life that she never became a party politician, and refused to admit that political differences are, what religious differences are not, founded on an ultimate diversity of moral principles

Comte, who was averse to popular Protestantism, who excluded the reformers from his Calendar, and acknowledged the provisional services rendered to the mediæval phase of the progress of society by the Church, encouraged the

growing favour which she showed to Catholicism Imitation, which is the most perfectly normal expression of Catholic thought, as it bears the least qualifying impress of time and place, and which Comte never wearied of reading and recommending, prepared the sympathy It had been in her hands when she translated Spinoza and afterwards when she wrote the Mill on the Floss thought occurs more often in her writings than that of the persecuted Jews, but she spares the persecutors Romola suggests that Catholic life and history is guided by visions, but the stroke is aimed at other religions as well The man who, for the pure love of holiness, became a brother of the Order of Torquemada, led up to the central problem of Catholicism, how private virtue and public crime could issue from the same root. Comte has extolled De Maistre, the advocate of the Inquisition, and when, in her next work, George Eliot approaches the subject, it was done with reserve, and without advancement of learning Although she preferred the Protestant Establishment to Sectarianism, Catholicism to Protestantism, and Judaism to Christianity, the margin of liking was narrow, and she was content to say that the highest lot is to have definite beliefs

George Eliot's work was done before Lewes died A year and a half after his death she married Mr Cross, and went abroad for the last time. Her husband's illness at Venice was a severe shock to her, but when she came back to her home, released from the constraint of so many years, a new life began. She was able to indulge her own tastes, choosing retirement, reading the Bible and the Divina Commedia, and hearing the Cardinal at Kensington. There was no return to literary composition. The crowding thought had outgrown her control—"E sulle eterne pagine Cadde la stanca man"

Before the summer was over her health gave way In one of the last letters, written in an interval of recovered strength, she says that she has been cared for with something better than angelic tenderness "I do not think I shall have many returns of November, but there

happy as they can be made by the devoted tenderness which watches over me." During this afterglow of tranquil happiness, George Eliot suddenly fell ill and passed away, silent and unconscious of her approaching end. There has been no deathbed to which the last words of Faust are so appropriate —

Zum Augenblicke durft' ich sagen • Verweile doch! Du bist so schön! Es kann die Spur von meinen Erdentagen Nicht in Aonen untergehn! Im Vorgefühl von solchem hohen Gluck Geniess' ich jetzt den hochsten Augenblick.

George Eliot did not believe in the finality of her system, and, near the close of her life, she became uneasy as to the future of her fame. True to the law that the highest merit escapes reward, she had fixed her hope on unborn generations, and she feared to make sure of their gratitude Though very conscious of power and no longer prone to self-disparagement, she grew less satisfied with the execution of her designs, and when comparing the idea before her with her work in the past, her mind misgave her She was disconcerted by ignorant applause, and she had not yet poured her full soul Having seen the four most eloquent French writers of the century outlive their works, and disprove the axiom that style confers immortality, she might well doubt whether writings inspired by distinct views and dedicated to a cause could survive by artistic qualities alone If the mist that shrouded her horizon should ever rise over definite visions of accepted truth, her doctrine might She never attained to the her renown popular pre-eminence of Goethe, or even of Victor Hugo The name of George Eliot was nearly unknown in France, she had lost ground in America, and at home her triumph did not pass unchallenged, when men like Beaconsfield, Ruskin, Arnold, Swinburne denied her claims Lewes himself doubted the final estimate, for he announced with some excitement that she had been

compared to Wordsworth, and that somebody thought the comparison inadequate. Men very far asunder—the two Scherers, Montégut, Mr Spencer and Mr. Hutton, Professor Tyndall and Mr Myers—have declared with singular unanimity that she possessed a union of qualities seldom, if ever, exceeded by man, and not likely to be seen again on earth, that her works are the high-water mark of feminine achievement, that she was as certainly the greatest genius among women known to history as Shakespeare among men. But George Eliot did not live to recognise, in the tribute of admiring friends, the judgment of history

She has said of herself that her function is that of the restlictic, not the doctrinal teacher—the rousing of the nobler emotions which make mankind desire the social right, not the prescribing of special measures supreme purpose of all her work is ethical Literary talent did not manifest itself until she was thirty-seven In her later books the wit and the descriptive power diminish visibly, and the bare didactic granite shows through the cultivated surface She began as an essavist. and ended as she had begun, having employed meanwhile the channel of fiction to enforce that which, propounded as philosophy, failed to convince. If the doctrine, separate from the art, had no vitality, the art without the doctrine had no significance. There will be more perfect novels and truer systems But she has little rivalry to apprehend until philosophy inspires finer novels, or novelists teach nobler lessons of duty to the masses of men If ever science or religion reigns alone over an undivided empire. the books of George Eliot might lose their central and unique importance, but as the emblem of a generation distracted between the intense need of believing and the difficulty of belief, they will live to the last syllable of recorded time. Proceeding from a system which had neglected morals, she became the pioneer in that movement which has produced the Data of Ethics and the Phanomenologie Her teaching was the highest within the resources to which Atheism is restricted as the teaching

## ESSAYS ON MODERN HISTORY

304

of the Fioretti is the highest within the Christian limits. In spite of all that is omitted, and of specific differences regarding the solemn question of conscience, humility, and death, there are few works in literature whose influence is so ennobling; and there were people divided from her in politics and religion by the widest chasm that exists on earth, who felt at her death what was said of the Greek whom she had most deeply studied—σκότον είναι τεθνηκότος

## MR BUCKLE'S THESIS AND METHOD1

MR BUCKLE is a gentleman who has had the rare fortune of jumping to celebrity at a bound, by the publication of an elaborate book on a profound subject. The success of the published portion of his History of Civilisation in England has been hitherto far above that which usually attends such efforts, and it must be conceded, that a work which could thus seize on the public ear must be, at any rate, a remarkable production. It must have powerfully appealed to something or other in the public mind, or tell something or other very important, which people wanted to know, in order to have won so rapid a popularity

The object which he proposes to himself is, to prove that history may be reduced to a science. To comprehend the full meaning of this proposition we must ask, what is "history," and what is "science"? History is a generalised account of the personal actions of men united in bodies for any public purposes whatever, and science is the combination of a great mass of similar facts into the unity of a generalisation, a principle, or a law, which principle or law will enable us to predict with certainty the recurrence of like events under given conditions. Now, then, can there be a science of history? Can we ever arrive at such a complete knowledge of all the motives and laws of human conduct as to be able to predict with certainty of any bodies of men what their

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;History of Civilisation in England, by H T Buckle. London, J W Parker' Tre Raribler, 1858

conduct in given circumstances will be? Mr. Buckle thinks we can Not that he ever hopes to be able to predict the actions of individual men; but for men in masses, for humanity in general, for large races, for nations, he supposes that pretty close approximations may be arrived at i

The "history" which Mr. Buckle proposed to write is not history in general, nor history of such kind as biography, or accounts of families, but the special history of civilisation. Now, what is civilisation? It is the progress of mankind measured by "the triumph of mind over external agents." It is the conquest of nature by man. In thought, it is the gradual weaning of the mind from a superstitious veneration for, and deification of, nature in action, it is the use of nature, the making matter and its forces obedient to our behests, and using them for our needs and convenience

It is important to settle that this is all that Mr Buckle means by civilisation; for on this definition depends the whole logical value and consistency of his book. Among many passages that might be selected, the following, from p. 205, where he announces the plan of his future volumes, includes all that we want to show.—

In a great and comprehensive view, the *changes* in every civilised people are in their aggregate dependent solely on three things—first, on the amount of knowledge possessed by their ablest men, secondly, on the direction which that knowledge takes, that is to say, the sort of subjects to which it refers; thirdly, and above all, on the extent to which the knowledge is diffused and the freedom with which it pervades all classes of society

The word changes indicates that the fundamental idea in the writer's mind is that of progress. The knowledge which he requires for this progress must be either religious, moral, or scientific. He proves, with great care, that it is neither of the two former, it must, therefore, be the last. Not that he denies the power of religious and moral convictions, but he says that their action ceases with individuals, and leaves no permanent result on society. Vices and virtues, like plus and minus quantities in an

equation, eliminate each other, and leave the residuum to be attributed to some other cause, they are equivalent opposing forces, neutralising each other, therefore contributing nothing to progress, therefore not to be considered in the history of civilisation, according to the terms of the definition. The following passage immediately succeeds that quoted above -

These are the three great movers of every civilised country, and although their operation is frequently disturbed by vices or the virtues of powerful individuals, such moral feelings correct each other, and the average of long periods remains unaffected. Owing to causes of which we are ignorant, the moral qualities do, no doubt, constantly vary, so that in one man, or perhaps even in one generation, there will be an excess of good intentions, in another an excess of bad ones But we have no reason to think that any permanent change has been effected in the proportion which those who naturally possess good intentions bear to those in whom bad ones seem to be inherent. In what may be called the innate and original morals of mankind, there is, so far as we are aware, no progress different passions with which we are born, some are more prevalent at one time, some at another, but experience teaches us that, as they are always antagonistic, they are held in balance by the force of their own opposition. The activity of one motive is corrected by the activity of another

For to every vice there is a corresponding virtue. Cruelty is counteracted by benevolence, sympathy is excited by suffering, the injustice of some provokes the charity of others, new evils are met by new remedies, and even the most enormous offences that have ever been known have left behind them no permanent impression. The desolation of countries and the slaughter of men are losses which never fail to be repaired, and at the distance of a few centuries every vestige of them is effaced. This is the ebby and flow of history, the perpetual flux to which, by the laws of our nature, we are subject. Above all this, there is a far higher movement, and as the tide rolls on, now advancing, now receding, there is, amid its endless fluctuations, one thing, and one alone, which endures for ever The actions of bad men produce only temporary evil, the actions of good men only temporary good, and eventually the good and the evil together subside, are neutralised by subsequent generations, absorbed by the incessant movement of future ages But the discoveries of great men never leave us, they are immortal, they contain those eternal truths which survive the shock of empires, outlive the struggles of rival creeds, and witness the decay of successive religions All these have their different measures and their different standards, one set of opinions for one age, another set for another They pass away like a dream, they are as the fabric of a vision, which leaves not a rack behind. The discoveries

of genius alone remain it is to them we owe all that we now have, they are for all ages and all times; never young and never old, they bear the seeds of their own life, they flow on in a perennial and undying stream, they are essentially cumulative, and, giving birth to the additions which they subsequently receive, they thus influence the most distant posterity, and after the lapse of centuries produce more effect than they were able to do even at the moment of their promulgation.

Let us not allow the emotions stirred up by Mr. Buckle's eloquence to blind us to the real meaning of his grand words. We must note that the "eternal truths" do not concern morality, or that "flux and reflux" of human action which neutralises itself and forms no element of progress. They have still less to do with religion; for they "outlive the struggles of rival creeds, and witness the decay of successive religions," but they are "the discoveries of genius"-not barren truths regarding intellect and will, and such-like metaphysical matters, which yield no fruit, but truths which teach man how to conquer and make use of nature, which tell him what he may do with water, and steam, and electricity, and wood, and coal, and iron, and gas, and skins, and horns are "essentially cumulative": one man begins where the last ended, and adds improvement on improvement-not as in morals, where all men begin afresh, and no real advance is made. Again, it is evident that individual happiness or misery forms no element in Mr Buckle's computation: he eliminates both vice and virtue, not only because they balance one another, but because, after a century or two, no vestiges are left of the greatest crimes or most splendid acts of goodness Mr. Buckle, therefore, does not contemplate the action, but the result; not the life or thinking of the man, but the work he has done, or the theory he has thought out. Where no trace remains of the work, nothing was done worth speaking of.

Having thus made the individual soul of no account in his investigations on the history of human progress, it is clear that only one manner of looking at mankind remains: if they are not to be viewed as persons in detail, they must be considered as bodies in mass. Hence not

individual acts, but their statistics engage his attention. It is not personal doings, but sums total, that he seeks But here we will let him speak for himself —

The actions of individuals are greatly affected by their moral feelings and by their passions, but these being antagonistic to the passions and feelings of other individuals, are balanced by them. So that their effect is, in the great average of human affairs, nowhere to be seen, and the total actions of mankind, considered as a whole, are left to be regulated by the total knowledge of which mankind is possessed. And of the way in which individual feeling and individual caprice are thus absorbed and neutralised, we find a clear illustration in the history of crime. For the amount of crime committed in a country is, year after year, reproduced with the most startling uniformity, not being in the least affected by those capricious and personal feelings to which human actions are too often referred if, instead of examining the history of crime year by year, we were to examine it month by month, we should find less regularity, and if we were to examine it hour by hour, we should find no regularity at all, neither would its regularity be seen if, instead of the criminal records of a whole country, we only knew those of a single street, or of a single family This is because the great social laws by which crime is governed can only be perceived after observing great numbers of long periods, but in a small number, and a short period, the individual moral principle triumpls, and disturbs the operation of the larger and intellectual law While, therefore, the moral feelings by which a man is urged to commit a crime, or to abstain from it, will produce an immense effect on the amount of his own crimes, they will produce no effect on the amount of crimes committed by the society to which he belongs, because, in the long-run, they are sure to be neutralised by opposite moral feelings, which cause in other men an opposite conduct. Just in the same way, we are all sensible that moral principles do affect nearly the whole of our actions, but we have incontrovertible proof that they produce not the least effect on mankind in the aggregate, or even in men in very large masses, provided that we take the precaution of studying social phenomena for a period sufficiently long, and on a scale sufficiently great to enable the superior laws to come into uncontrolled operation.

The doings of individual men, of families, of the inhabitants of single streets, are nothing to Mr Buckle, they must be divested of all personality, of all reminiscences of personality, before they are of use to him. That is to say, in his view of civilisation, he looks at men not as persons, but as machines, and the result he contemplates is not the action of these machines, but their productions.

This is all that Mr. Buckle's design includes, all that logically he has any right to pretend to discuss Defining, as he does, civilisation to be that mass of ideas, knowledge, and production which remains over and above when you have abstracted all transitory actions, all the results of politics, war, or religion, of course his history of civilisation ought to be confined to the genesis of this product, and the rules on which he proceeds to such as are applicable only to such a history. For instance, as virtues, vices, and all transitory actions are excluded from his view, of course he has nothing to do with the question of the force on which they depend; hence he is quite right in eliminating free-will from his laws of civilisation Man's knowledge depends not on his will, but on his intellect, now it is his will, not his intellect, that is free. A man cannot refuse to see that which he does see, nor force himself to disbelieve that which is demonstratively proved. It is only when he has to decide whether he will open his eyes to see, or whether he will act on that which is proved to him, that he is free to do as he chooses. Again, it is only to men as persons that free-will belongs look at them in masses, and they become machines, with their personality you abstract their freedom. Looking, therefore, at mankind as Mr. Buckle does, not as individual persons but as masses of producers, he could not allow free-will to come into his calculations So again with Providence. Providence dealing with the world is that creative and preservative force which conducts the universe according to "a law which shall not be broken"; the expression of Providence is this law, wherein no personality can be proved. But Providence dealing with persons is the action of a Personal God upon his personal creatures; warning them, teaching them, judging them Eliminate personality from your science, and of course your science has nothing to do with the personal providence. Nothing can be clearer.

But then, again, nothing can be clearer than this, that when you have cut off a part from anything, the thing is no longer a whole This very clear truth Mr Buckle, with the most charming simplicity, not only forgets, but tries to make his readers forget also Having arbitrarily settled the limit of his history, having, in so many words, recognised that things do exist outside of these limits, which, however, do not require his attention, as they do not influence the precise matter on hand, having confessed that the constant variation of moral qualities in men is "owing to causes of which we are ignorant", that to individuals, or a small number of persons his rules will not apply, because there "the individual moral principle triumphs, and disturbs the operation of the larger and intellectual law," and that "we are all sensible that moral principles do affect nearly the whole of our actions,"-yet he goes on to treat his science as exhaustive, as including every possible kind of human actions, and as furnishing the true key to the only real "history" of the human race. Let us see how Mr Buckle manages to turn this wonderful intellectual somersault. We must suppose that the man who has written so remarkable a book had the whole plan of it in his mind. He knew that he was to write about men, not as individuals, but in masses He knew that all his proofs were to be statistical, that is, winnowed from all personal detail, lumped together, averaged, and reduced to mathematical symbols Yet, for all this, he pretends to begin from persons The fundamental question of his book is thus stated. "Are the actions of men, and therefore of societies, governed by fixed laws, or are they the result either of chance or supernatural interference?" He discusses these latter alternatives, not mathematically, or metaphysically, or logically, but by means of a fanciful theory, illustrated by an apologue. He imagines man to have been originally a wild and savage hunter, sometimes finding game, sometimes starving, and attributing his good or ill success only to chance, next the savage becomes agricultural, and seeing that seasons succeed regularly, and that the crop answers to the seed, the first notion of "uniform sequence" arises, and ripens into that of "law of nature"

and "necessary connection." These doctrines of the people give rise, among the men of leisure, or thinkers, to two corresponding doctrines of the learned-free-will and predestination; founded one on a metaphysical, the other on a theological hypothesis Mr. Buckle rejects both doctrines: the second, as unproved, and if proved only a barren hypothesis; the first, free-will, as "in reality resting on the metaphysical dogma of the supremacy of the human consciousness. Every man, it is alleged, feels and knows that he is a free agent, nor can any subtleties of argument do away with our consciousness of possessing a free-will." This supremacy of consciousness he denies first, because we cannot prove that consciousness is a faculty, secondly, because if a faculty it is fallible, or, as he explains in a note, infallible as to the fact, but fallible as to the truth; infallible in testifying the presence of a phenomenon to the mind, fallible in affirming the substantial reality of the phenomenon Now the consciousness is often deceived in affirming the existence of ghosts and the like, therefore it may be deceived in affirming the existence of free-will. This is literally the whole proof which Mr Buckle deigns to give us of the premiss of the fundamental proposition of his book.

It is almost too absurd to controvert. He foists the unnecessary word supremacy into his adversary's statement, in order that he may object that, consciousness not being a faculty, there is no supremacy. Possibly not Yet consciousness being the mind's knowledge of its own acts, and of the motives upon which it acts, either consciousness is true, or all our knowledge of our own thoughts is possibly false—ie. possibly I am thinking exactly the contrary of that which I know I am thinking Next, the mind may be infallibly conscious of its acts and motives, and, among the rest, of its own freedom Put the case of every imaginable motive of interest and pleasure, temporal and eternal, being offered me to determine me to a certain act I know that if I choose, I may do exactly the reverse, simply to prove my freedom I am conscious

not only of my freedom to act, but also that the assertion of this freedom may be a motive outweighing all other motives together We are all conscious that we often will not do what we ought, simply because we are commanded. "If you tell me I may, I won't, if you tell me I must, I will see you hanged first,"-that is, egotistical freedom asserts itself by not brooking permission, and by defying command Mr. Buckle has no right to object to this, that our consciousness may be wrong, for he himself appeals to it in a passage quoted above "We are all sensible that moral principles do affect nearly the whole of our actions" Sensible means conscious, he therefore puts himself out of court by producing in his own behalf the witness whose truth he had before impeached. To compare our consciousness of ghosts with our consciousness of our own freedom, is to confound the mind's self-consciousness of itself with its consciousness of a false sensation, or false nervous impression, one is outward, the other inward It is to argue that because a blind man cannot see colours, therefore he cannot see the validity of a syllogism So that Mr Buckle utterly fails to establish the premiss of his fundamental proposition "the actions of men, and therefore of societies, are governed by fixed laws, and not by free-will"

Again, why make an "alternative" between fixed laws and free-will? God is absolutely free and absolutely immutable. Freedom is not instability. The liberty of the children of God does not consist in holding an even balance between obeying and disobeying God, now inclining to one side, now to the other. True liberty is a self-determined, self-chosen perseverance in the way we deliberately think the best. Fixedness, then, is not really opposed to freedom But further, let us assume as an hypothesis the existence of an immaterial soul, having perfect and even capricious freedom,—such that there is no fixity in its intentions, no possibility of predicting the changes of its self-determination Yet as soon as this soul is united with body, as soon as it manifests its acts in time and space, it must follow the laws of time and

space. It must work "in number, measure, and weight." It cannot enclose a space with two straight lines; it cannot find a shorter way of joining two points than by a straight line. So also in moral acts; it cannot do anything that may not be referred to the seven virtues, or the seven sins; nay, there must be an average in its sins or virtues; it must either attach itself to all equally, or it must now prefer one, now another. Its acts must be capable of numeration; and every thing that is numerable becomes at once a subject of statistics,—it has its average, its maximum, and its minimum, and is ticketed as belonging to a "fixed law." Yet, by the hypothesis, it was perfectly free. Therefore perfect freedom, and subjection to a fixed law, are quite compatible even in the individual soul, working in space and time. In its inner self-determination it may be perfectly free: yet in the manifestations or results of its free action it is bound by the fixed laws of number, space, and time. Again, these results, before they become appreciable, are done; they have become facts, and as such are removed from the influence of free-will. Not even God, says the poet, can make a fact not a fact, can render undone what is done. That which is done is become a material external product, altogether independent of the interior determination, or free-will, which motived or gave the first occasion of its existence. Hence no examination of these facts, apart from the consciousness of the doers of them, can possibly give us the element of freedom; they are mere material external facts, as subject to numeration and measurement as a crop of wheat, or the velocity of a bullet.

And if this is true of the acts of an individual, how much more true will it be of the acts of a mass of men? The laws of number are capable of a much more varied manifestation in large than in small numbers no regularity in throws of dice taken ten and ten together, but in 10,000 throws we can predict with great confidence how many times sixes will be thrown. There is no possible certainty that any given individual will commit

murder, but take a population of 100,000, and in a given time some one or other is sure to be found committing murder All double things are done at intervals, and though there is the greatest uncertainty when they will be done, yet give laxity enough, allow a thousand, a hundred, or fifty years, and it may be confidently predicted that the thing will be done in that time, and this by no quality inherent in the thing or the doer, but by the law of numeration Hence we cannot say, as Emerson somewhere says, that "if one man in thirty thousand eats shoes, or marries his grandmother, then one man in every thirty thousand must eat shoes, or marry his grandmother," for there is no necessity in the case. Take the dice. mathematician will tell you exactly how often he will throw aces in 10,000 throws But suppose by some very possible accident you had made 9990 throws without turning aces the average number of times, are you in any conceivable way surer of having aces in the last ten throws than if you were only just beginning the game? Not a bit. The former throws have nothing to do with the latter The law is a law of numbers, a law of chances applicable to numbers and on the average applicable to all numerable things, but not implying any force, or cause, or reason why the things themselves should be thus rather than otherwise. Hence, in the first place, we should never be surprised if facts, the origin of which is free-will, are numbered, nor, secondly, if they are found capable of being averaged, so that a given number of them take place in a given time, but from this to make the third step, and to say, because they are numerable, because they can be averaged, therefore they happened by necessity, by a fixed law, is absurd in any man, and in Mr Buckle dishonest.

It is dishonest in Mr Buckle, because he must be aware that he is using the words law and necessity in a sense quite different from that intended by ordinary mortals. When we say "law," we always think of some force, or command, which is the cause of the thing being done. But Mr Buckle, by law, only means numerical

average. Now it is clear that when a thing has an average, it has an average; you may call this a fixed law if you please; but use your terms in such a way that we may not be led into the mistake of concluding that fixed law means a necessity inherent in the essence of the thing, and that therefore whatever has an average is necessary, and could not be otherwise. So, again, the word necessary Common thinkers mean by it that which cannot be thought to be otherwise without self-contradiction; thus it is necessary that two and two make four, that the three angles of a triangle equal two right angles, and the like. Now, is there any necessity of this kind in averages? Clearly not, or they would not be averages, but identical numbers. If there were any fixed law, or necessity of murder, the annual number of murders would not be merely approximate, but identical, or varying directly as the population As they are not thus identical, there clearly is no fixed law in the usual sense, no necessary average of murder; and Mr Buckle has no right to mislead his readers by using the word in his sense

And now let us see what Mr. Buckle says on these points

Rejecting the metaphysical doctrine of free-will, and the theological dogma of predestined events, we are driven to the conclusion that the actions of men, being determined solely by their antecedents, must have a character of uniformity, that is to say, must, under precisely the same circumstances, always issue in precisely the same results

Here, we observe, Mr. Buckle contradicts himself, for though he expresses so confidently that the law of individual action is, that it is "necessarily determined by antecedents," he concedes in another place that the variation in human conduct is "owing to causes of which we are ignorant." But let us proceed—

To state some of the most decisive proofs we now possess of the regularity with which mental phenomena succeed each other, murder, one of the most arbitrary and irregular of crimes, is committed with as much regularity, and bears as uniform a relation to certain known circumstances as do the movements of the tides and the rotations of the seasons.

The great authority for this statement, and for the theory he derives from it, is M. Quételet. Now although he conceives that because he calls M. Quételet "confessedly the first statistician in Europe," his conclusions will therefore pass unchallenged, we must observe that a very different opinion of him prevails among those who are more competent judges than either Mr. Buckle or ourselves. His way of applying the theory of probabilities to statistics is rejected even by the French writers, and the following observations inade with reference to him by one of the most celebrated political economists of the age, show the estimation in which his method is held in Germany:—

Of late years an opinion has been pairing fround that statistics have only to deal with political and notal facts expressed in figures, without leng confined to any particular time. Calculations are made with tables, e.g., and meanwhile the signification of the figures virtually diappears from the mind, which becomes conscious of it only when the result is obtained. Now for all those facts which are succeepable of it the mathematical form of expression is undoubtedly the most perfect, and we must endeavour, therefore, to make the mathematical branch of statistics as comprehensive as possible. But one branch of a science is not the science itself. Just as there is no pecial science in natural pluto ophy called Microsofia, which combines all observation, made through the microscope, so the principle of a science ought never to be deduced from the character of its principal instrument. This restriction would deprive statistics of all scientific unity and interior coherence.

### But to return to Mr Buckle-

"This," says he, "will appear strange to those who believe that human actions depend more on the peculiarities of each individual than on the general state of society"

So suicide, the number of suicides in every year is about the same, therefore—

. in a given state of society a certain number of persons must put an end to their own life. This is the general law, and the special question as to who shall cominit the crime depends of course upon special law, which, however, in their total action, must obey the large irresistible social law to which they are all subordinate

<sup>1</sup> Roscher, System der Velkrurthschaft, 1 29

Alas, then, if one person in our village is to commit suicide, if nobody else will, I must! And why? Simply because one person has committed suicide there yearly for several years past. Nothing can withstand the simple rules of arithmetic! But fortunately this "irresistible social law" allows of a considerable laxum in its operation; about two hundred and forty persons a year must kill themselves in London, but the special number may vary between two hundred and sixty-six and two hundred and thirteen Our readers, too, may take comfort from hearing that "suicide is more frequent among Protestants than among Catholics."

Nor is it merely the crimes of men which are marked by this uniformity of sequence . In England the experience of a century has proved that marriages, instead of having any connection with personal feelings, are simply regulated by the average earnings of the great mass of the people. Year after year the same proportion of letter-writers forget to direct their letters, so that we can actually foretell how many will do it next year

The chief things we note here are, the utter worthlessness of the reasoning itself, and its formal contradiction by the author's admissions previously quoted. What can we think of the judgment of a man who allows statistics to make him believe that marriages have no connection with personal feelings! or that can use a few imperfect returns about murders, suicides, and undirected letters, to upset all the affirmations of personal consciousness, the whole common sense of the world, as expressed in human language, and his own common sense to boot! For we do not forget, that though at p 26 he tells us that the question who, what individual, shall commit suicide "depends upon special laws, which in their total action must obey the large social law to which they are subordinate," at p 208 he tells us that this is only true for great numbers of men, and long periods of time, for "in a small number, and a short period, the individual moral principle triumphs, and disturbs the operation of the larger and intellectual law". we must study "social phenomena for a period sufficiently long, and on a scale sufficiently

great, to enable the superior laws to come into uncontrolled operation"

Now this very contradiction should have taught Mr Buckle that he was involved in a fallacy. In nature totals are made up of parts similar to the whole. A block of stone is made up of stony molecules, a kidney of several little kidneys, a wave is an accumulation of little waves. Every chip of wood has the same construction as the block. Yet Mr Buckle pretends to show us a long period and a great number made up of a quantity of short periods and small numbers, which are ruled by principles contradictory in their action to the principle which rules the total. In other words, the repetition of an individual law destroys that law! Individual moral principle manifests itself so often that it is never seen! A thing, by being multiplied, is annihilated! Addition, instead of increasing, diminishes the sum!

Mr Buckle's fallacy consists mainly in this that whereas his whole conception of the object of his work required him to abstract his consideration entirely from all persons, and to consider man only in the mass, as so much productive machinery, oiled indeed, and kept in working order by a due amount of virtue, but intended only to produce intellectual truths capable of teaching how more and more to subdue nature,—he has chosen to apply the rules, applicable exclusively to man under this aspect, to man as a person, as an individual, though he knows and confesses that they are not so applicable. We are sorry that we are thus reduced to defend either Mr Buckle's understanding at the expense of his honesty, or his honesty at the expense of his understanding. In fact, man, as person, cannot be added to man, soul cannot be mixed with soul, each individual stands apart, or loses his individuality by addition

History, therefore, on Mr Buckle's plan, is impossible For as soon as we seek simply statistics and averages, we have lost sight of man, and are contemplating only his works, his products. The true historian takes the individual for his centre, he describes the typical man, whom

all others more or less resemble; he recounts the adventures of the ruler, to whose will multitudes bow. treats of mobs, or armies, or bodies of men, he invests this multitude with a kind of personality of its own,-its own wishes, passions, character, will, and conscience. Buckle's history, if he could write a history according to his programme, would be the reverse of all this. he would merge the individual in the company, the person in the body; wishes, passions, character, conscience, all would be abstracted; for those things either balance, and so neutralise each other, or else are transient in their effects, and so immaterial to the total History would consist in tabular views of births, deaths, marriages, diseases, prices, commerce, and the like; and the historian would be chiefly useful in providing grocers with cheap paper to wrap up butter in. But Mr Buckle knows better than to reduce history to such dry chaff, when he writes history he makes persons his centres, and reduces it to what it must always be, an intricate and interlacing tissue of biographies, so far as men advanced some particular movement on which the historian is writing. Thus Louis XIV, Richelieu, and Burke crop out in Mr Buckle's volume as the centres of his political speculations.

Mr Buckle's practice herein is utterly contrary to his theory. History can only be reduced to a science by excluding individualism and personality. Persons act, if not by free-will, at least by unknown laws, which are in opposition, as Mr. Buckle owns, to the great statistical laws on which he would found historical science. The reason of this opposition is manifest; and an explanation will clearly show why it is, and always will be, impossible to write a history upon Mr Buckle's programme, and why he must be disappointed in his expectation of reducing history to a science.

All sciences are either inductive or deductive. We need not waste time in arguing with Mr Buckle that history is not a deductive science, for he himself spends several pages in proving this proposition. It must, therefore, be a science depending upon induction. Now what

is induction? Though essentially the same as of old, this act of reason is differently conducted now Formerly, if two or three instances suggested a principle or a generalisation to the mind, this principle was said to be gained by induction Or if a mere guess or fancy could be strengthened by a few instances or analogies, this might readily be turned into an inductive argument "It is the case in this, and a second and third instance, therefore in But this loose unscientific induction is now changed, the instances have to be well manipulated before they can be used for a true induction, and not only similarities but dissimilarities have to be investigated. We must abstract all points of difference before adding the various elements, induction therefore is not only addition but subtraction Before we can include two things under a general law, we must subtract all that makes them different from one another, otherwise we should include contradictions in a pretended unity

Now, if we submit men and human actions to the crucible of induction, they must be "prepared," like everything else, for the process The unlike must first be abstracted Take any two men what is the first element that constitutes their difference? Clearly their personality. John is not Robert, not because they have a different nature, but because they have a different personality If we wish to include John and Robert under a single generalisation, the first thing we must divest them of is personality, with all its distinctive characteristics, the chief of which is usually said to be freedom of the will then, in this induction is not real man, he is no longer a personal free agent, but a machine, subject in his movements to those laws of action which remain after personality and free-will have been subtracted

Thus, if free-will is the source of action in men, it will be impossible ever to reduce all the sources of human action to an inductive generalisation, such as will enable us to predict how men will act. Free-will refuses the inductive process The only chance is, to prove that free-will does not exist, or is not such a source of action

This Mr. Buckle has attempted to do in various ways In his first chapter he tries to prove that "the actions of men, and therefore of societies, are governed by fixed laws", how weakly, we have shown above We reproduce the thesis here to show that even Mr Buckle allows that individuals are the primary elements of societies, and that the laws of society may be deduced from the laws that govern individuals. In other places, before quoted, Mr. Buckle asserts that the moral actions of men depend on particular laws, to him unknown, which laws are in their operation antagonistic to the great laws that govern society And elsewhere he says that the laws of society are the rule for the individual, the actions of men are regular because "they are governed by the state of the society in which they occur."

Here, then, we see that there is a fundamental impossibility, because a self-contradiction, in Mr Buckle's method and system, when applied to anything beyond the limits to which he himself is conscious it should be confined he would really eliminate all the moral actions of men, all the "flux and reflux" of society, all war and politics, from his speculations, and apply his theory to the "discoveries of genius" and to the progressive knowledge and subjugation of nature alone, he would escape all contradiction But if he insists on applying his method to history, in the usual acceptation of the word, we are forced to tell him that his pretensions are untenable. These pretensions may perhaps be traced to that characteristic which Socrates holds up to such ridicule in his speech in the Apologia Every artisan, he says, because he is expert in his own art, thinks he knows every other art. tendency of the intellect is to complete its own circle, whatever gaps a man finds in his knowledge are filled up by an unwarrantable stretching of the next subject which The whole system of positive philosophy is the work of under-educated, or half-educated men, adepts in physical science, but ignorant of the principles of any other, who insist that all science must have the same method as theirs, and that metaphysical realities must be

measured and explained by physical laws. We state this to show that Mr Buckle's absurdatics and dishonestics are not his own, but those of his school.

We are quite conscious that in this article our criticism does not reach over the whole extent of the work under review, but as the limits of a monthly journal are so narrow, we thought it better to confine our remarks to one or two points, rather than to dissipate our attention over the multitude of subjects that ought to be discussed. We have, however, attempted to discover the fundamental and leading idea of the book, which we have proved to be untenable. We do not deny all merit to the work, we only say that the mass of information, collected with immense labour, and put together with great acuteness, a beldness fearless of consequences, and in a captivating style, does not exactly prove that which he undertakes to prove, for nothing can prove a proposition that contradicts itself.

We shall have to return to the book, to make observations on Mr Buckle's detailed proofs. Hitherto we have only attacked his general thesis, the conclusion which he proposes as the end of his induction, we shall hereafter have to examine some specimens of the terms of his inductive argument, and to inquire into the validity of his claims to respect for the extent and accuracy of his learning

### XI

# MR. BUCKLE'S PHILOSOPHY OF HISTORY1

In our last Number we explained the theory which Mr Buckle's book is written to prove, and estimated his ments as a philosopher We have now to consider his attainments as a scholar We have to examine his competency for the task he has undertaken, and the degree of success with which he has executed it. This is the more imperatively necessary, that it would be very unfair to Mr Buckle to judge him by the merits of his system only, for the system is not his own We may praise him or blame him for his judgment in adopting it, certainly not for his skill in devising it His view of "the principles which govern the character and destiny of nations" is borrowed partly from Comte and partly from Quételet, and has already been applied, not indeed by historians, but by natural philosophers We find it stated, for instance, by the celebrated physiologist Valentin, as follows (Grundriss der Physiologie, 1855, p 10) -

Chance, to which we ascribe the event of an isolated case, must make way for a definite law as soon as we include a greater number of cases in our observation. No fixed rule appears to regulate the proportion of the sexes to each other, or the relative number of twins that are born, or the kind of crimes committed within a given period. But if we extend our range of observation over millions of cases, certain regular quantities constantly recur. Where this is not the case, the causes of the fluctuation can often be ascertained by the rule of probabilities. Here, as everywhere, chance vanishes as a phantom of superstition,—as a result of that short-sightedness which has burdened the history of human opinion with so many apparently higher, but in reality degrading and erroneous, ideas

This nearly describes the theory which Mr Buckle has transferred from the history of nature to the history of man. He can hardly be said to challenge inquiry into its truth. He is at small pains to recommend it to those who are not predisposed in its favour. He is more inclined to dogmatise than to argue, and treats with placid scorn all who may not agree with him, and who are attached to one or other of the creeds and systems which have subsisted amongst men. It is a characteristic of certain diminutive parties to make up by the confidence and doggedness of their language for the small support they are able to command in public opinion. It is the same spirit in which Coleridge used to be worshipped at Highgate, and Jeremy Bentham at Westminster.

Taking a survey of literature from the pinnacle of his self-esteem, Mr Buckle repeatedly affirms that history has been generally written by very incapable men; that before his time there was no science of history, that "the most celebrated historians are manifestly inferior to the cultivators of physical science" (p 7), and much more to the same purpose passim He gives us, moreover, to understand that he is as much at home in ethical as in historical literature, and delivers the valuable opinion, "that a man, after reading everything that has been written on moral conduct and moral philosophy, will find himself nearly as much in the dark as when his studies first began" (p 22) Having thus cleared the way for his own appearance on the neglected fields of history and philosophy, he leaves us to infer that there are very few people capable of appreciating his performance, or for whose judgment he cares a He writes for a school, and uttering its oracles to the world, he may question the competency of any tribunal which does not in some degree admit his premises and consents to judge him out of his own mouth. But if we are unworthy to judge his theories, his facts at least are common property, and are accessible to all men, and it is important to see what they are worth, and how much Mr Buckle knew about the matter when he endeavoured to make history subservient to his philosophy.

The attempt to reconcile philosophical speculation with the experience of history, and to harmonise their teachings, is perfectly natural, and, at a certain stage, inevitable. Both are unbounded in their range, and in some sense they may be said to include each other Neither science is perfect till it obtains the confirmation of the other. "Man," says Jacobi, "requires not only a truth whose creator he is, but a truth also of which he is the creature." Yet the comparison could take place only at an advanced period of the progress of philosophy and of the knowledge of history. Philosophy must be seen by the light of history that the laws of its progress may be understood; and history, which records the thoughts as well as the actions of men, cannot overlook the vicissitudes of philosophic schools Thus the history of philosophy is a postulate of either science. At the same time, history, unless considered in its philosophic aspect, is devoid of connection and instruction, and philosophy, which naturally tends to embrace all the sciences, necessarily seeks to subject history, amongst the rest, to its law Hence arose the philosophy of history "In history," says Krug, "philosophy beholds itself reflected. It is the text to which history supplies the commentary" Both sciences had attained a certain maturity of development before they sought each other. "Philosophy," said Schelling, "ought not to precede the particular sciences, but to follow after them." 2 Generalisation in history was not possible until a great part of its course was run, and the knowledge of its details tolerably complete. Nor could the history of philosophy be written before it had passed through many phases, or before it had attained a considerable development. Thus it naturally happened that the philosophy of history and the history of philosophy, as they proceeded from the same causes, began to be cultivated about the same time. They are scarcely a century old.

The mediæval philosophy had taken no cognisance of the external world until, in the sixteenth century, a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Handwörterbuch der philosophischen Wissenschaften, il. 217.
<sup>2</sup> Salat, Schelling in München, i 60

reaction took place As theology had predominated in the Middle Ages, now physiology prevailed in its stead The study of nature became the first of sciences, and in the age of the supremacy of the Baconian system, Kepler and Galileo and Newton were considered philosophers To the philosophic investigation of nature was added, in the eighteenth century, the philosophic contemplation of history The method by which Bacon had revolutionised natural science "ab experientia ad axiomata, et ab axiomatibus ad nova inventa," 1 came to be tried on history. Since that time a philosophy of history has been attempted upon the principles of almost every system has not always been to the advantage of history, or to the credit of the philosophers "When things are known and found out, then they can descant upon them, they can knit them into certain causes, they can reduce them to their principles If any instance of experience stands against them, they can range it in order by some dis-But all this is but a web of the wit, it can work nothing"2

The first attempt to give unity to universal history by the application of a philosophic system was made by Lessing, in his celebrated fragment on the Education of It was his last work, "and must be the Human Race considered the foundation of all modern philosophy, of religion, and the beginning of a more profound appreciation of history"8 He employs the ideas of Leibnitz's Théodicée to explain the government of the world dorcet's Sketch of the Progress of the Human Mind is inspired, in like manner, by the sensualist doctrines of Condillac Kant, though perfectly ignorant of the subject, was incited by the French Revolution to draw up a scheme of universal history in unison with his system the entire inadequacy of Kant's philosophy to explain the phenomena of history which led Hegel, "for whom the philosophical problem had converted itself into an his-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> De Augmentis, iii 3 "From experiment to axioms, from axioms to new discoveries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Bacon, "In Praise of Knowledge," Works, ed. Bohn, 1 216

<sup>8</sup> Schwarz, Lessing als Theologe, p 79

torical one,"1 to break with the system altogether Thirty years later, when the supremacy of Kant had long passed away, and Hegel was reigning in his stead, he too set up his philosophy of history as the crown and end of his own philosophy, and as the test of its absolute truth " It is for historical science," says his latest biographer, "to enjoy the inheritance of Hegel's philosophy."3 In like manner, the transcendental system of Schelling resulted in a Christian philosophy of history, of which a late able writer says that by it "the antagonism of philosophy and history, proceeding from a defective notion of the first, and an utterly inadequate view of the latter, was re-So, again, the system of Krause presents a combination of philosophy and history in which their respective methods are blended together 5 Especially since the publication of Hegel's Lectures, history has been generally considered by philosophers as belonging their legitimate domain. And their dominion is such, that even a moderate acquaintance with the events of the past has ceased to be deemed a necessary or even a useful ingredient in the preparation of a philosophy of history. No system will confess itself so poor that it cannot reconstruct the history of the world without the help of empirical knowledge. A Pole, Cieszkowski (Prolegowei a zur Historiosophie, 1838), has a physical scheme for the arrangement of historical phenomena. According to him, light is the type of Persia, mechanism of China, Athens represents dynamic electricity, Sparta static electricity The electro-magnetic system answers to Macedon, the expansive force of heat to the Roman Empire. The

dualism of Church and State in the Middle Ages corresponds to the antithesis of acid and alkali, etc. etc. same ingenious person argues from the analogy of the natural sciences, in which, with the help of an old tooth, you can reconstruct an antedduvian monster, that history has to deal with the future, and cannot submit to be confined to the knowledge of the past. Twenty years ago, the well-known novelist Gutzkow was in prison, and not having books at hand to help him in writing a novel, beguiled the time by writing and publishing a philosophy of history

These recent examples may serve to show us that it is not to be wondered at that an attempt should be made to obtain for a new system the sanction of history, or that, having been made, it should have produced a ludicrous result, and should have furnished the most complete confutation of the system it was meant to confirm. But we have already said that the theory is not the most remarkable part about Mr Buckle's book. It is by his portentous display of reading that he will impose upon many in whom the principles in their naked deformity would simply excite abhorrence. The theoretical portion is completely overgrown and hidden by the mass of matter which is collected to support it, and on which Mr Buckle has brought to bear all the reading of a lifetime. The wonderful accumulation of details and extravagance of quotation have the manifest purpose of dazzling and blinding his readers by the mere mass of apparent erudition "So learned a man cannot be mistaken in his conclusions," is no doubt what they are expected to say. We cannot, therefore, consider the success of Mr Buckle's work as a fair indication of the extent to which the peculiar form of infidelity which he holds prevails in this country. To accept his conclusions, we must be prepared to say, Credo quia impium, but in order to be overawed by his learning, it is enough to have less of it than Mr. Buckle himself

It is for this reason worth while to inquire briefly whether Mr Buckle is in this respect so great an authority as he professes to be, and as it is commonly taken for granted he is—whether he really possesses that knowledge of his subject which justifies him in writing upon it, or whether, in a word, he is an impostor.

Apart from the historical excursions of modern philosophers which we have spoken of, and with which Mr. Buckle has not thought fit to make himself acquainted, the great problems of civilisation which he tries to solve have been discussed within the last few years by three eminent men, whose works have some points of similarity with his own. In 1853 a French diplomatist, M. de Gobineau, published the first portion of a work which he has since completed in four volumes, Essai sur l'Inégalité des Races humaines Familiar with all the latest researches of French and German writers, he investigates in great detail the laws which regulate the progress and the decline of civilisation He finds that it depends entirely on purity of blood The deterioration produced by the mixture of races is the sole cause of decline. "A people would never die if it remained eternally composed of the same national elements" (1 53) The fate of nations is unconnected with the land they inhabit, it depends in nothing on good government or purity of Even Christianity has no permanent influence on civilisation: "Le Christianisme n'est pas civilisateur, et il a grandement raison de ne pas l'être" (p 124) Whether we admit or reject these conclusions, it is unquestionable that they are founded on most various and conscientious research, and an abundance of appropriate learning, strongly contrasting with the dishonest affectation of knowledge by which Mr Buckle deludes his readers There is, moreover, a learned appendage to Gobineau's book, in the shape of a pamphlet of 275 pages, by Professor Pott. About the same time an anonymous work appeared at Marburg, in three volumes, bearing the somewhat obscure title, Anthropognosic, Ethnognosic und Polignosie, in which also the laws which influence the political and social progress of mankind are explained with uncommon erudition. It was by a well-known political

writer, Dr Vollgraff, and, though disfigured by endless subdivisions and an obscure arrangement, it is undoubtedly one of the most comprehensive and instructive works that have appeared in our time. All the principal points of Mr Buckle's theory are here discussed and illustrated with infinitely greater fulness of knowledge than in the work of our English author, and although the conclusions to which the German philosopher would lead us are not much better, at least there is much more to be learnt on the road

The third work to which we allude is very different in style and spirit, and bears a motto which at once deprives it of any considerable resemblance to Mr Buckle's work. Lo bueno, si breve, dos vezes bueno. It is the work of the most eloquent and accomplished philosopher in Germany, and passes in review, in 168 pages, all the great questions which constitute the philosophy of history. The wisest sayings of the ancients, and the latest discoveries of the moderns, are brought together with incomparable taste and learning, since Schlegel, so brilliant a work had not appeared on the same field

We have drawn attention to these works because they treat of exactly the same questions as Mr Buckle's History of Civilisation, and are all written by men of distinguished abilities—the last by one of the greatest modern scholars, because, moreover, they are the only works which, during the last ten years, have really advanced the study of philosophy of history, and are therefore the first books to which any body would naturally turn who is employed upon the subject. None of them, we may add, are written from a specifically Catholic point of view, yet Mr Buckle has never once alluded to any of them

We may attribute this monstrous neglect of what has been done and is doing in the field which he is cultivating, either to simple ignorance of the present state of learning, or to a wary dislike of whatever might not help to support his own views. There is no other alternative, and either supposition is equally fatal to his credit

<sup>1</sup> Ernst von Lasaulx, Neuer Versich einer aller auf die Wahrheit der Italisatier gegrundeler Philosophie der Geschichte

As Mr. Buckle despises the historians, and knows nothing of the principal philosophers, it may be asked, where, then, are his authorities? The answer is given in a note (p 5), where we are told that Comte is the "writer who has done more than any other to raise the standard of history" This is the key to the whole book, and in general to Mr. Buckle's state of mind His view seldom extends beyond the bounds of the system of that philosopher, and he has not sought enlightenment in the study of the great metaphysicians of other schools limits of his knowledge in this respect are curious Aristotle, though he frequently mentions him, and in one place even places him on a level with the French physician Bichat (p 812), there is no proof that he knows anything at all He tells us, for instance, that the chief writers on the influence of climate are Hume, Montesquieu, Guizot, and Comte. It never occurs to him that his favourite theory on this point is to be found in Aristotle (Problemata, xiv), or that Hippocrates wrote a work on the subject Plato, though sometimes quoted, seems hardly better known Nobody familiar with his works and life would venture upon the statement that it is doubtful whether he ever visited Egypt (p. 81), still less would a scholar with any self-respect have cited Bunsen as an authority on the matter In reality, the only question is how long he remained there

This is a fair instance of our author's habit of going to the wrong place for information, and ignoring the obvious authorities. Altogether Mr Buckle, who does not commonly put his light under a bushel, exhibits acquaintance with scarcely four or five of the most common writers of antiquity

It is not to be expected that the Christian writers should come off better, there is a good deal said about them, but it is borrowed at second-hand, generally from Neander, sometimes from Mosheim or Milman. For it makes no difference to Mr. Buckle whether a thing is true, or whether somebody has said that it is true. It is enough that it should answer some particular purpose

of the moment. Indeed, although his reading appears excessively promiscuous, it is in reality selected with great discrimination So far as we have observed, the standard work which is the real and acknowledged authority on each particular subject is never by any chance or oversight consulted for the purpose. We have shown how the case stands relatively to the general subject of civilisation For the history of philosophy we have continual references in Tennemann, who was greatly esteemed at the time of Kant's supremacy in the schools The progress of learning has long since displaced his works, as well as those which immediately succeeded him Sometimes we find reference to Ritter's Ancient Philosophy, the most antiquated portion of his highly unsatisfactory work The vast literature on this subject which has arisen within the last few years is never noticed So, for the history of medicine we have Sprengel and Renouard, whose books were long since superseded by the works of Hecker, Haser, and others On India, again, we are referred to a number of obsolete publications, and the great work of Lassen is never mentioned The same ignorance prevails upon almost every branch of learning that is ostentatiously brought forward, but we should be following Mr Buckle's very bad example if we were to go on giving lists of books which he ought to have consulted

The title of the sixth chapter, "Origin of History, and State of Historical Literature during the Middle Ages," excited our expectations To a man of Mr Buckle's industry, the hundreds of folios in which the historical works of the Middle Ages are contained offer a splendid and inexhaustible field for the exhibition of his powers of research Here was to be found, in the history of European civilisation for a thousand years, the secret of its subsequent progress But Mr Buckle's method is the same here as elsewhere. He shows himself acquainted with just half a dozen of the commonest mediæval historians, and these, if we remember rightly, with only one exception, all English On the other hand, whatever

is to be found about them in the most ordinary books-Hallam, Warton, Turner, Palgrave, Wright, etc - is diligently repeated The vulgar practice of reading the books one is to write about was beneath so great a philosopher. He has read about them, but very little in them. They could not greatly attract him, for the Middle Ages must be a mere blank to one who writes the history of modern civilisation without taking into account the two elements of which it is chiefly composed—the civilisation of antiquity, and the Christian religion. Having to utter a few generalities upon the subject, it was obviously more convenient to know nothing about it, and to take counsel of a few writers who knew very little about it, than to run the risk of finding an imprudent curiosity rewarded by the unexpected discovery of unpalatable and inflexible facts. This safe and timely ignorance, which he has discreetly cherished and preserved, has made him fully competent to declare "that not only was no history written before the end of the sixteenth century, but that the state of society was such as to make it impossible for one to be written" (p 299).

Agreeably to the materialistic character of his philosophy, Mr Buckle examines with special predilection the physical causes which influence mankind. His second chapter, which is devoted to this inquiry, is the most interesting and elaborate part of the volume. In these regions he is somewhat more at home. It is but an act of justice, therefore, to give some attention to this chapter. Nowhere do the ignorance and incapacity of the author more visibly appear.

The subject here treated has very recently been raised to the dignity of a separate and distinct science; and it has been cultivated on the Continent with extraordinary zeal and success. In no department was so much assistance to be derived from contemporary writers Ritter, the founder of the science of comparative geography, began forty years ago the great work of which he has not yet finished even the Asiatic portion. He was the first among the moderns to determine in detail

the connection of the material world with the history of In his footsteps a numerous school of writers have followed-Rougemont, Mendelssohn, Knapp, etc,and a variety of able writers have made it a popular study.

As Ritter first established a bridge between history and geography, the link between geology and history was discovered by the Saxon geologist Cotta branch of the same subject—the connection between the vegetable world and the civilisation of man-has been treated by the celebrated botanist, Unger of Vienna 1 Finally, Professor Volz<sup>2</sup> has produced a most learned work on the influence of the domestic animals and plants on the progress of civilisation. Yet Mr Buckle is totally ignorant of the writings and discoveries of these men, and he has therefore written a dissertation which not only does not exhaust the subject, but is of no value whatever at the present day

The proposition that out of Europe civilisation is dependent chiefly upon physical causes, and man subordinate to nature, is proved, among other examples, by that of Egypt (p 44) The instance is infelicitous, inasmuch as it is cited by Ritter in support of precisely the contrary view<sup>3</sup> The original inhabitants of the valley of the Nile were not better off or more civilised than their neighbours in the deserts of Libva and Arabia.

It was by the intelligence of the remarkable people who settled there that Egypt became the richest granary of the ancient world The inundation of the Nile was rendered a source of fertility by the skill of those who made use of it. But when the vigour of the nation died away under the wretched government which succeeded upon the fall of Rome, that fertile valley relapsed in great measure into its old sterility, the Thebais became a desert, and the Mareotis a marsh Instead of proving Mr Buckle's case, Egypt is the best instance of the subordination of nature to the intellect and will of man.

Botanische Streifunge auf der Gebiete der Culturgeschichte
 Beiträge zur Culturgeschichte
 "Ueber das historische Element in der geographischen Wissenschaft," 1833. in his Abhardlurger, p 165

Pursuing his idea of the influence of the aspect of nature on man, Mr Buckle, who has a theory for everything, discovers that the cause of Catholicism lies in earthquakes —

"The peculiar province of the imagination," he informs us, "being to deal with the unknown, every event which is unexplained as well as important, is a direct stimulus to our imaginative faculties Earthquakes and volcanic eruptions are more frequent and more destructive in Italy and in the Spanish and Portuguese Peninsula than in any other of the great countries, and it is precisely there that superstition is most rife, and the superstitious classes most powerful Those were the countries where the clergy first established their authority, where the worst corruptions of Christianity took place, and where superstition has during the longest period retained the firmest hold."

In other words, sequence is cause, as Hume proves; whence post hoc, ergo propter hoc, the great logical principle of the positivists. But increase of Popery follows increase of earthquakes, therefore, the consequence is clear. And not only is Christianity extracted out of earthquakes, but also, by a similar chemistry, Providence is derived from the plague.

Our ignorance about another life, he says, is complete.—

On this subject the reason is perfectly silent, the imagination, therefore, is uncontrolled. The vulgar universally ascribe to the intervention of the Deity those diseases which are peculiarly faial. The opinion that pestilence is a manifestation of the Divine anger, though it has long been dying away, is by no means extinct, even in the most civilised countries. Superstitions of this kind will, of course, be strongest either where medical knowledge is most backward, or where disease is most abundant.

It is in tropical climates that nature is most terrible, and here, says our author, "imagination runs riot, and religion is founded on fear, while in Europe nature is subject to man, and reason rules supreme." This theme he illustrates by the extreme instances of India and Greece, and he generalises his conclusions into the statement that "the tendency of Asiatic civilisation was to widen the distance between men and their deities; the tendency of Greek civilisation was to diminish it." Hence "in Greece we for the first time meet with hero

worship, that is, the deification of mortals", this could not take place in tropical countries "It is therefore natural that it should form no part of the ancient Indian religion, neither was it known to the Egyptians, nor to the Persians, nor, so far as I am aware, to the Arabians", but it was part of the national religion of Greece, and has been found so natural to Europeans, that "the same custom was afterwards renewed with eminent success by the Romish Church"

Perhaps no writer of pretension ever made a more disgraceful exhibition of ignorance and unreason than Mr Buckle in these passages Unreason for if the Catholic cultus of saints is to be identified with the Greek deification of heroes, then certainly this deification is not simply European, it is as natural to the Indian Catholic as to the Italian or German, not to mention the Orientals Exactly the same thing is found in Mahometanism, wherever it spreads If Allah alone receives divine honours, anyhow the chief cultus is paid to the tomb of the prophet, and to the graves of the various holy personages with which Moslem countries are so thickly studded But if this cultus is not what Mr Buckle meant by the Greek hero-worship, then his mention of the Catholic practice is invidious, impertment, and utterly irrelevant to his argument. Ignorance for the "deification of mortals," so far from forming no part of the ancient Indian and Egyptian religions, was their very central idea and foundation The fearful, terrible gods that Mr Buckle's imagination is so full of, were only elemental deities, rising and falling with the world, destined to be annihilated, while the human soul was to last for ever, and was in its essence superior to all those beings that kept it in a tedious but temporary thraldom The whole idea of the Vedas is the power of the Brahmin over the elemental deities, exerted by means of the sacrifice The deities in question, though vast in power and wonderfully large, are by themselves undefined and vague, they want personality, and therefore require personal direction, though they are in some sense universal intellect and

soul, yet they are formless and void; they are mere blunderers till they are directed by the more sure intelligence of minds akin to those of man Hence, in the Vedantic genesis of things, the elemental deities are the matter of forces which compose the universe; while the intelligent agents who conduct the creative process are the seven primeval sages, Rishis, or Manus, whose very name attests their human nature. It is by the sacrifice of these Rishis, and by the metres they chanted, that the mundane deities received their place and office in the world, and, what is more, the sacrifices of the Vedantic religion are all identified with this primitive creative offering. The seven priests who offer the Soma sacrifice, so often mentioned in the hymns, are only the successors of the primitive Rishis or Angiras, whose work they carry The Sama Veda was their ritual; and they pretended that this ceremonial was necessary for the preservation of the universe, by continuing the action of the seven creative forces which first formed the world the more modern system of the Puranas the same agency is found. The world is successively destroyed and reconstructed; there are seven such revolutions each day of Brahma, and each time the world is restored by a Manu and seven attendant Rishis Here, instead of the subserviency of man to nature, we have the inferiority of nature to man, and the desfication of men in as exaggerated a form as can possibly be conceived. The same may be said of the Buddhist system, the seven human Buddhas are successively the great rulers of the universe. And here the facts are so directly contrary to Mr Buckle's crude speculations, that in the very country where nature is most intractable, and where natural forces exert the most terrific influence on man-in the great frozen plateau of Thibet—there the deification of man 15 carried to the farthest extent, and the Grand Llama, or living Buddha, is actually identified with the Supreme God. With regard to the Egyptians, Mr Buckle founds

<sup>1</sup> See the fable of Purusha, Rig Veda, lib vin cap is , hymrs 17, 18 19, and Vedyar Vela, cap. xxxi.

a hasty conclusion on a few words of Herodotus, and cares nothing for the universal and most ancient worship of Osiris, the human god, with whom every man is identified at death in the ritual. In Egypt the human soul, or man, was superior to the elemental deities am your lord," says the soul to the mundane gods in a monumental inscription 1 "Come and do homage to me, for you belong to me in right of my divine father" The same doctrine may be found in the Egypto-Gnostic lubrications of the pseudo-Hermes Trismegistus Persian system, Mithra seems to have held a place somewhat similar to that of Osiris in Egypt. At any rate, so far from its being true that the deification of mortals was unknown, the fact is, that the king assumed successively the insignia of each of the seven planets, and was adored by the people as the incarnate presence of each 2 the ancient Arabian religion, Mr Buckle professes his ignorance, the name, therefore, is only inserted to swell his catalogue to the eye, without any corresponding increase in the value of his induction. As we have shown each of his other assertions to be exactly the contrary of the truth, we need not trouble ourselves with disproving one that he owns to be a mere guess In a later page he says, that in Central America, as in India, the national religion was "a system of complete and unmitigated terror Neither there, nor in Mexico, nor in Peru, nor in Egypt, did the people desire to represent their deities in human forms, or ascribe to them human attributes" On the contrary, we can prove, in all these countries, the gods —at least the human-formed gods—are in sculptures only distinguishable from men by the addition of their respective symbols, while, on the other hand, the Egyptian kings and queens are continually represented by the characters of the various gods and goddesses whom they patronised As to human attributes being ascribed to these gods, it is more difficult to prove this point against Mr Buckle from the scarcity of poetical legends But he will find his negative still harder to prove against us

<sup>1</sup> Champollion, Grammaire, p 285

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dabistar, p 4c

Mexico, the progenitors of our race, Cihuacohuatl (the woman-serpent, or mother of our flesh) and her husband, are placed among the thirteen great gods; and, as such, take precedence of all the elemental deities, coming next after Tezatlipoca, the creator, and Ometeuctli and his wife, the progenitors of the heroes In Peru the Aztec sovereign was, as in Egypt, worshipped as the sun Again, Mr. Buckle's principle is as false as his facts terrorism is in direct proportion to the humanitarianism of a religion As among men, according to Mr. Mill, and therefore according to Mr. Buckle, cruelty is in proportion to inequality—as the despot sheds more blood than the constitutional sovereign, and as the despot by divine right, who claims not only the civil homage but the religious veneration of his people, is obliged to be more severe than the mere military adventurer, so, when we go a step further, and raise a living man, or a caste, into the place of God, we are obliged to hedge them round with a fence of the most bloody rites and laws. real cause of Brahmin and Mexican cruelty was not because the Divine nature was so separated from mankind, but because it was so identified with a certain class of men, that this class was obliged to maintain its position by a system of unmitigated terrorism. The farther we remove God from humanity, the less we care about Him We could not fancy an Epicurean fighting in defence of his indolent deities. As a general rule, those who persecute are willing to suffer persecution, we cannot fancy anybody willing to suffer in defence of an abstract divinity, hence we suppose that the more abstract, intangible, and unreal a religion is, the less cruelty will be perpetrated in its name. This, it appears to us, is the true account of the cruelties of the religions Mr Buckle enumerates, and not the mere influence of climate and the aspects of nature.

The origin of Mr. Buckle's mistakes here, as in other subjects, is his learned ignorance. He never goes to the best authorities, he scarcely ever consults the originals. If he had given himself the trouble to read and understand

the Vedas, which he so ostentatiously quotes at secondhand, the Puranas, the collections of Egyptian monumental inscriptions, the Zendavesta, and to understand the documents about America by M'Culloch, he might have given a rather more rational account of the religions which he pretends to philosophise upon

In the same unlucky chapter Mr. Buckle declares, what on his principles was inevitable, that "original distinctions of race are altogether hypothetical" (p 36), in support of which view that eminent positivist Mr. Mill is very properly quoted As we have to deal now with Mr Buckle's false learning rather than with his false theories, we can only glance at this great absurdity. For the same race of men preserves its character, not only in every region of the world, but in every period of history, in spite of moral as well as physical influences Were not the Semitic races ever, where and always monotheists, whilst Japhetic nations, from Hindostan to Scandinavia, were originally of the Indo-Germanic race alone. The most amusing example of a nation's fidelity to the character which it obtained on its first appearance in history is afforded by France. Lasauly has collected the judgments of the ancients upon the Gauls "Gallia," said Cato, "duas res industriosissime persequitur, rem militarem et argute Mobilitate et levitate animi novis imperiis studebant" (Caesar, B G 11 1) "Omnes fere Gallos novis rebus studere et ad bellum mobiliter celenterque excitari" (Ibid iii 10) "Sunt in consiliis capiendis mobiles, et novis plerumque rebus student" (Ibid iv 5) "Galli quibus insitum est esse leves" (Trebellius Pollio Galien 4) "Gens hominum inquietissima et avida semper vel faciendi principis vel imperii" (Flavius Vopiscus Saturninus, 7) 1

<sup>&</sup>quot;Gaul pursues two things with immense industry—military matters and neat speaking. "Through instability and levity of mind they were meditating the overthrow of the government." "Almost all men of Gaul are revolutionists and are easily and quickly excited to war." "In council they are unstable, and generally revolutionary. "The French, to whom levity is natural. A most restless kind of men, always wanting to set up a king or an empire.

But we must conclude We have said quite enough to show that Mr Buckle's learning is as false as his theory, and that the ostentation of his slovenly erudition is but an artifice of ignorance. In his laborious endeavour to degrade the history of mankind, and of the dealings of God with man, to the level of one of the natural sciences, he has stripped it of its philosophical, of its divine, and even of its human character and interest.

When an able and learned work appears, proclaiming new light and increase of knowledge to the world, the first question is not so much whether it was written in the service of religion, as whether it contains any elements which may be made to serve religion A book is not necessarily either dangerous or contemptible because it is inspired by hatred of the Church. "Nemo inveniret, qua nemo discuteret, nisi pulsantibus calumniatoribus Cum enim haeretici calumniantur, parvuli perturbantur . . Negligentius enim veritas quaereretur, si mendaces adversarios non haberet" 1 (Augustin, Sermones ad Populum, lib. xi.). Theodore of Mopsuestia, Julian of Eclanum, Calvin, and Strauss, have not been without their usefulness An able adversary, sincere in his error and skilful in maintaining it, is in the long-run a boon to the cause of religion. The greatness of the error is the measure of the triumph of truth. The intellectual armour with which the doctrine of the Church is assailed becomes the trophy of her victory All her battles are defensive, but they all terminate in conquest.

The mental lethargy of the last generation of English Catholics was due perhaps not a little to the very feebleness of their adversaries. When a formidable assailant arose at Oxford, he found an adversary amongst us who was equal to the argument. In like manner, when the Duke of Wellington was the no-popery champion of Toryism, a very sufficient opponent appeared in the person of O'Connell And now that Mr Spooner is the repre-

<sup>1</sup> No one would discover, for no one would discuss, unless roused by the blows of misrepresentation For while heretics misrepresent, the little ones are scandalised Truth would not be sought so industriously, if it had no enemies to tell lies of it.

sentative of anti-Catholic politics, by a similar admirable dispensation and fitness of things he too finds among Catholic statesmen formen who are worthy of his steel

It is not, however, on such grounds as these that Mr Buckle had a claim on our attention. He is neither wise himself, nor likely to be the cause of wisdom in others, and with him

Bella gen placuit nullos habitura triumphos 1

for we could not allow a book to pass without notice into general circulation and popularity which is written in an impious and degrading spirit, redeemed by no superiority or modesty of learning, by no earnest love of truth, and by no open dealing with opponents

We may rejoice that the true character of an infidel philosophy has been brought to light by the monstrous and absurd results to which it has led this writer, who has succeeded in extending its principles to the history of civilisation only at the sacrifice of every quality which makes a history great.

<sup>1</sup> We understand a war where victory is no tnumph

### XII

## GERMAN SCHOOLS OF HISTORY:

MACAULAY once lamented that there were no German historians in his time worthy of the name; and now M. Darmesteter tells us that they are ahead of other nations by twenty years A perplexed person might read Professor Wegele's Deutsche Historiographie 2 without being quite sure which is right. Nine-tenths of his volume are devoted to the brave men who lived before Agamemnon, and the chapter on the rise of historical science, the only one which is meant for mankind, begins at page 975, and is the last. Before this century the Germans had scarcely reached the common level even in the storage of erudition. Their provincial histories could not be compared with those of Burgundy, of Brittany, or of Languedoc; they had nothing equal to the Annals of Bologna or of Milan, to Mamachi's Life of Saint Dominic, or even to Secousse's Charles of Navarre. History was subordinate to other things, to divinity, philosophy, and law, and the story worth telling would be the process of emancipation by which the servant of many masters rose to be a master over them, and having become a law to itself imposed it on others The beginning was made by Niebuhr, and none of those who followed and strengthened the powerful impulse which he gave rival the best of their countrymen in perspicuity and grace

When Germans assert that their real supremacy rests with their historians, they mean it in the sense of Bentley

<sup>1</sup> English Historical Review 1886, vol 1
2 Geschichte der deutschen Historiographie Von Dr Franz Y von Weg 'r
Munich Oldenbourg, 1885

and Colebrooke, not of Machiavelli and Saint-Simon, in the sense in which the Bishop of Durham I and Sir Henry Maine take the lead in England, the sense in which M Fustel de Coulanges calls history the most arduous of the sciences A famous scholar, enumerating the models of historical excellence, named Humboldt, Savigny, Grimm, and Ritter, not one of whom had ever written history proper, in the common, classical, literary use of the term

The better part of the ground has been occupied already by those who have celebrated German achievement in other branches of literature. Neander, Bockh, Baur, Schwegler, Lassen have their record elsewhere Excepting Niebuhr and Ranke, Professor Wegele has had to deprive himself of his best materials. The division of labour removes almost every man who was an historian and something more.

Historical writing was old, but historical thinking was new in Germany when it sprang from the shock of the French Revolution Condemnation of history had been the strongest plank in the platform of 1789 The evils exposed in the caluers were not accidents of the age, but the bequest of malignant forces at work for centuries Irresponsible power, the caprice of war, slavery, intolerance, arbitrary arrest, the deadly prison, the inhuman aggravation of the pains of death, had been the steady produce of elaborate design The men who struck at the the misery inflicted by traditional authority believed no dogma so firmly as that of the folly of their ancestors supreme object of their striving was to depose and to degrade a tyrant who, at his best, was blind and ignorant and cruel, and who, moreover, was dead. Their sternest resolve was that generations of astrologers, sorcerers, and torturers, of legislators unable to read, of sovereigns only able to kill, that the wisdom of the code noir and the statute book of George II should not be suffered to reign over Watt and Hunter, over Lavoisier and Laplace, Smith and Kant, and the most vigorous of the revolutionary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dr Lightfoot.

346

thinkers, Jefferson and Sieyès, studied both to banish the past and to prevent the present from again overshadowing the future. It was under this flag that the armies conquered Germany, destroying and transforming, and left no institution standing but the monarchy of Frederic the Great.

The romantic reaction which began with the invasion of 1794 was the revolt of outraged history. The nation fortified itself against the new ideas by calling up the old, and made the ages of faith and of imagination a defence from the age of reason Whereas the pagan Renaissance was the artificial resurrection of a world long buried, the romantic Renaissance revived the natural order and restored the broken links from end to end. It inculcated sympathy with what is past, unlovable, indefensible, especially with the age of twilight and scenes favourable to the faculties which the calculators despised. romantic writers relieved present need with all the abounding treasure of other times, subjecting thereby the will and the conscience of the living to the will and conscience of the dead Their lasting influence was out of proportion to their immediate performance. They were weak because they wanted strictness and accuracy, and never perceived that the Revolution was itself historic, having roots that could be profitably traced far back in the ages But they were strong by the recovery of lost knowledge, and by making it possible to understand, to appreciate, and even to admire things which the judgment of rationalism condemned in the mass of worthless and indiscriminate error They trifled for a time with fancy, but they doubled the horizon of Europe. They admitted India to an equality with Greece, mediæval Rome with classical; and the thoughts they set in motion produced Creuzer's Comparative Mythology and Bopp's Conjugations, Grimm's enthusiasm for the liberty and belief of Odin's worshippers, and Otfried Muller's zeal for the factor of race.

As long as the romantics were a literary school, running æsthetical canons in opposition to Goethe, they remained unconscious of the active principle within Dante and Calderon, Nibelungen and Sakuntala, were not so near the core as Burke's maxim that wisdom and religion dictate that we should follow events, and not attempt to lead, much less to force them. When their ideas came to be taken up by reasoners, they were found to involve a system of scientific definitions charged with interminable consequences. Their philosopher was Schelling, who married Schlegel's wife, and who, condensing the vapour of the school into something like solid propositions, taught that the State does not exist for purposes of men, and is not governed by laws of their devising, but by the cosmic force above

Upon this aphorism, Savigny, the jurist of the party, developed the historic method of jurisprudence. The sovereign legislator is not the government, but the nation Law, like language, proceeds from its primitive nature and its experience and is part of its identity. The deliberations of lawgiving consist in ascertaining not what is best, but what is consistent with usage. Laws are found, not made, for the treatment adapted to successive emergencies is already latent in the public conscience, and must be evolved from precedent. Laws and constitutions expand by sustenance drawn from the constant and original spring, the force preparing the future is the same that made the past, and the function of the jurist is to trace and to obey it faithfully, without attempting to explain it away

Learning and eloquence long effectually concealed the logical effect of this doctrine. It assorted so well with the spirit of the age that it predominated for half a century against Bentham, and Hegel, and the year 1848, and is yielding slowly to the keener dialectics and deeper philosophy of Ihering. It is the strongest of all the agencies that have directed German effort towards history, viewed as a remedy for the eighteenth century and the malady of vain speculation. When Laboulaye described it as a school that had no masters in France and only one disciple, who was himself, it was controlling Germany

early state of their institutions, the processes of history are so well defined that it is possible to work back from the known to the unknown, from effect to cause, and so to recover the unrecorded past. This was the visible sign of the new doctrine of fixed lines, invariable laws, and overruled action of men It indicated a mode of certainty which did not depend on the credit of historians When they have been tested to the breaking-point, the critic comes in and begins his proper work. The right sphere of these operations is the primitive obscurity They could not flourish in the daylight, and Niebuhr never showed that he knew how to apply them to events and characters told by contemporaries When he filled the meagre outline of Manlius by transferring to him the character of Mirabeau, he gave the example which Stanley followed when he put Lord Shaftesbury into the Reformation, and Mr Golightly into the Jewish monarchy weighty volumes, crowded with doubtful but suggestive matter, won so little popular success that he laid them by for many years When he rewrote them, under the spur of contradiction, and in the midst of a vigorous intellectual movement which was partly his work, they found less favour than the finished productions of Savigny. historical school penetrated everywhere and remodelled every branch of legal study excepting ecclesiastical and comparative law, which resisted the national principle. But the work of Niebuhr's life stood still There was a temporary reaction in favour of Roman views of Roman history, and he had been dead for twenty years before he began to be superseded by innovators bolder and better appointed than himself Schwegler's early death deprived Germany of the one man who combined real philosophic talent with the rarest critical faculty Mommsen, whose book was begun at the same time as Schwegler's, realised that union of qualities which Macaulay described when he said that Niebuhr would have been the first writer of his time if his talent for communicating truths had borne any proportion to his talent for investigating them.

The fruit of the Roman History ripened for Greece The men who made it known in this country were Thirlwall and Grote; it sent Offried Muller to historical studies, and Bockh dedicated to Niebuhr a work which has stood the test of time better than his own. Under the powerful sway which Bockh exercised in Prussia for fifty years, Hellenic studies obtained the lead A deeper scholar than Niebuhr, an historian, which the Saxon philologists disdained to be, he abandoned Rome to jurists and politicians, and primitive times to romantic theorists His own taste was for the hardest possible facts and the clearest proofs Like Niebuhr, he believed that antiquity is covered over with error, which will shrivel like a parched scroll, and that hidden truth will be brought to light. But instead of the incommunicable genius of conjecture he set to work with a new organon, and substituted improved evidence for dazzling guesswork

Inscriptions had been always a source of dire confusion, for it paid local antiquaries to forge them, and two hundred consuls were invented by a single impostor Niebuhr dismissed this branch of inquiry wholesale, saying that nobody could be expected to master it Bockh showed that it could be made an instrument of discovery as efficacious as the boldest ingenuity, and it became, in his firm and patient hands, the corner-stone of the building. Besides showing the way of reaching truth even beyond Thucydides, he was an illustrious example of the historian who puts himself out of sight and displays what is certain, suppressing rigidly his personal sentiments The tone of elegiac and cathartic poetry is one thing the epic tone is another After hearing his course on ancient philosophy, I asked him why his lectures were more interesting than his books Bockh answered benignly, "Because I give my finished researches to the public, and keep my own views (die ideale Anschauung) for the students"

The Public Economy of the Athemans is almost the only history produced before the critical epoch which still stands, unshaken and erect. The critical epoch

lies between 1824 and 1828. To mark the distinction between what was planted in those five years and the wild growth that preceded them, is half the work that Professor Wegele had to do

In natural gifts and in acquirements the earlier writers were not, upon the whole, inferior to those who, with better opportunities, have made them a prey to dumb forgetfulness It is matter of legendary notoriety that Schlosser consumed so many thousand volumes in a given time. The Symbolik of his colleague Creuzer is a mine of learning animated with ideas Voigt was among the first who, either from the easy indifference of rationalism or from the manifold interest of romanticism, released the mediæval Papacy from the dilemma of good or bad Few of those who have come since Luden can write so well Raumer earned the praise of having written readably on the Middle Ages, and made it known that there was much to be learnt from the Italians. writers of this epoch had qualities not cultivated afterwards by men of sterner stuff, and addressed their style to readers less learned than themselves, who preferred a clean text to perpetual dissertation. All the works of Schlosser deserve the malediction which Mr Morley pronounced on one of them, yet there was a blunt integrity about him, and his influence upon men so superior to himself as Gervinus, Rothe, and Bernhardi proves, what his writings do not, that he possessed some higher quality Luden made a name for patriotism, and Raumer was a liberal, often in tepid water for his opinions Of the three periods into which the attitude of Germans towards the Middle Ages has been distributed,-the contemptuous, the admiring, and the intelligent, these men generally represent the second In point of trustworthiness they are near the level of their French contemporaries, of Thierry amplifying Ivanhoc, Barante transcribing Monstrelet, and Michaud flogging all the dead horses of the First Crusade. Waitz and Leo said of them that they could read texts but never studied them, and they stand condemned as men who did not know how to

distinguish authentic knowledge from second-hand, and were at the mercy of their informants. They are gathered to the geographers who made charts before Columbus

A new art of employing authorities came in with Ranke in 1824 Muller's Introduction to the Science of Mythology quickly followed, Gieseler and Neander began their histories of the Church, and Menzel, after an inferior book on the Middle Ages, published the first volume of what was long the best modern history of Germany Niebuhr prepared the new edition, which is the pillar of his fame, in 1827, and in 1828 Stenzel adapted to the Gregorian epoch the canons of criticism which Ranke had made obligatory on every serious writer. These seven or eight works were the symptom of a great transition

Ranke has not only written a larger number of mostly excellent books than any man that ever lived, but he has taken pains from the first to explain how the thing is done. He attained a position unparalleled in literature, less by the display of extraordinary faculties than by perfect mastery of the secret of his craft, and that secret he has always made it his business to impart. For his most eminent predecessors, history was applied politics, fluid law, religion exemplified, or the school of patriotism Ranke was the first German to pursue it for no purpose He tried to make the generality of educated men understand how it came about that the world of the fifteenth century was changed into the Europe of the nineteenth His own definite persuasions regarding church and king were not suffered to permeate his books was meritorious in Bockh, but not heroic, to contain his feelings about the Attic treasure and the setting of Arcturus, but Ranke was concerned with all the materials of abiding conflict, with every cause for which he cared and men are willing to kill or die

He expects no professional knowledge in his readers, and never writes for specialists. He seldom probes to the bottom the problems of public life and the characters of men, and passes dryshod over much that is in dispute

As he writes history, not biography, he abstains from the secrets of private life, and as he writes history, not dogma, he never sorts men into black and white according to their bearing in vital controversies. His evil-doers escape the just rigour of the law, and he avoids hero-worship as the last ditch of prehistoric prejudice. He touches lightly on matters pertaining to the jurist and divine, but he has not their exclusiveness. His surface is more level than theirs, but his horizon is wider. The cup is not drained, part of the story is left untold, and the world is much better and very much worse than he chooses to say

Ranke was profoundly influenced by Niebuhr, and the example of so wise a man sinking under the load of political disappointment impressed him with the belief that it is well for people generally to disconnect their scientific and their practical life. Niebuhr's treatment of history required men as able as himself, and as familiar with the play of institutions, but boded disaster in weaker hands. Ranke brought his art down to a lower capacity. In the preliminary measure of testing authorities, he showed that it is possible, by careful analysis, to learn whence a writer obtains his facts, and this part of the work is often almost mechanical. It depresses the study of history to a level with the collation of texts, and admits a large and useful body of workers who would make a mess of the three first Muses, or the first decade of Livy

The task of analysing character is more complicated. There is a peculiarity about the revision of historians that excludes them from the benefit of the common law that innocence must be assumed until guilt is proved. The presumption which is favourable to makers of history is adverse to writers of history. For history deals considerably with hanging matter, and nobody ought to hang on damaged testimony. The life of the witness must be subjected to closer scrutiny than the life of the culprit. He is condemned when he is suspected, doubt is decisive against him. When Father Paul relates that Luther's arguments were thought to be unanswerable at the court of Rome, but were resisted in order that authority might

be upheld, he appeals to the diary of Chieregato, which has not been produced. The story, therefore, stands and falls with his own credibility. Nobody has a right to adopt it who is not able to vindicate the character of Sarpi. There is a test of credibility, and consequently a rule of right and wrong, which everybody must acknowledge, because without it there is no such thing as evidence, and the code which is applied to books applies to events. The maxims by which we judge the statements of Cæsar or of Clarendon enable us to judge their actions. The principles are the same, though the rigour in employing them is unequal.

True impartiality is no respecter of persons, and judges resolutely regardless of the judgment of others Ranke's merciful abstinence from strong language, his reserve in passing sentence, correspond to two governing facts in the movement to which he belongs Germans, like other people, have certain hereditary landmarks not good to disturb, certain names too closely associated with national glory to be exposed to profanation. Luther is one of them, and Frederic, and Goethe Dollinger's doubleedged saying, that the nation recognises its own nature in Luther (thr potensirtes Selbst), became popular; and the passionate temper of the Reformation tracts no more repels his countrymen than the violence of More, of Milton, or of Grattan interferes with their credit here Gratitude to the king, pride in the poet, tell in the same way to exclude the vulgar standard and to check unruly speech touching such matters as divine right, arbitrary power, and ethical neutrality There is, if not depreciation of the moral currency, impatience of the language men utter in censuring equals The public feels a shock of incongruity when the President of the Bavarian Academy accuses an emperor of the murder of a Bavarian prince, or when Dahlmann crudely says that the sovereigns who divided Poland were as guilty as the Terrorists

The infallible conscience, the universal and unwritted law, the principles of eternal justice, are precisely the eighteenth-century phantoms against which the romantic

and historical school rose in defiance. The belief that men carry about them the knowledge of good and evil is the very root of revolution Those who, in the words of De Maistre and the Prussian conservatives, desired, not the counter-Revolution, but the contrary of revolution, decreed that the mighty past shall not be measured by present rules and the categorical imperative. Mankind varies and advances in ethical insight, the virtue of to-day was once a crime, and the code changes with the latitude. King James burnt witches, if Machiavelli taught assassination as an art, if pious crusaders slaughtered peaceful Iews, if Ulysses played fast and loose, we are exhorted to remember the times they lived in, and leave them to the judgment of their peers. Mobility in the moral code, subjection of man to environment, indefinite allowance for date and race, are standing formulas from Schlegel to the realistic philosophy

Although Ranke practises moderation and restraint, and speaks of transactions and occurrences when it would be safe to speak of turpitude and crime, he kept himself above the indifference and the incapable neutrality of those who held, with Gerard Hamilton, that there are few questions on which one may not vote conscientiously either way This was the infant shape of impartiality The Italians, said Raumer, justify the cities of Lombardy, the Germans justify their emperors both are right and both are wrong Raumer was not a strong man, but there were many in his day who admired such abdication as the triumph of fairness, and discarded human responsibility On a solemn occasion Ranke declared that the modern to whom, after Niebuhr, he was most in debt, was Fichte. Of Fichte's philosophy there is little either in Ranke's sixty volumes or elsewhere now But as the most advanced apostle, since Butler, of the efficacy of conscience, he opposed submission to impersonal forces, and no doubt strengthened Ranke in his resistance to more than one of his most famous colleagues

Ranke acquired very early an unrivalled knowledge of historical literature, but towards 1840 he began to say

that the last five centuries cannot be understood from printed books only. He did not lead the way to the archives. When an Englishman or Scotsman took a side in the revolution of 1688, he was accustomed to support himself with new documents Austria was before the rest of Germany, and Mignet's incomparable fragment on the foreign policy of Lewis XIV. surpassed all that the rest of Europe was doing. At first the narrow opening of archives was not an unmixed boon. The partial use of manuscripts was as misleading as the partial use of When Stein planned the Monumenta, Gentz avowed the opinion that truth is not always a desirable thing, and a Wurzburg professor denounced the undertaking as a scheme of obscuration. 'Tis sixty years since, and now every state reveals its inner life, the Vatican and the Affaires Étrangères are as easy of access as the Frari, or the Hofburg under the generous management of Arneth; the chief archivist of Prussia, after declaring that his country has nothing to conceal, proves his sincerity by the publication of twenty-six volumes; and Treitschke adds the substantial reason that the enemies of Prussia have told the worst, making concealment at once needless and impossible Ranke has gone along with the progress which has so vastly extended the range and influence of historians. After starting without manuscripts, and then lightly skimming them, he ended by holding that it is not science to extract modern history from anything less than the entire body of written evidence Touching which, there are two opinions One is, that history would be all right but for historians; that nothing is certain but what is secret and official; and that no man is so safe to punish as he that is condemned out of his own mouth Others deem that we cannot realise events without knowing how they seemed to those who saw them, that letters deceive as much as memoirs or chronicles; that rulers of men, not uncommonly, are rogues, provided with a set of false bottoms as a precaution against curious impertinence.

Ranke was at once acknowledged by Niebuhr as the

fir t of historians, but he did not storm the position the university he was outshone by Gans, the monthpiece of Hegel, and afterwards by Droycen, the mouthpiece of Imperialism Bohiner, who so much disliked Berlin exports that he could read neither Duncker nor the Life of Star, celighted to quote the description given by saturical students of Ranke lecturing, with his jerky manner, his chin pointing upwards, his fingers catching the air. There was a conspiracy in high quarters to raise up a rival to him in the person of Raumer, whom even Infié at first pronounced perfection, whilst Humboldt declared in favour of the Dryasdust grotesquely treated by Carlyle, and abetted the encers of Varnhagen Leo used to call Ranke a vase-painter, and denied that truth is luaden in the correspondence of envoys. Gervinus preferred Schlorser, and Droysen, his only rival in influence, decided his fierability and kinship with the variable romantics. Eichhorn deplored that there was so little to learn from his Reformation, Wuttke published a tract against the Sert an History, and Ritter against his ways generally. Rehin, dimly remembered by the hight that shone from his Arabic studies on the Middle Ages, considered his books unfit for a place in the library of Marburg University Sybel thought him too lement to Austria, and Remann accuses him of partiality in the affairs of Poland Whilst a Prussian conservative complained that he was neither fish nor flesh, a liberal Saxon declared that he was too good a legitimist to master the problems of parliamentary states Menours of Hardenberg have not satisfied critics who I new the inside of the Berlin archives. The English History was received with cold but decent respect, and the Grenzboten published a hostile article on the first and weakest volume, by Bergenroth, then a new man, unfurnished with a horoscope. It has been a grievance with Villari that Ranke said, and misled Sybel's Zeitschrift into repeating, that he had overlooked manuscripts in his own town of Florence, which he, in fact, had cited scores of Panizzi objected that one of his books was not times

original; Green, that another was dull Macaulay ended by resenting the threatened invasion of his prerogative, and was less favourably disposed than in the glowing days of the purple New Zealander. There was a brief opposition from the Catholics. Hofler attacked the Popes; a garbled manuscript of the sixteenth century was sent to press for the diminution of his credit; and Theiner assured the King of Bavaria that he had done less than justice to Gregory XIII Grand talent, petit esprit, was the adverse verdict of the Correspondant The Frenchman might have defended his point if it was a distant allusion to stature. When Lord John Russell was on his way to Vienna, it was reported that Frederic William IV., by a refinement of flattery, invited four emment men to meet him who were all smaller than himself; and Ranke was one of them.

He outlived all rivalry, and well-nigh all antagonism. He lived to hear Arneth declare, before the assembled historians of the South, that he alone among writers of prose had furnished a masterpiece to every country. He was hailed by Dollinger as praeceptor Germaniae. In his own home the dissent of militant patriotism was expressed in the words of Dove, that pure history cannot satisfy the need of a struggling and travailing nation; and when Mommsen says that the only ascertained maxim of research is that hearsay evidence is as good as the source it comes from, I understand him to mean that genius is better than schooling.

In very early days it seemed that philosophy possessed an adept who would surpass Ranke, and bridge the afflicting chasm between fact and law. Leo had belonged to the most turbulent set of students in the time of Sand, when he came to Berlin, obtained the friendship of Hegel, and disparaged Ranke by reviews, and by encroaching on his domain. With other men the question is, how they came to succeed: the wonder in the case of Leo is, how such abilities contrived to miss not only the first place but the first rank. He scorned the tame spirit, the obscure labours, the negative results of fleshless scholars

whose cares are bounded by scholarship, who aim at no target, and are incurious of things to come. He was always combative, homiletic, clamorous for quick returns, and, like men too eager, verbose and violent He shed his Hegelian skin in the Middle Ages, and emerged from them detesting the three last centuries as an epoch of selfishness and decay History became subservient to politics, to a policy of reaction against economists, humanitarians, and all men seeking happiness before authority Having written too many books not destined to live, he made up his mind to abandon a hemisphere that was going wrong, and set about reducing his baggage and packing all he knew in a traveller's kit. This was the origin of Leo's Universal History, still, after half a century, the most thoughtful of the books that bear that ambitious title. What more he did during the restless remainder of his life for royalism and religious union is written in water. He is the most remarkable of all the men who, being partisans where partiality is discredit, failed through the want of discipline. Gfrorer, who was superior to him and perhaps to all men in historic grasp, is equally destitute of authority. But Gfrorer, though the most reckless and unsafe of guides, is as vigorous a stimulant in mediæval study as Germany has possessed, and of the fourteen or fifteen volumes which he wrote from Charlemagne to Hildebrand, not one can be spared

Without the training and habits of the new school even the learning of Neander fared not much better than the talent of Gfrorer and Leo. He was probably the best-read man living towards 1830, and he introduced into the permanent literature of his country a serious spiritual element that was wanting. For the romantic scholars were still incurably tainted with the vice which, outside of morals, bears no harsher name than inaccuracy, while the church historians in possession considered religion with a professional eye and were more secularly minded than professors of profane arts, such as Lachmann or Carl Ritter. He not only tried to bring within reasonable compass and under the control of ideas what

used to straggle through forty-five and even eighty-five volumes, but he was profoundly in earnest, and it was of him that Tholuck said that the orthodox are generally the most pious He had more heart for the interior life of saints than for the border history of Church and State His knowledge, deep and massive as that of a later Benedictine, was seldom new, and with his traditional habits he was like a ghost in the company of Bockh and Among books which he took faithfully as he found them, deeming with Mr. Freeman that manuscripts begin to be useful after they are printed, many were interpolated, incorrect, assigned to the wrong men. Schweighauser's saying that for centuries no real care had been taken of classical texts was almost equally true of ecclestastical; and the work of Wolf and Bekker scarcely began for them until Neander was dead When the Annals of Baronius were reprinted, De Rossi reminded the editor that the primitive church presented no longer the same outlines as in 1567, or in the days of Pagi, and offered, unfortunately in vain, his aid as an annotator Since Neander a deeper spirit of inquiry has possessed itself of his topic and is working changes as considerable as in all the time since Baronius He spent his last days in a forlorn endeavour to trace the Bohemian revolution to Bohemian causes, telling much that nobody knew about a very obscure time. For, like all men before Shirley, he entirely mistook Wyclif In our day Lechler and Arnold, Matthews, Buddensieg, and Loserth have published a new Wyclif, and a new pedigree of Hus, and the same transforming effect of the scientific approaches has befallen or yet awaits every chapter of Neander

The tendency of the nineteenth-century German to subject all things to the government of intelligible law, and to prefer the simplicity of resistless cause to the confused conflict of free wills, the tendency which Savigny defined and the comparative linguists encouraged, was completed in his own way by Hegel He displayed all history by the light of scientific unity, as the manifestation of a single force, whose works are all wise, and who c

latest work is best. The *Volksgeist* of the new jurisprindence was less darzling than the *Weltgeist* of the new philosophy, with the smallest allowance of hypothesis for the largest quantity of phenomena. Science was propitiated with visions of unity and continuity, religion, by the assurance of incessant progress, politics, by the ratification of the past. Liberty and morality were less well provided, but it was the epoch of the Restoration.

An ambiguous use of terms concealed the breach between pantheism and Christianity so well that the most learned catholic layman of the time rejoiced at the coming of a new era for religion. The breach with experimental science betrayed itself by the contempt for Newton in which Hegel was of one mind with Goetlie and Schelling and Schopenhauer, but there were scientific men who, to the disgust of Humboldt, accepted the Naturphilosophie Its defects were visible when Hegel's lectures appeared after his death, and the system went down under the assault of inductive science. But his influence on historical study has not gone down, and it is the one thing on which he retains his grasp. The les continua was a central idea with Leibnitz, who discovered it, for it was the point in common between his anticipation of Darwin and his anticipation of Hegel. In the same double sense it was renewed by Haller, and obtained some superficial acceptance through Herder, until it came to govern entirely the Hegelian notion of history

Hegel did not shine in expounding public transactions, excepting eases like the French Revolution, where the individual is swallowed up in the logic of events. He moved awkwardly in the presence of human agents, and was unskilled in playing his pawns. The quest of the vera causa failed with men, but it was beyond measure successful, away from the world of sense, in explaining the action and succession of ideas

The history of philosophy had taken rise before Hegel was born, and was secreted in books not destitute of plodding merit, but unreasonably dead and dull Under the magic wand systems fell into an appointed and harmonious order λαμπάδα ἔχοντες διαδώσουσιν ἀλλήλοις. The progress of speculative thought has been made, by less systematic and coercive successors, one of the luminous spots in literature, to the damage and exclusion of more essential things. For the marrow of civilised history is ethical not metaphysical, and the deep underlying cause of action passes through the shape of right and wrong. Hegel did not promote the study of morals, and Germany fell behind the French eclectics, until, in the revolt of the last ten years against utilitarians and materialists, the growth of ethical knowledge has become, for the first time, the supreme object of history

The main line of the Hegelian succession passed to It was of the essence of pantheism to transcend national limits and the conditions dear to jurists. Where one considers the British constitution as a plant of Teutonic growth, drawing life from ideas common to all the conquerors of Rome, or traceable to hazy customs on the Elbe, the other accounts it a phase of monarchy, a fragment from a sphere that is above race. In the same way, Hegel regarded Christianity as an episode in a natural process that began before the Christian era, and continued beyond the uttermost boundaries assignable to churches, as one step among many to be taken by mankind. The propositions issuing from this view of religion supply the work of the Tubingen school. They teach that the origin of the Christian faith is in the gradual action of antecedent causes, that it has been substantially true to itself in the formation of dogma, and has accomplished its mission of providing fuel for the flame of a higher philosophy.

On his first acquaintance with Hegel's writings, Strauss ceased to believe, and the motive of his book was to justify his disbelief with arguments derived from the scholarship of the day. But the soil that reared him was philosophic not historic. His reason for rejecting the gospel was metaphysical, though his argument was historical. The newest discovery was that certainty may be attained behind the back of historians, after finding

whence they get their facts and with what mind they state them. Strauss renoinced the attempt, and denied the possibility

But the critical phase, if it did not prompt the Leben Jesu, contributed to its success by encumbering the business of reply In those days the Nepaul transcripts were bewildering Europe with the spectacle of a lasting and widely spread religion sprung from an obscure and legendary, if not a mythical origin. Stapfer, the Swiss apologist, levying an argument from the lake and the fell, likened Strauss to the inventor of paradox, who presumed to doubt the story of William Tell, and was confounded by the indignant scholarship of Uri Just then, that vivacious ghost was for ever laid by the reverent hand of a realous conservative, ultramontane, and patriot, who exposed the fable and restored the real history of Swiss independence in a manner which showed that the lessons of Bonn and Berlin had penetrated to the forest cantons A greater man than Stapfer objected to Strauss that the first century of the Church was too enlightened for mythology, but the study of the New Testament apoery pha, still in its infancy, showed that the apostolic age was rich in poetic and theological fiction

The eredulity of the last generation was put to a severe strain. The clearances went on at a pace that drove people to despair, and it appeared that the erop of false-hood grew too fast for the reapers. One is tempted to suppose that the conspicuous fabrications like those of Shapira, of Simonides, of the deft deceiver of Chasles, are exceptional. It is a new revelation to learn that a crust of designing fiction covers the truth in every region of European history. The most curious of the twenty-two thousand letters in the correspondence of Napoleon, that of 28th March 1808, on his Spanish policy, by which Thiers was taken in, proves to be a forgery, and the forger is Napoleon. Whole volumes of spurious letters of Joseph II, Marie Antoinette, and Ganganelli are still circulated. Prince Eugene should be well known to us through his autobiography, the collection of six hundred

of his letters, and the Life by Kausler But the letters are forged, the Life is founded upon them, and the autobiography is by the Prince de Ligne. The letter from the Pruth, which deceived the ablest of the historians of Peter the Great, is as fabulous as his political testament. So too are the Monita Secreta, the Life of the Almirante by his son, one of the trials of Savonarola, Daru's acts of the Venetian inquisitors, the most famous of the early Italian chronicles, the most famous of the early privileges and charters of almost every European country. The ancient monuments of Bohemian literature, edited in 1840 by the two best scholars of the Slavonic world, were a very recent imposture, and Saint Cyril, the apostle of the Slavonians, is credited with an account of his own life, a confession of faith, and an introduction to the gospels, none of which are authentic At his first step in epigraphic science, Mommsen rejected one thousand and three Neapolitan inscriptions

In the fervour of detection men were tempted to conclude with Goethe that poetry is the only form of truth, and that all history might with advantage go the way of Raleigh's book. The doctrine of the hopeless uncertainty of human testimony recommended the study of ideas instead of events, for we can follow the ideas of Abelard or Descartes under their own undisputed hand, with less risk than the secret councils of kings. A disposition to run riot, not only to doubt where doubt means safety, but to reject where there is only ground for doubting, appeared in several directions the Laws and the Parmendes were written by the second Plato, many of the Odes were not composed by Horace, and Saint Patrick became an imaginary personage

This excess prevailed in Germany less widely than is supposed. The restoring purpose, the craving for positive results, grew strong amid the devastation, exaggerated doubt was succeeded by activity in preserving, and the fictions unduly spared outnumber the truths unduly questioned. Methodical doubt had no affinity with a universal scepticism. Niebuhr, unlike Sir George.

Lewis, who represents him to us, passionately believed in the resources of his art, accepted the discoveries of Champollion when many hesitated, and looked forward to like results in Assyria, six years before Lassen appeared Wolf wished his treatment of Homer to be applied to the Bible, but he stopped far short of the hypothesis of Graf In spite of his weighty advocacy, Markland's attack on the Epistles to Brutus and the Four Orations did not prevail Many things which the French reject are accepted by Germans who uphold Buddha against the solar interpretation of Senart, the Pragmatic Sanction of Saint Lewis against the doubts of Paul Viollet, the tables of Malaga and Salpensa against objections which Laboulaye would not abandon until the close of his life. There is a state paper on the Juliers succession in 1609 which was admitted by Ranke, Droysen, Treitschke, and never disputed until it went to pieces in 1883 Not very many years ago a monument was erected at Pforzheim by the Baden legislature in commemoration of an event that never occurred, and the purchase of the Moabite antiquities in 1873, advised by Schlottmann in spite of Ganneau's warning, exhibits the softer side of Prussian criticism and economy eagerness of juniors in urging every element of improbability has been rebuked by the master, Waitz, and Giesebrecht, the only critical historian of the Middle Ages who is a popular classic, who occupies a moderating position between extremes, is peculiarly cautious against the solicitations of doubt. His rare mistakes have come from conservative leanings, and he has rescued letters of Sylvester II denounced by his French editor, has reinstated Lambert as a main authority for Gregory VII against a host of detractors, and has maintained in the midst of much opposition the Dictatus of the pope himself The severest repressor of overmuch doubting is Sickel, the prince of critics, who has been able to demonstrate that the skill of the forgers is less than was imagined, and that many pieces suspected thirty years ago were suspected wrongly In earlier stages of the progress of knowledge the proper attitude is suspense, and when Maurenbrecher failed to establish the authenticity of Charles V.'s *Commentaries*, he rightly laid them aside until Ranke satisfied him

While open questions of criticism diminish, new documents raise new problems, and nobody gets the last Much has come lately to light touching the partition of Poland Who proposed it? The answer is continually shifting, and the truth goes farther off. It was Catharine or Prince Henry in 1771, Bibikoff at Christmas 1770, Joseph II. in July, Wolkonsky in March. It was Count Lynar in 1769, or a mightier personage wearing his mask Or it was Kaunitz in 1768, if not Choiseul in the same year. Panin started the idea in 1766, Czernitcheff or the electress of Saxony in 1763, Lord Stair in 1742, the King of Poland himself in 1732, or the crown prince of Prussia one year earlier There is the same difficulty as to the man who shattered the empire of Napoleon by advising the retreat to Moscow. The idea is claimed for Alexander and Count Lieven, for five German officers at least, for the lesson of Torres Vedras, for Barclay, by whom it was executed Or again, who was it that induced the allies, in March 1814, to advance on Paris? For that there are five competitors, a Russian, a Livonian, an Austrian, a Prussian, and a Corsican. Where we now stand, in the year 60 of renovated history, it does not seem impossible to settle some of these matters But things were less clear during the procession of rival witnesses; and this is one of the elements which made the science of historians seem a solace for the imagination, a gallery of dissolving views, a museum of illusions in which a man of strong con-It was under this victions was free to take or to leave empire of instability that a group of Wirtemberg divines obtained the lead in critical research and kept it for twenty years

A theologian who trod the paths of Hegel had lately introduced the study and the name of symbolism Men who were not passionately addicted to the solutions of the

sixteenth century were the better for knowing, as a matter of fact, without ulterior purpose, what it was all about, and why Erasmus, Luther, Calvin, and Socinus differed Marheineke explained it to readers more curious of historic than dogmatic truth, who could enter heartily into every system not their own. Peace had been concluded between Lutheran and Calvinist, and a suspension of hostilities between Catholic and Protestant, and it was the time when a Protestant publisher circulated Stolberg's Church History, and Schlegel wrote to him "Let us shake hands like Christians across the narrow stream between us" The first object of the new science was to explain the division of Christendom, not to justify, and not to heal The usefulness of this necessary chapter of history depended on the fidelity of the writer in refusing favour to his own side, and when Mohler took care, like Johnson, that the Whigs should have the worst of it, Marheineke called his book a treatise of controversy under the name of symbolism The absence of the purely historical spirit gave Mohler his six editions and his immediate celebrity. Men came after him who restored the former tone, indifferent to peace or war Koellner, being a Protestant, wrote an exposition of Catholicism, and, being a Calvinist, an exposition of Lutheranism, on the plan of describing them from within, but the public interest languished. The steps that had led up to the religious crisis of the present century were of more vital significance than the distant and inelastic formulas of the sixteenth History, which already occupied other domains, was laying its hand on theology, and history is the knowledge of things that live and move The process attracted more than the definition Comparative dogmatics took the place which had been filled by the narrower treatment, and the history of Protestant theology was discussed in a series of books by Dorner and Gass in Germany, and by Schweizer and Schneckenburger in Switzerland, that carry matters a good deal beyond the point reached when the conflict raged round the Symbolik

While the Protestants were interested in tracing

dogmas down to their own day, it was the object of the Catholics to trace them upwards to the seed-time of the Church, in order that what was imputed to them as genuine might be tested by time. The generation of 1830, which in a variety of converging ways assigned the property of growth undetermined by will or wit of man, of development without forfeiture of identity, to the civil law, the academic philosophy, and the Aryan grammar, was not tempted to deny an analogous prerogative to Christianity The principle had already found a home in the Church, and received new vigour from the mental revolution effected by the anti-revolutionary Germans. When Mohler, moved by the asperities of controversy, left Tubingen to teach ecclesiastical history at Munich, Dollinger made way for him and lectured on divinity. He directed his own historical method on theological system, and exhibited the faith of Christendom at successive stages, so that a man should stand at all the crossways, realise each problem as seen at its rising, and pass in his own mind through the experience of the Church.

The men who, at Munich, were working out the law of development within their communion, lived in acute and unappreciating hostility to the Suabian divine who was digging a theological bed for the teaching of the Suabian philosopher The real importer of pantheism with its consequences into history, the man who grafted Hegel on Ranke, was Strauss's master, Baur, the colleague whose sarcasms drove Mohler from Tubingen He was a convert from Creuzer's nebulous method, which looks for analogy and resemblance, and he adopted with uncommon energy the view which denies the supernatural, suspects marvels and coincidences, and adjusts spiritual life to the prosaic level of daily experience. Baur would give no opinion on the Leben Jesu until that which had been for ten years the law of profane history was thoroughly applied to sacred He undertook the vorl and accomplished it himself, with the aid of those whom he called the critical school, implying that all others are

incritical, and, if they admit dogmatic motives, insincere His postulates were that the gospels must be examined as profine books are, without presumption of truth, and that space must be given for Christianity to evolve itself from the combination of exceedingly dissimilar elements. According to Baur the business of history is not so much with facts as with ideas, and the idea, not the fact, of the Resurrection is the basis of the Christian faith. Doctrines are developed out of notions, not out of events. Whether or no the behef is true, he refuses to inquire. In the most characteristic passage ever written by a German historian, he declares that it is a question beyond the scope of history.

The view of the New Testament which the critics of Tubingen built up with an expenditure of intellectual force greater than Strauss had applied to demolition, was too deeply influenced by the specific negations of pantheism to live apart from their esoteric tenets. What was speculative in their system not only isolated them from the bulk of European science, but brought about divisions, and at last the dispersion of the school. Wherever their purpose was exclusively historical, they threw much light on matters which have been discussed for centuries, and their sagacity in the investigation of details has been fruitful for all men

Their permanent action is less acknowledged in the foundation than in the development of Christianity Baur's mastery in tracing the march of ideas through the ages, over the heads of men, was a thing new to literature. He maintained that the formation and growth of doctrine is consistent and normal, not accidental or arbitrary, and the impression made by his histories of the central dogmas appeared in many directions. Nearly half the books that have been written on dogmatic history came out in a space of six or seven years, under his impulse, and were often the work of men far from sharing his opinions. The inner circle of Lutheran orthodoxy has adopted from Tubingen the term—the Formation of truth (das Werden der Wahrheit), a notion which would have astonished Luther.

Baur's bitterest adversary was Ewald, whose competence in Old Testament studies was not then contested But it is the last and most original of his disciples, a man better known amongst us than most German writers, who has set in motion that Mosaic controversy which has so much analogy with the views of Tubingen From the days when he mingled imprecations against Gesenius with his prayers until he denounced the *Culturkampf*, Ewald had been steeped in dissent, and his fame had suffered diminution before the treason of Wellhausen

The low political vitality of the Thirty Years' Peace was favourable to calm studies It was the time when Goethe was amazed that any sane person should think the revolution of July a topic of interest, and when William Humboldt, the most central figure in Germany, the confidant of Schiller and Goethe, of Wolf and Niebuhr, who had fought Talleyrand at Vienna on the memorable day on which legitimacy was born, who had forged the link between science and force by organising a university at Berlin, and who, until the murder of Kotzebue, had been the pride and the hope of intelligent Prussia, devoted the maturity of his powers to Malay roots Those were the days in which the familiar type of the German scholar was generated, of the man who complained that the public library allowed him only thirteen hours a day to read, the man who spent thirty years on one volume, the man who wrote upon Homer in 1806 and who still wrote upon Homer in 1870, the man who discovered the 358 passages in which Dictys has imitated Sallust, the man who carried an electric telegraph from his house to the church and carried it no farther.

Primarily, he was a Greek scholar, bounded by ancient horizons, and his mind was not seldom shaped by some favourite classic, as were Bockh by Plato, Creuzer by Plotinus, Trendelenburg by Aristotle, and Roscher by Thucydides More rarely he carried the dry powder of philology into the early Christian conflicts, or the chaos of the first, the Teutonic, Middle Ages. On the modern world, with its unsettled and unsettling questions,

and its inaccessible information, he steinly turned his back. He loved to settle on a space he could hope to exhaust by giving his life to it, unmindful of Godfrey Hermann and his dictum. "Est quaedam etiam neseicndi ars et scientia". Lil e Hegel, who comfortably finished his book at Jena during the battle, and, starting for the publisher's in the morning, was surprised to observe that the streets were full of Frenchmen, he did not allow the voices of the striving world to distract him. Often he had usen, by more energy and conduct, from crushing poverty, had gone barefoot to school, or had begged his way like Hase across the Fatherland, and he remained frigal and austere, cultivating humble obscurity and the golden rift of silence, and marrying, as Tenerbach did, upon an income of forty pounds. With that genins for til me trouble which Ritschl called the way to everything, he was not sen itive to penius of any other sort. The extreme subdivision of labour narrowed his view, and gave an unusual scope and value to diligent mediocrity Dull mea built themselves an everlasting name at which we wonder as we wonder at the glory of Grant, and the excessive talent of Stahl and Lassalle was suspected, as a Jewish glitter, wanting substance. Walter, standing still on the old ground of Nichilir, seoffed at that marvel of ability, the Geist des ronte her. Rechts, and W. Siekel's Verfassar regeschichte, the most brilliant account of early institutions ever written, is scorned by the accepted teachers "Too clever to make a good administrator" is a judgment of Napoleon's, and Metternich invokes the international epigram, "L'esprit sert à tout et ne mène a rien"

The scholar of the old school was an open adversary and a candid friend. Aristotelian Brandis, who was remarkable for social amenity, writes of his early fellowship with Binisen that they disputed "without effeminate sensibility" (oline wellbedge Schonung), and the Breslau students were gratified with the sight of Passow in the Professoreneareer for insulting Menzel. Thiers said to Senior. "I may eall my opponent a villain, though I

know him to be honest." Not so in Saxony, where the courts have decided that it is lawful to call a book foolish, but not to call the author a fool

The leaders of the movement that sprang up in the second quarter of the century were animated by the conviction that the genius and learning of the modern world went to work the wrong way, and missed its aim, not from incapacity, but from interest, influence, and prejudice It was their belief that literature had long been an arduous and comprehensive conspiracy against truth, and that much envenomed controversy could be set at rest by exposing the manifold arts that veil substantial falsehood -suppression, distortion, interpolation, forgery, legend, myth. The Germans came late upon the scene, and did not claim to be better than those who went before them, but they would begin their work over again—"expurgata jam et abrasa et aequata mentis area"—warned by example to escape the sources of error By extreme patience and self-control, by seeking neither premature result nor personal reward, by sacrificing the present to the far-off future, by the obscure heroism of many devoted lives, they looked to prepare the foundation of the kingdom of knowledge. "Plurimi transibunt et multiplex erit scientia." They trained themselves to resist the temptations by which others had suffered, and stood to win by moral qualities There was so much rough material to hew, so much time to recover, that they renounced making points and drawing conclusions The politic Briton, with a practical object in view, avoids needless provocation to dissent, and the studious German tried to exclude contentious matter, and to adjust theory to fact, on the maxim, "On s'arrange plus facilement sur un fait que sur un principe."

Their literary dogma, that truth is worth living for, and honesty, in fact, is the best policy, yields to nobody now the fresh emotion of discovery. Lanfrey writes that the only patriotism of historians is sincerity, and the best of the French reviews has said the same thing in its prospectus "Nous ne prétendons servir qu'une cause,

374

Loning, Gierke, Gass, is a man armed at all these points, and the discipline that makes him opens further visions of penetrating ethics, not obvious on the beaten track.

Among the historians of that epoch the most eminent, though he never wrote a page of history, was Bohmer, the librarian of Frankfort Dumas's enthusiasm for the author of the Girondins broke out in the words "Il a élevé l'histoire à la hauteur du roman", and of Bohmer it can be said that he raised drudgery to the rank of a fine art. For the centuries to which he confined himself. from the eighth to the fourteenth, he made it a precept that truth dwells in documents, and not in chronicles or lives The author of a grant or a state paper knows what he is doing, the author of a book does not. one case history is told by those who make it, in the other, by those who hear of it from other people The chronicle is a mixture of memory, imagination, and design The charter is reality itself. When Thierry was overworked, he refreshed his mind with the glossary of Ducange, and there is no better reading in German than the prefaces of Bohmer, and his Regesta as completed by the Innsbruck professors He makes all mediæval literature subsidiary to the charters, and relieves his terse and telling abstract with illustrations from the historians as well as with points of his own As the citizen of a republic, whose mental life was spent among the records of mediæval empire, as a Protestant who sought the society of Catholics, he had the advantage of a central and independent position. But his warmest sympathies were with the institutions which had vanished in his lifetime, with the church whose tenets he rejected, and he delivered his sentiments with a petulance and malice which no other reputation could have withstood. Waitz, and the northern scholars whose modes of thought he flouted, voted him a prize, as the foremost historian of the day, and Ficker, who has carried forward his work with better training and at least equal solidity, devised a theory for his benefit, which maintains that prejudice is consistent with veracity. Like Stalin, who had his

Wintembergische Geschichte, the best of provincial histories, corrected by a priest, Bohmer gravitated towards the Catholic south, and was the chief of a scattered party of Guelphic scholars which has not survived. When he died, in 1863, the romantic school to which he had imparted the dignity of exact learning went below the horizon.

The chief promoter of mediæval studies was the modern Ranke. He had been famous for ten years before his influence was established, for the strongest men who came up were carried away by Hegel In 1834, when the lieutenants were dividing the empire, Ranke set the reign of Henry I, the imaginary Fowler, as a subject for an essay Giesebrecht and Kopke competed, and were defeated by Waitz, who has just revised the third edition of his biography, fifty years after it gained the prize. This was the foundation of what has been for so long incomparably the first school of history in the world, not for ideas or eloquence, but for solid and methodical work Ranke discouraged men from approaching the passionate discussions and buried materials which were his own domain, and directed them to the times before the thirteenth century, the sources of which occupy a limited compass, and were just then in process of being threshed out for Pertz. It was a time that could be studied in the same cool temper as the weights and measures of Babylon, and had some analogy with the things taught by Bockh But no philologist had Ranke's mastery of the detective arts Even Drumann, when he came to Boniface VIII, proved ignorant of technical rules, while, on the other hand, the canons which Nitzsch and Nissen applied to Rome were formed in the mediæval school It supplied the best editors of the Monumenta, eclipsing Pertz and his legal coadjutors, beat up all the libraries of Europe, and gradually obtained the control of the historical reviews The Annals of the mediæval empire are the most perfect achievement of these men They were slow to quit the libraries for the archives, but a younger generation, working at Munich on the sixteenth

and seventeenth centuries, and laying half of Europe under contribution, has solved the harder problem of making state papers the backbone of modern history.

The weak place was the nineteenth century until the revolution of 1848 compelled attention to the problems of the day. Droysen had already proposed a series of books on recent times, to be laid down on the lines of Dahlmann, which should fuse past and present, and treat politics and history as one. In connection with this plan, which was not carried out, Hausser produced the first serious work on the fall and the rise of Germany, between the death of Frederic and the overthrow of Napoleon, a work which hardly justifies the considerable influence which the author exercised without his pen, but which marks a new era as a plea for Prussia from a southern and avowedly liberal hand

The next Heidelberg writer prophesied a democratic, not a Prussian future Gervinus personates the average German, the average middle-class German from the smaller towns of the smaller States, crowded with indisputable information, sceptical and doctrinaire, more robust than elastic or alert, instructive but not persuasive, with a taste for broad paths and the judicious forcing of open locks He began his History of the Nineteenth Century at the lowest ebb of national sentiment, and he left it, a fragment in eight volumes, when reviving nationality discarded his dogmas Schlosser, the master in whom he persistently believed, confessed that the world moved away and left him superfluous and obsolete. The same experience darkened the last days of Gervinus, who thought that Cavour must fail, that Bismarck was a new Polignac, who kept his place among the vanquished of 1866, and died disowning the results of 1870. He had been a power in the land before 1848, when he applied the reigning theory to literature, and exhibited every writer limited and bound to fixed surroundings, and every poem a barometer He rescued the realm of imagination from the wild will of poets and the incalculable sceptre, and brought a new region under scientific cultivation Julian Schmidt and other vigorous men have enlarged his notions. The better part of the nation's mind works in pursuit of truth, and its thought, its knowledge, its errors, constitute the object of literary history as well as those things which may be lawfully told in verse. The flowery empire of aesthetics did not flourish under this amalgamation as it had done in less practical days. The best work is a history of Italian literature, but of the greatest living critics—Hay m, Bernays, and Scherer—not one is great alike in the tracing of ideas, in perfect knowledge of biographical and bibliographical fact, and in taste

Gervinus and Sybel exhibit the contrast between north and south, and between the time before and after 1848 Sybel had learnt to make war on confusion and fiction in the strict mediaval school, but his mind was essentially modern, his interest lay in practical directions, and he opened the way to the later, mexhaustible, and almost unattempted centuries He studies the Revolution in the light of a vast disturbance of the permanent policy of cabinets, without mercy on its picturesque and passionate element. The Reformation was in fact a blow struck at reforming Catholicism, more than at the supine advocacy of things as they were, and this historian, without unction or sympathy, deplores the Revolution as a catastrophe that threw back intelligent progress for half a century He began these studies forty years ago with two essays on Burke, whose letter to Mercer embodies much of his philosophy Both in his history and in his review, Sybel adopts the dogmatic terms of Burke and Savigny, but he is never lost in theory Although his introductory chapter anticipated the Ancien Régime with no better help than Tocqueville's article in the Westminster Review, the depth and soundness of his work was not perceived until his gradual discoveries in many archives awakened controversy and provoked a flood of answering matter

The year 1848, which sent more than one hundred professors to Frankfort, had been detrimental to the British and Baconian maxim, that knowledge is power In Sybel they were united, for he was learned in the

wisdom of universities, and eminently conversant with the working of political forces, a man of life and action, an expert such as had not been seen. He became the first classic of imperialism, and helped to form that garrison of distinguished historians that prepared the Prussian supremacy together with their own, and now hold Berlin like a fortress. If any one will make a list of their names, he will see that such a phalanx was never arrayed before, and will also detect one of the arcana imperit, by which the rude strength centred in a region more ungenial than Latium was employed to absorb and to stiffen the diffused, sentimental, and strangely impolitic talent of the studious Germans

Things were different heretofore, when history, not yet woven into the web of national greatness, was carried on by private enterprise. Men living in a small way, with a dim political background, were not often practical, but were generally disinterested Gottingen, Tubingen, and Heidelberg had some advantages for historical teaching over Berlin, where "William Tell" was a forbidden play Among their leisurely professors were men who found, like Dahlmann, that the great Frederic stuck in their throats, like Gervinus and Ewald, who repudiated Dahlmann's precept, that what their country wanted was force before freedom The disconcerting verdict of events ruined their credit as readers of the signs of the times. Apart from the convenient popularity of the maxim, "Die Weltgeschichte ist das Weltgericht," it was apparent that the past had not revealed to them its inmost secret, and they were disparaged, as investigators of irreclaimable dry bones. The men who took betimes the side of the big battalions, showed superior penetration into the things beneath the sun They brought history into touch with the nation's life, and gave it an influence it had never possessed out of France, and they won for themselves the making of opinions, mightier than laws The most clear-sighted of those who resolved, after the failure of the Revolution, that the future of Germany belonged to Prussia, was Droysen

Ten years before the fire-and-sword despatch revealed Count Ferro, while intelligent adherents of Greater Germany argued that without Austria there could be nothing but a magnified Prussia, Droysen affirmed that unity could never come from liberty and the vote of parliaments, that it required a power strong enough to crush resistance at home and abroad. The rest of his life was devoted to Prussian politics and the imperial arts, and he was one of that central band of writers and statesmen and soldiers who turned the tide that had run for six hundred years, and conquered the centrifugal forces that had reigned in Germany longer than the commons have sat at Westminster He had learnt classical scholarship in the school of Bockh, and had acquired from Hegel the habit of abstract thought and that preference for the Hellenic empire which is adversely noted in the History of Federal Government In spite of his Macedonian pro-clivity, his earliest pupil testifies that he was always a liberal, meaning a promoter of secondary liberties Whatever element of the kind was in him, was fostered by his residence at Kiel, in a land flowing with political excitement, the early home of gratuitous education. To sustain the faith and the practice of patriotism, he published his lectures on the time between the Stamp Act and Waterloo, a book full of views and turbid eleverness He passed on to his own domain with the biography of the grim warrior whose defection prepared the ruin of Napoleon, and whose son fell in the last action of the revolutionary war, refusing quarter, and exclaiming that his name was Yorck The long History of Prussian Policy followed, and brought popularity and power Being asked by what subtle charm he and the intimate advisers had changed the plain soldier of the last generation into the mightiest of conquerors, Droysen replied that it was nothing but the stern sense of duty (die verfluchte Schuldigkeit) He made this the note of Hohenzollern history Their success lay in diplomacy and war, and the narrative is international, not domestic. The affairs of Europe from the Great Elector to the eve of the Seven Years'

War have never been told with so large a knowledge of politics, and the later volumes are more effective than the parallel work of his illustrious rival. Ranke, who discards the teleological argument of history, whose feelings are so well under control that he dilates on the disasters of 1806 more than on the triumphs of 1757, had neither his popular fibre nor his official sanction Fastidious readers doubt at last the swiftness of Achilles and the piety of Æneas; but to those who do not require conviction, the sagacious advocate of Prussian monarchy is as persuasive as the avowed defenders of other causes, of parliamentary government or federal democracy

The one writer of history who is more brilliant and powerful than Droysen is Treitschke. Droysen's grasp of his materials began to relax when he came to Frederic, but Treitschke never flags, and is always vehement, certain, and overwhelming. As a political essayist, long ago he broke the spell of superiority which, until the death of Stahl, belonged to the religious and the strict conservative world He was predestined for Berlin by his first conspicuous act; for he had attacked, and it was thought had refuted, the notion of a separate science of society, as the sphere of religion, morality, economy, and knowledge, as a vast community, organically distinct from the State, and able to control it. The idea, which comes from Harrington, and was pronounced by John Adams the greatest discovery in politics, had been made by Lorenz von Stein the key to the Revolution, in a work exposing the economic cause of political science, with Hegelian formalities which contrast unhappily with Treitschke's gleaming style For he writes, with the force and the fire of Mommsen, of a time remembered by living men, and pregnant with the problems that are still open He marshals his forces on a broader front than any other man, and accounts for the motives that stir the nation, as well as for the councils that govern it.

Treitschke's *History of Germany* belongs to a series that has made up for the long delay in approaching the present century, in which England, from the regency to